FOR THE CASE OF Elevator & Amusement Device Safety Board Meeting

TRANSCRIPT OF

Meeting

July 26, 2016

Stone & George COURT REPORTING

2020 Fieldstone Pkwy Suite 900 - PMB 234 Franklin, TN 37069 (615) 268-1244 This transcript is intended for your law firm's own use. If you wish to share this transcript with an outside law firm, log back in to your CasePlanner account and click the **Share** button.

For questions, call (615) 268-1244 or send an email to nangeorge@stoneandgeorge.com

1	Page 1	1.	APPEARANCES	Page 3
1.	STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT	2.	ALLERANCES	
2.	WORKER'S COMPENSATION DIVISION	3.	Robbie Fox, Chairman	
3.		4.	Lewis Moorer, Jr., Public at Large Representative	
4.		5.	David A. Hale, Tennessee Fair Association Representative	
5.		6.	representative	
6.		7.	Larry R. Moore, II, Owner and Lessee Representative	
7. 8.		8.	Kelly O'Connor, Public at Large Representative	
9.	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	9.	Chris Farmer, Assistant Chief Elevator Inspector	
10. 11.	OF ELEVATOR & AMUSEMENT DEVICE SAFETY BOARD	10.	Kim Jefferson, Esq., Administrator	
12.	July 26, 2016	11.	Dan Bailey, Esq., Legal Counsel	
13. 14.	BEFORE: Robbie Fox, Chairman	12.	Carlene T. Bennett, Board Secretary	
15.			Anita Rhodes, State of Tennessee	
16.		13.	Chris Cannon, Department of Labor and Workforce	
17. 18.		14. 15.	Development Leanne Durm, Department of labor and Workforce	
19.		16.	Development.	
20.		17.	Jan Dower, Commissioner's Office	
21. 22.			Catherine Hare, Seventh Government Operations	
		18. 19.	Committee Mary Audrey Powers, Navitat Canopy Adventures	
23.	DOMINIQUE A. DUBOIS, LCR# 686	20.	Rodney Todd, Southern Region Boy Scouts	
	STONE & GEORGE COURT REPORTING	21.	Mike Barker, Professional Ropes Course Association	
24.	2020 Fieldstone Parkway Suite 900 - PMB 234	22.	James Keifer, Professional Ropes Course Association	
25.	Franklin, Tennessee 37069	23. 24.	Chance Deason, General Counsel. Brian Polston, EBL Ziplines	
20.	(615) 221-1089	25.	Steve Gustafson, EBL Ziplines.	
	Page 2			Page 4
1.	rage 2	1.	Scott Jordan, Professional Ropes Course Association	1 age -
2.	The above-styled cause came on for	2.	Tom Andrews, Pro Image Adventures and Professional	
3.	hearing on this the 26th day of July, 2016, before	-	Ropes Course Association	
		3.	Ropes Course Association	
4.	The Elevator & Amusement Device Safety Board at	4.		
5.	220 French Landing Drive, Tennessee Room, 1st	5.		
6.	Floor, Nashville, Tennessee, when and where the	6.		
7.	following proceedings were had, to wit:	7.		
8.		1		
9.		8.		
10.		9.		
11.		10.		
12.		11.		
13.		12. 13.		
14.		14.		
15.		15.		
16.		16.		
17.		17.		
18.		18.		
19.		19.		
20.		20.		
21.		21.		
22.		22.		
23.		23.		
24.		24.		
25.		25.		
23.		ı		
23.				

		Page 5			Page
1.	·	AGENDA	1.	MR. FARMER: I'm Chris Farmer. I'm	
2.	I.	Call Meeting to Order	2.	inspector supervisor for elevators.	
3. 4.	II. III.	Introductions Pledge	3.	MS. O'CONNOR: Kelly O'Connor. I'm a	
5.	IV.	Announcements	4.	board member representing public at large.	
6.			5.	MR. HALE: David Hale. Board member.	
7.	V.	Elevator Unit's Report	6.	MR. MOORER: Lewis Moorer, board	
/.	VI.	Amusement Device Unit's Report	1 7.	member. Member at large.	
8.			8.	MR. RADER: Mitch Rader. Board	
9.		* Consider Department's proposed fee structure/Board's recommendation.	9.	member. Insurance company representative.	
10.	VII.	Old Business	10.	MR. MOORE: Larry Moore. Board	
11.		* 16-01	111.	member. Owner and lessee representative.	
12.	VIII.	New Business	12.	MS. JEFFERSON: Kim Jefferson.	
13.	IX.	* None	1		
14. 15.	IA.	Discussion Items: * Rule update on LULA lifts.	13.	Administrator for Workplace Regulation and Safety	
16.	X.	Announcement of Next Meeting - The next	14.	Compliance Division.	
		regularly scheduled meeting of the	15.	MR. BAILEY: Dan Bailey. Legal	
17.		Elevator & Amusement Device Safety Board meeting will be held in the first floor	16.	counsel to the Commission.	
18.		TOSHA Hearing Room at 9:00 a.m. (CT) on	17.	MR. TODD: Rodney Todd. Southern	
10		Tuesday, September 13, 2016 at the State	18.	Region Boy Scouts.	
19.		of Tennessee, Department of Labor and Workforce Development building, located	19.	MR. BARKER: Mike Barker. I'm	
20.		at 220 French Landing Drive, Nashville,	20.	president of the Professional Ropes Course	
21.		Tennessee.	21.	Association.	
	XI.	Adjournment	22.	MR. KEIFER: James Keifer. Member of	
22.			23.	the Board of Directors, Professional Ropes Course	
23. 24.			24.	Association.	
25.			25.	MR. DEASON: Chance Deason. I'm	
		Doga 6	-		Page
1.		Page €	1.	general counsel for this department.	rage
2.				general counsel for this department.	
2. 3.		DDOCEEDINGS	$\begin{bmatrix} 1. \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		
		PROCEEDINGS	2.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower.	
	Elas	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the	2. 3.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office.	
4.		CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board,	2. 3. 4.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative	
4. 5.		CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 26, 2016.	2. 3. 4. 5.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department.	
4. 5. 6.	July	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon.	
4. 5. 6. 7.	July to th	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize those of you who came last time and then we had	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	July to th	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had nd up canceling the meeting. I would have	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic).	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	to the to en	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had nd up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	to the to end of am	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize those of you who came last time and then we had and up canceling the meeting. I would have the number for the quorum. And I had a ailly emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0.	to the to end of a made and	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had nd up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a nily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.	to the to end of a made and	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.	to the to end of a made and	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had nd up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a nily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3.	to the to end of	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4.	July to the to en made fam und spen it w	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize those of you who came last time and then we had and up canceling the meeting. I would have the number for the quorum. And I had a ailly emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you terstand. I know some of you flew in here, and some money. And I and again, I apologize, but	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	to the to end of farm under spendit we year	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize those of you who came last time and then we had and up canceling the meeting. I would have the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you the erstand. I know some of you flew in here, and I may be a money. And I and again, I apologize, but was my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	to the to end of farm under sperior it was year some	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but has my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 has and certainly wanted to be there in case	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	to the to expend to the total t	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a mily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but has my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 has and certainly wanted to be there in case mething happened. And a lot of you have asked	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	to the to expend to the total t	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a nily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but as my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 ars and certainly wanted to be there in case mething happened. And a lot of you have asked to the spent 28 days in the hospital and is home now. And thank you for your prayers.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	July to the to en made farm under sperior it we year soon about she'	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but has my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 has and certainly wanted to be there in case hething happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and is home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0.	to the mace farm under special some above she's	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a sily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but as my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 ars and certainly wanted to be there in case nething happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and is home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll the with Ms. Carlene and we'll go around the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 20. 21.	July to the to en made farm und speri it w year som about she'	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize those of you who came last time and then we had and up canceling the meeting. I would have the the number for the quorum. And I had a nily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you the erstand. I know some of you flew in here, and I made and	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with Professional Ropes Course Association.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 20. 21. 22.	July to the to en made farm und speri it w year som about she'	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 726, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but as my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 ars and certainly wanted to be there in case mething happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and as home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll the with Ms. Carlene and we'll go around the man. If everybody would give their name, please, what your who you're associated with.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with Professional Ropes Course Association. MS. RHODES: Anita Rhodes.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	July to the to expend about the start room and	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but has my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 has and certainly wanted to be there in case hething happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and has home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll the with Ms. Carlene and we'll go around the man. If everybody would give their name, please, what your who you're associated with. MS. BENNETT: I'm Carlene Bennett.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with Professional Ropes Course Association. MS. RHODES: Anita Rhodes. Department of Labor and Workforce Development.	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 22. 3. 44. 15. 16. 17. 8. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	July to the to en made farm under sperior it was yearn soom about she's start room and Board Board she's start room and she she's start room and she	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a sily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but is my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 is and certainly wanted to be there in case in thing happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and is home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll the with Ms. Carlene and we'll go around the im. If everybody would give their name, please, what your who you're associated with. MS. BENNETT: I'm Carlene Bennett. Interdescription of the could in the card Secretary. Tennessee Department of Labor and	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with Professional Ropes Course Association. MS. RHODES: Anita Rhodes. Department of Labor and Workforce Development. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Thank you all	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2. 3.	July to the to en made farm under sperior it was yearn soom about she's start room and Board Board she's start room and she she's start room and she	CHAIRMAN FOX: We will call the vator and Amusement Device Safety Board, 7 26, 2016. First thing I want to do is apologize hose of you who came last time and then we had ind up canceling the meeting. I would have de the number for the quorum. And I had a aily emergency, and I had to leave. I hope you erstand. I know some of you flew in here, int some money. And I and again, I apologize, but has my mother-in-law. I've only had her for 45 has and certainly wanted to be there in case hething happened. And a lot of you have asked the there. She spent 28 days in the hospital and has home now. And thank you for your prayers. Introductions. If we could, I'll the with Ms. Carlene and we'll go around the man. If everybody would give their name, please, what your who you're associated with. MS. BENNETT: I'm Carlene Bennett.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MS. DOWER: Jan Dower. Commissioner's office. MS. DURM: Leanne Durm. Legislative liaison for the Department. MR. CANNON: I'm Chris Cannon. Director of communications for the departments. MS. HARE: Catherine Hare (phonetic). Research analyst for the Seventh Government Operations Committee. MS. POWERS: Mary Audrey Powers. Navitat Canopy Adventures. MR. POLSTON: Brian Polston. EBL. MR. GUSTAFSON: Steve Gustafson. Owner of EBL. DR. JORDAN: Dr. Scott Jordan. Treasurer of the Professional Ropes Course Association. MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. Owner of Pro Image Adventures and an accredited member with Professional Ropes Course Association. MS. RHODES: Anita Rhodes. Department of Labor and Workforce Development.	

		Page 9			Page 11
1.	And David, will you do	C	1.	training the 1st of April in Gatlinburg and	υ
2.	MR. HALE: Flag.		2.	everyone got trained and passed their online test.	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Wait just a second.		3.	So they're credentials are good for the year. We	
4.	Don't run off. We'll take care of this.		4.	have been attending a communication and	
5.	MR. FARMER: Technology's awesome.		5.	accountability training over the last couple of	
6.	MR. RADER: When it works.		6.	weeks.	
7.	MR. FARMER: When it works. Yeah.		7.	I do have some numbers. Through	
8.	You really miss it when it don't.		8.	yesterday, we have done 12,329 inspections so far	
9.	MR. MOORE: Lewis has the flag on his		9.	this calendar year compared to 12,534, so we're a	
10.	jacket.		10.	little down on the numbers on that. We have done	
11.	MR. RADER: Yeah, Lewis has the flag		11.	234 new acceptances versus 242 last year. And we	
12.	on his lapel.		12.	have got 334 new applications this year compared	
13.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Sir, we'll have it in		13.	to 265 the last year. So we're definitely, as you	
14.	just one second. It's slow coming in here.		14.	can see through Nashville, we're growing. We've	
15.	MR. BAILEY: He tends to be		15.	got a lot of permits, a lot of elevators.	
16.	impatient.		16.	So we do have 27 total inspectors,	
17.	MR. HALE: What are you going to do		17.	including myself. And we are one short, and we'll	
18.	with the		18.	probably be filling it over the next couple	
19.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You got to improvise.		19.	months. So	
20.	MR. HALE: Ladies and gentlemen,		20.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	
21.	please address the flag.		21.	MR. FARMER: That's what I got.	
22.	(Pledge of Allegiance recited by		22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Item Number	
23.	all.)		23.	Seven, the Amusement Device Unit's report.	
24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you. In my		24.	Ms. Jefferson, recognize you, please.	
25.	former life, I could have been a Boy Scout and		25.	MS. JEFFERSON: All right. Good	
	·			-	
		Page 10			Page 12
1.	improvised. You know.		1.	morning. Good morning everyone. Good morning,	
2.	MR. HALE: Or a marine.		2.	board members. First, I'd like to recognize the	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Or a marine. Okay.		3.	Chair, Chairman Fox as well as the representatives	
4.	The safety announcement says, in the event of an		4.	from the Department and the general public. And	
5.	emergency or natural disaster, security personnel		5.	we're just glad to have you all here. We're glad	
6.	will take attendees to a safe place in the building		6.	you're able to make this meeting.	
7.	or direct them to exit the building on the		7.	My name's Kim Jefferson. I'm the	
8.	Rosa Parks side of the building. And that would be		8.	Administrator for Workplace Regulations and	
9.	which way? That way. Okay.		9.	Compliance division, and I come before the Board	
10.	All right. Item Number six, Elevator		10.	because we'd like to request your support so that	
11.	Unit's Report. Mr. Chris Farmer.		11.	we can modify the current amusement device	
12.	MR. FARMER: All right. We had a		12.	structure, as well as the Department rules. And	
13.	busy couple of months. We did hire four new		13.	so we're going to move on to the next slide.	
14.	inspectors March 16, which kept us fully staffed for		14.	Can you all see this or do we need to	
15.	about a month and a half till one of them left to go		15.	turn the lights off? Are you all okay with that?	
16.	back to where he came from for, of course, more		16.	Board members? Okay. Great. Okay. And let me	
17.	money.		17.	just let me do this. I'm going to turn off one	
18.	We hired Steve Millsaps. He's in the		18.	light. See if this is is that better?	
19.	Murfreesboro area. William Brooks, which is in		19.	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Yes.	
20.	East Tennessee, Knoxville area. And we did hire		20.	MS. JEFFERSON: I'll steal some	
21.	our first elevator female elevator inspector		21.	light. Okay. Great. Okay.	
22.	here in Nashville, Laralyn Drew. She's come in		22.	We come before the Board, again,	
23.	with a lot of experience and we're glad to have		23.	because we feel as though the current fee	
24.	her.		24.	structure does not provide what we need in order	
25.	We had our in-house training and QEI		25.	to administer properly administer this program.	
	Provided by Stone & G	eorge C	L Coui	t Reporting (615) 268-1244	

	Page 13			Page 15
1.	Our Commissioner, Burns Phillips, he's adamant	1.	they were collecting fees, inspecting, just doing	1 4 5 1 5
2.	about us having the proper tools, the proper	2.	everything that you would have to do that you	
3.	equipment, the resources that we need to do our	3.	would normally in the office. They had those	
4.	job. Unfortunately, the current fee structure	4.	duties, as well as the inspection duties. And	
5.	does not allow that. And so that's why I'm here.	5.	because of that, the program was unsuccessful.	
6.	Safety is our primary focus. Okay?	6.	Now, if you take a look at the	
7.	That's the main goal. And we're going to the next	7.	program facts, 54 permits were issued during	
8.	slide. We're going to talk about each one of	8.	time the last year we had inspectors, 54	
9.	those items.	9.	permits were issued during that fiscal year, and	
10.	So the question is, why is there a	10.	we collected \$58,525.	
11.	need to revise the current rules and why do we	11.	In February 2015, we decided to take	
12.	want to create a new fee structure? Well, it's	12.	a different approach, because this approach wasn't	
13.	simple, because the current fee structure is	13.	working. And so we decided to actually polish	
14.	ambiguous, it's hard to understand, it's	14.	our an administrative process. We didn't have	
15.	confusing. It's difficult for us to interpret at	15.	one before, so we implemented an administrative	
16.	times, and we know it's difficult for the public	16.	process and we polished the process. That's what	
17.	to interpret. We need to simplify that process.	17.	we're we've been continuously trying to do	
18.	We need to streamline it.	18.	throughout the months and the last year.	
19.	Right now, we have two fees. We want	19.	So from February 2000 what,	
20.	to streamline so that we'll have one fee instead	20.	February 2014 I'm sorry. February 2015	
21.	of two fees. The current fee structure is	21.	through, say, June the 30th, 2015 I forget it	
22.	insufficient, as I've said before, to fund the	22.	was only five months during those five months,	
23.	Amusement Device. We received an unfunded	23.	we were able to almost double the number of	
24.	mandate, which I'll talk about in just a moment.	24.	permits that were issued having the administrative	
25.	But we're required to become self-sufficient.	25.	process only, not even having inspectors. So at	
	Page 14			Page 16
1.	Okay?	1.	that point, we issued 104 permits compared to	Page 16
2.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide.	2.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we	Page 16
2. 3.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because	2. 3.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525.	Page 16
2. 3. 4.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we	2. 3. 4.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program.	2. 3. 4. 5.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months.	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled.	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett.	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there. There was no administrative process	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett. Carlene, if you'll stand. I know it's dark in	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there. There was no administrative process in place at that time, and so you found we	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett. Carlene, if you'll stand. I know it's dark in here, but Carlene Bennett.	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there. There was no administrative process in place at that time, and so you found we found that inspectors were not only inspecting the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett. Carlene, if you'll stand. I know it's dark in here, but Carlene Bennett.	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there. There was no administrative process in place at that time, and so you found we found that inspectors were not only inspecting the cases but they were actually distributing permit	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett. Carlene, if you'll stand. I know it's dark in here, but Carlene Bennett. She actually she's on the front line, so she answers all the inquiries about	Page 16
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Okay? We're going to go to the next slide. Okay. Let's look at our program history, because before we understand where we're trying to go, we have to understand the history of the program. This law, the Amusement Device law was passed March the 27th, 2008. It became effective on January the 1st, 2009. Now, the reason that the law was created was to protect the public from hazards associated with the amusement device industry, dangers associated with the industry. And also, there's a need to educate owners and operators so that they may become compliant with the amusement device laws. Again, this is an unfunded mandate, but even though it's unfunded, previously due to a public outcry, the need to have inspectors, two inspectors were hired even though moneys were not there. There was no administrative process in place at that time, and so you found we found that inspectors were not only inspecting the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	previous the previous 54 permits, and we collected \$83,600 in comparison to the \$58,525. And again, that was done from February 2015 to June the 30th, 2015; five months. Now, this last fiscal year was just ended on June the 30th. And that's from June the 3rd, because this was prepared for the last board meeting. But through June the 3rd June the 30th, rather, the past fiscal year, we actually issued 250 permits and we collected \$152,450. So you see the numbers have tripled from that first year, the last year that we had inspectors, the numbers have tripled. And so that's pretty much where we are and what we've been able to do administratively. And I'd like to recognize the two people in the unit, because it's only three of us, who handle the Amusement Device Unit. The first person on the front line is Carlene Bennett. Carlene, if you'll stand. I know it's dark in here, but Carlene Bennett.	Page 16

		Page 17			Page 1
1.	letters to our customers to let them know that		1.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Okay. And	- 1.81 -
2.	you're not in compliance with the law, and then		2.	I'll explain that in just a little bit.	
3.	she explains what they need to do in order to		3.	Now, with these funds, the Department	
4.	become compliant. In the event that we have to		4.	will hire one manager, because right now, I'm	
5.	refer the case to the local authorities because		5.	serving as the manager along with all the other	
6.	they won't comply with the law, she prepares that		6.	programs. This is just one program that we	
7.	paperwork. She keeps track of everything that we		7.	oversee in the Workplace Regulations and	
8.	do. So she's on the front line.		8.	Compliance Division. So we'll hire one manager to	
9.	And then we have Anita Rhodes.		9.	do this. We'll have one administrative support	
0.	Anita, if you will stand. Anita is responsible		10.	personnel to assist Carlene, and we'll have three	
1.	for reviewing the file after Carlene finishes her		11.	safety consultants to assist the Amusement Device	
2.	part. Anita reviews the file and then she		12.	Unit.	
3.	actually processes the payments. Okay? And she		13.	Those safety consultants will be	
4.	enters and scans everything within our system.		14.	placed throughout the state. We'll have one in	
5.	And of course, I prepare the		15.	each grand division, and those people will provide	
6.	processes for us so that we'll have proper		16.	oversight to third-party inspectors that will	
7.	processes in place so that we can do what we need		17.	continue to service the entire state, because, of	
, . 8.	to do to keep the people and the public and		18.	course, three people are not sufficient to inspect	
9.	visitors safe in Tennessee.		19.	all of the amusement devices throughout the state	
0.	So that's pretty much where we are as		20.	of Tennessee.	
1.	far as our facts are concerned. We do have more		21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms. Jefferson, may I	
2.	facts on the next slide. The Department has been		22.	ask a question, please?	
3.	successful in obtaining non-recurring funds in the		23.	MS. JEFFERSON: Sure.	
٥. 4.	amount of \$489,600. However, those funds are not		24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Will these safety	
5.	guaranteed beyond fiscal year '17, '18. So in		25.	consultants be certified by NAARSO or AIMS to be	
٠.	gumanteed sejond iisem yem 17, 18. 50 iii		23.	constitution of the first to be	
		Page 18			Page 2
1.	other words, they're going to last for two years		1.	inspectors?	
2.	and after that, we're pretty much on our own. So		2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, eventually, but	
3.	we		3.	we had a difficult time before, trying to find	
4.	MR. RADER: Kim, where does that		4.	people who were NAARSO and AIMS certified wher	1
5.	money come from?		5.	hiring. So we won't be requiring them to have that	
6.	MS. JEFFERSON: That money comes from	ļ	6.	when they're hired. However, that can come the	
7.	the General Fund. Because of it was a		7.	goal is to make sure we have sufficient funding to	
8.	legislative appropriation.		8.	keep them, because we only have funding two years.	
9.	MR. RADER: Gotcha.		9.	So if we're not successful, they won't be here past	
0.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay? So that money		10.	two years anyway.	
1.	comes from I'm sorry. Is that right? Leann's		11.	But our goal would be for them to	
2.	here so she can explain. Legislative appropriation.		12.	become certified. But they wouldn't necessarily	
3.	That's going to be a part of our budget. I know we		13.	be required to service the entire state, because	
4.	tried to approach it two different ways, and that's		14.	three people are not enough to service the entire	
5.	why I was going back and forth, because we tried to		15.	state.	
6.	obtain legislative appropriation or through		16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, I think at our	
7.	legislation, I should say.		17.	last discussion, we didn't ask for them to inspect	
8.	MS. DURM: It was in the Governor's		18.	all the rides. The one thing that we did ask for	
9.	budget, the legislation the legislature.		19.	was someone to come in as an unbiased party to	
0.	MR. RADER: So that's what was		20.	review any accident that may have happened. And	
1.	recently added based on us trying to hire three		21.	with that, I think and we also discussed that	
2.	inspectors from our previous meetings.		22.	those folks would eventually have to be NAARSO	
3.	MS. DURM: That's correct.		23.	and/or AIMS certified, either NAARSO level one, tw	0.
<i>3</i> .	MR. RADER: Correct?		24.	or three and that they would have to have those	-,
- . 5.	MS. DURM: That's correct.		25.	certifications.	
٠.	Mo. Domin. That s contect.		23.	company.	

3. 8 4. tt 5. If 6. if 7. v 8. If 9. If 12. If 13. If 14. tt 15. If 16. v 17. If 18. If 19. I	And we also discussed the fact that we understood that you couldn't hire them off the street maybe with that certification, but that they would eventually get that. Similar to Mr. Farmer's elevator inspectors, they don't come in with all the certifications normally. But will we get those inspectors is where I'm ultimately headed? MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA or whatever certification it is, I would be	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25.	MR. RADER: So the money's there. We're just waiting on approval from DHS? MS. JEFFERSON: Department of Human Services Human Resources, rather. Yes. The money's there. The money's there. It's not the money. But we have to in order to hire someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	Page 2.
3. 8 4. tt 5. If 6. if 7. v 8. If 9. If 12. If 13. If 14. tt 15. If 16. v 17. If 18. If 19. I	street maybe with that certification, but that they would eventually get that. Similar to Mr. Farmer's elevator inspectors, they don't come in with all the certifications normally. But will we get those inspectors is where I'm ultimately headed? MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Department of Human Services Human Resources, rather. Yes. The money's there. The money's there. It's not the money. But we have to in order to hire someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
4. t 5. 1 6. i 7. v 8. 1 9. 10. 11. 6 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.	they would eventually get that. Similar to Mr. Farmer's elevator inspectors, they don't come in with all the certifications normally. But will we get those inspectors is where I'm ultimately headed? MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	Services Human Resources, rather. Yes. The money's there. The money's there. It's not the money. But we have to in order to hire someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
5. II 6. i 7. v 8. II 9. 110. 5 111. 6 112. 113. 114. t 115. I 116. y 119. 120. I 121. i 122. i 122. i 123. a 124. c	Mr. Farmer's elevator inspectors, they don't come in with all the certifications normally. But will we get those inspectors is where I'm ultimately headed? MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	money's there. The money's there. It's not the money. But we have to in order to hire someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
6. i 7. v 8. l 9. 10. 7 11. 6 12. 13. 14. t 15. 1 16. v 17. l 18. l 19. 20. I 21. i 22. i 23. a 24. c	in with all the certifications normally. But will we get those inspectors is where I'm ultimately headed? MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	money. But we have to in order to hire someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
7. N. 18. 19. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11	MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But eyes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	someone in order to create a position, a safety consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
8. 1 9. 9. 11. 6 22. 3. 44. t 55. 1 16. 5 9. 11. i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	consultant position, you have to attain approval from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
9. 10. 7. 11. 6. 12. 13. 14. t1. 15. 11. 16. 17. 18. 19. 120. 17. 122. i22. i22. i22. i22. i22. i22. i22	MS. JEFFERSON: Not inspectors. There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	from the Department of Human Resources. We just can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
0. 7. 1. 6. 22. 33. 44. tt 55. 1 1 66. 2. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	There will be safety consultants. And we will eventually try to certify them. CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	can't CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
11. 6 12. 13. 14. t 15. 11 16. y 17. 1 18. t 19. 20. p 21. i 22. i 223. a 24. c	CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: We understand that, ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
2. 3. 4. t 5. 1 6. y 7. H 8. t 9. 20. µ 21. i 22. i 23. a 24. c	CHAIRMAN FOX: Can you give me an MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	ma'am. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
3. 4. t 5. 1 6. y 7. 1 8. t 9. 20. 1 12. i 23. 24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Again, it goes back to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
44. tt. 5. 1. 6. y 7. 1. 8. tt. 9. 20. µ 21. i i 22. i i 23. a 24. c	to the money, because if the money's not there, they may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I mean, we're we've been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
5. 1 6. 2 7. 1 8. 1 9. 2 20. 1 21. i 22. i 24. c	may not be here long enough for us to certify. But yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	been involved in those sort of the things before. We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
6. y 7. l 8. l 9. l 0. µ 21. i 22. i 23. a 44. c	yes, we will look into them becoming certified. I have no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	We understand that, but how long does the process take? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
7. In the second of the second	chave no problem with that, looking into them becoming certified. CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
8. 19. 9. 10. p. 11. ii 12. ii 13. ii 14. ii	chairment of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, I talked with representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
9. 0. II. ii 2. ii 3. a 4. c	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that's been the premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	representatives from our department earlier this week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
20. µ 21. i 22. i 23. a 24. c	premise of this whole conversation about having the inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	week, and we're just I mean, I'll just have to go back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
11. i 12. i 13. a 14. d	inspectors or managers and support personnel and inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	21. 22. 23. 24.	back and talk with them just to find out where we are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
22. i 23. a 24. d	inspectors here to go out when there was an accident and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	22. 23. 24.	are in the process. I'm not really sure if it's on the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
23. a	and review that and get a ruling. However, if they don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	23. 24.	the final if it's final approval, if it's in intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
24.	don't have the NAARSO certification or ACC or PRCA	24.	intermediate approval. But it has to go through	
		1		
.J. (of whatever certification it is, I would be	L 23.	various approvals before we can do what we need to	
			various approvals before we can do what we need to	
	Page 22			Page 2
1. l	hard-pressed to understand how they could do that.	1.	do.	
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, they won't have	2.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I understand that.	
	it when they are initially hired, because again,	3.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay.	
	that goes back to the difficulty that we had.	4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Is there anything we	
	That's why we ended up using one of the reasons	5.	can do to help with that process?	
	we ended up using third-party inspectors because it	6.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. Not that	
	was very difficult to find folks on the outset with	7.	process. We just have to wait for them to give us	
	certification.	8.	approval. Okay?	
9.	But I'm not I understand what	9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	
	you're saying and hopefully, we will be able to	10.	MR. FARMER: Ready?	
_	get them certified, but we have to hire them first	11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. Okay. And so	
	and then we will look at getting them certified.	12.	here are some of the purposes of the proposed fees.	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, that brings me	13.	Number one is to level the playing field. We want	
	to my next question: Where are we in the hiring	14.	to encourage fair competition in the amusement	
	process, if I may ask that now?	15.	device industry. We have situations now where some	
6.	MS. JEFFERSON: We're waiting on	16.	people are compliant, some companies are compliant,	
	the well, the Department of Human Resources. We	17.	some companies are not compliant. And as you can	
	have to wait on them to actually we submitted job classifications to them for approval, and so we're	18. 19.	understand, that does cause heartburn, because people call us all the time and say, well, why	
	waiting for them to approve those job	20.	should I comply with the law if the next company's	
	classifications. We can't do anything until we hear	20.	not complying. So that's the number one concern.	
	back from our Department of Human Resources. So	22.	We want to provide funding. We want	
	actually, we're waiting for them to give us	23.	to hire, and we want to maintain our staff,	
	approval. Once they approve those positions, then	24.	because again, the funding we received is	
	we'll be hiring.	25.	non-recurring, which means that it's only good for	
]	non-recurring, man means that it's only good for	

		Page 25			Page 27
1.	two years. So if we don't develop some type of	1 450 25	1.	that have been deemed unsafe, inoperable, where	ruge 27
ı	process so that we can become self-sufficient,		2.	companies do not have valid permits.	
l	then we won't have any employees. We'll be back		3.	We will also ensure accident	
l	to Carlene, myself, and Anita.		4.	reporting requirements. We do that now, ensure	
5.	We want to assist the Amusement		5.	accident reporting requirements and remedial	
6.	Device Unit to become self-sufficient. We want to		6.	measures are followed. I'm not sure if you all	
7.	educate continue to educate, because that's		7.	are aware, but on July the 3rd, there were two	
l	what we do. Every time through the telephone,		8.	recorded amusement device accidents. And so in	
l	every time we send literature out, we're educating		9.	addition to them reporting that information to us,	
l	the public as to compliance and non-compliance and		10.	we have to put our heads together and develop a	
l	statutory compliance requirements. We perform		11.	remedial action plan for that company. Okay?	
l	research. As I said, Carlene mainly does that on		12.	And sometimes they call us and they	
	the front end.		13.	want to immediately resume operation, and we have	
14.	We send noncompliance letters, cease		14.	to explain to them that there's a process.	
15.	and desist letters. We provide and gather		15.	Because again, our primary goal is safety. We	
l	necessary paperwork for companies to obtain annual		16.	have to ensure that the citizens are safe, these	
	permits and to become compliant with the law. We		17.	devices are safe. So they can't immediately	
l	verify third-party inspectors are certified. And		18.	operate. There's a process for that.	
l	that's a process that Carlene every time we		19.	We also perform data processing and	
20.	receive an inspection report, she has to contact		20.	collect and process payments. Anita is very	
21.	the certifying agency to ensure that that person		21.	involved in that process. Carlene generally	
22.	is properly certified. Because just because it's		22.	collects the money and tells them how much is due	
23.	on the website doesn't necessarily mean that it's		23.	and after that, Anita processes that information	
24.	correct. So she does that upon receipt of each		24.	for us.	
25.	inspection report.		25.	We also process and issue permits.	
		Page 26			Page 28
1.	We ensure program documents are		1.	Anita mainly prepares that documentation. And we,	
2.	complete. We refer companies that are		2.	as a unit, we maintain records and statistics. We	
3.	uncooperative, do not comply with the law to the		3.	know how many companies we have. We know the	
4.	local authorities. We also copy the Attorney			1 6 4 1 61 4	
5.	rotal additional field also copy the rational		4.	number of companies, the number of devices, the	
ı ~·	General's office as well as the District		4. 5.	number of companies, the number of devices, the number of companies that apply for permits, the	
l	_ ·		l '	•	
6.	General's office as well as the District		5.	number of companies that apply for permits, the	
6. 7.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately,		5. 6.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents	
6. 7. 8.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the		5. 6. 7.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to	
6. 7. 8. 9.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken		5. 6. 7. 8.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it,	
6. 7. 8. 9.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of		5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it.	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for		5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that.		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device.	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant,		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant, because there is a link. You can determine who's		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant,		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you know. We have to take into consideration the time	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant, because there is a link. You can determine who's non-compliant, because there is another link for that.		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you know. We have to take into consideration the time it takes to assemble the device. And so that can	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant, because there is a link. You can determine who's non-compliant, because there is another link for that. We perform on-site we hope to		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you know. We have to take into consideration the time it takes to assemble the device. And so that can be very, very complicated. We want a simplistic	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant, because there is a link. You can determine who's non-compliant, because there is another link for that. We perform on-site we hope to perform on-site visits. This is what our safety		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you know. We have to take into consideration the time it takes to assemble the device. And so that can be very, very complicated. We want a simplistic approach, not only for us to determine the fees,	
6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	General's office as well as the District Attorney's office. And they have been lately, I have to say that the local attorneys, the sheriffs throughout the state have really taken this seriously and they contact us upon receipt of those documents, and they're following through for us. And we're having companies to comply with the law based on the fact that they've been contacted by local authorities. We post non-compliant, compliant companies on our website. If you all haven't looked at our website, we recently revised that. So I encourage you to take a look, because it is updated. It looks really, really good. It's user friendly. And you can determine who's compliant, because there is a link. You can determine who's non-compliant, because there is another link for that. We perform on-site we hope to		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	number of companies that apply for permits, the number of permits issued, the number of accidents and fatalities that occurred that are reported to us. Because of course, if they don't report it, we don't know about it. So that's pretty much where we are as far as the purpose is concerned. I want you to focus on the current fee structure. This is very ambiguous. It actually takes three items into consideration. It takes into consideration the type of device. Right now, we have to look at whether or not this is a miscellaneous, a kiddy device, a large device, spectacular device. We also have to take into consideration how tall the passenger is. Is the passenger 42 inches tall, 42 inches or less, you know. We have to take into consideration the time it takes to assemble the device. And so that can be very, very complicated. We want a simplistic	

		Page 29			Page 31
1.	much is due. We shouldn't have to go through and	1 480 27	1.	bottom line, if you subtract the non-recurring	1 1180 31
2.	give them some type of computation as to how much		2.	costs which we calculated them to be 122,896	
3.	is due. They should be able to determine easily		3.	from the expenditures, then that means that we	
4.	how much money is due.		4.	would need to have \$409,920 each fiscal year to	
5.	Okay? And for that reason, we're		5.	fund this program. That's how much we need.	
6.	proposing a flat fee with a sliding scale		6.	Okay?	
7.	approach. We're proposing to take a look at the		7.	Over the next two years, this fiscal	
8.	number of devices. For example, if they're one to		8.	year that we've just started, July the 1st, 2016	
9.	five devices, then the annual fee, one annual fee,		9.	and the next fiscal year, we will receive moneys	
10.	one fee, would be \$500. If there are six to ten		10.	from the legislature in the amount of \$489,600.	
11.	devices, then the fee would be \$750. Eleven to		11.	So that will give us enough time to actually hire	
12.	twenty, \$1,000. Twenty-one to thirty, \$2,000.		12.	employees, become accustomed to actually having	
13.	Thirty-one to forty, 3,000. Forty-one to fifty,		13.	them to go out, discover companies out there, set	
14.	4,000. And fifty-one and above, 5,000.		14.	up our fee structure so that we can do something	
15.	Now, we didn't just pull these		15.	that would enable us to collect the amount of	
16.	numbers out of the air. Okay? We actually took a		16.	money that we need to administer the program.	
17.	look at the number of compliant companies that we		17.	Because we're not there yet.	
18.	have. And we're going to pull up another chart		18.	Based on what we did between three	
19.	for you all.		19.	people here, one person I'm counting Carlene as	
20.	Okay. You're going to have to make		20.	one person. If we multiply that times three, that	
21.	it put it in the screen there, Chris. Okay.		21.	would put us really close to that \$409,920 figure.	
22.	Great. Okay.		22.	So with three people, we know that we can do that.	
23.	So this document prepared with the		23.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms. Jefferson, may I	
24.	assistance of other representatives from the		24.	ask you a question, please?	
25.	Department, it demonstrates what we have to take		25.	MS. JEFFERSON: Sure.	
	•				
l			l		
		Page 30			Page 32
1	into consideration in preparing a budget. So	Page 30	1	CHAIRMAN FOX: These three	Page 32
1.	into consideration in preparing a budget. So	Page 30	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: These three	Page 32
2.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We	Page 30	2.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a	Page 32
2. 3.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider	Page 30	2. 3.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one	Page 32
2. 3. 4.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies	Page 30	2. 3. 4.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become	
2. 3. 4. 5.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit.	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride?	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent.)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become.)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that,	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus.)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient.)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget.	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified?	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information is accurate. We're actually entering information,	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, if we have	n
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information is accurate. We're actually entering information, because we'll be audited, and we have to make sure	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified?	n
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information is accurate. We're actually entering information, because we'll be audited, and we have to make sure this information is accurate and complete. And a	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, if we have CHAIRMAN FOX: Or you require them to be certified.	n
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information is accurate. We're actually entering information, because we'll be audited, and we have to make sure this information is accurate and complete. And a new computer system would assist us with that.	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, if we have CHAIRMAN FOX: Or you require them to be certified. MS. JEFFERSON: Well, actually, we're	n
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	we're just not pulling numbers out of the air. We have to consider salaries, we have to consider benefits, we have to consider equipment, supplies training, and other costs that are associated with administering the Amusement Device Unit. So if you take a look, you'll get an idea of how much it costs for salaries and benefits for each of the employees that we propose to hire. Okay? And if we take a look at that, the bottom line is that it's going to cost about \$532,816 the first year. However, three of those costs are non-recurring costs, which means that they're one-time costs and they won't be factored into the next year's budget. That includes those non-recurring costs are the computers, accessories, and software, the cell phones as well as the computer system. Because we have to have a computer system because we have to make sure that our information is accurate. We're actually entering information, because we'll be audited, and we have to make sure this information is accurate and complete. And a	Page 30	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	consultants, I just want to get it clarified. I'm a little slow, but I just want to get it clarified one way or the other. Are these folks going to become certified NAARSO or AIMS inspectors and be able to go out and inspect a ride? MS. JEFFERSON: That is our intent. They will become at some point, they will become. When they first start, however, they will have to help us to find to locate these companies, these non-compliant companies. That will be the focus. The focus will be to help us locate the non-compliant companies so that we can become self-sufficient. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Is there a timeframe for which you could give us where these folks would become inspectors? They have to be in the field for a year and then sit for the test at NAARSO. So can you give us a timeframe as to whe they would be certified? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, if we have CHAIRMAN FOX: Or you require them to be certified.	n

		Page 33			Page 35
1.	don't create a fee structure, we only have them for	1 4.50 00	1.	MS. JEFFERSON: focus it's	1 480 00
2.	two years. And I keep repeating that because it's		2.	three stacks.	
3.	true because we received non-recurring funds from		3.	MR. MOORE: Okay.	
4.	the legislature, which means that we don't have		4.	MS. O'CONNOR: And is	
5.	permanent funds.		5.	MS. JEFFERSON: So I have some	
6.	Like with Chris's program, the		6.	information for you all	
7.	Elevator Unit, you all we hire them, what,		7.	MS. O'CONNOR: Right.	
8.	immediately and then they work for about a year		8.	MS. JEFFERSON: to take with you	
9.	and after the first year, they flex them to		9.	to review.	
10.	MR. FARMER: Yeah. They take the		10.	MS. O'CONNOR: Is travel in there,	
11.	MS. JEFFERSON: What was it?		11.	because I or mileage reimbursement or because	
12.	MR. FARMER: They sit for their QEI		12.	I would	
13.	exam, become QEI certified after a year.		13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes, ma'am.	
14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Right.		14.	MS. O'CONNOR: Okay. I just couldn't	
15.	MR. FARMER: As long as they have the		15.	see it. So that's	
16.	background in the field. You know. We can't hire		16.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. It's line item	
17.	somebody with no experience and get them certified		17.	19.	
18.	within a year.		18.	MS. O'CONNOR: Okay. I was just	
19.	MS. JEFFERSON: Exactly.		19.	thinking, if it wasn't there, that was something	
20.	MR. FARMER: They have to be limited.		20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. That's very	
21.	MS. JEFFERSON: And so it just		21.	MS. O'CONNOR: we need. Okay.	
22.	depends. So there's no definite answer.		22.	MS. JEFFERSON: important.	
23.	MR. FARMER: Yeah. There's no		23.	MS. O'CONNOR: Yeah. Well, that's	
24.	cut-and-dried answer for it.		24.	what I was thinking.	
25.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms. Jefferson, I'm		25.	MS. JEFFERSON: We have to take that	
20.	OH MAN I (I OH) MAN VOIDISON, I'M		25.	MB. VETTERSOTT. We have to take that	
		Page 34			Page 36
1.	just looking for a timeframe. I'm just I like to		1.	into consideration. Yes.	
2.	be assured that that's what's going to happen.		2.	MS. O'CONNOR: Thank you.	
3.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But I want to		3.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. If you all	
4.	make sure that I'm clear that when we hire them,		4.	think of anything else, feel free to let me know.	
5.	their main focus will be to help us locate		5.	But travel is included along with training,	
6.	companies, because that's what we need assistance		6.	supplies, equipment. Of course, they have to have	
7.	with in order for us to become self-sufficient.		7.	computers.	
8.	Yes, ma'am.		8.	MS. O'CONNOR: So would that training	
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I would understand		9.	then include if they came in at that certification?	
10.	that and I support that. But again, I would like to		10.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's exactly what	
11.	know when they would be inspectors.		11.	that's for. Mr. Fox, I'm not sure if you heard that	
12.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And as Chris		12.	but that training line the line item for	
13.	said, we have no way of knowing. We don't know wh	o	13.	training, that's for certification.	
14.	we're going to hire and how much experience they're		14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Yeah. I saw that.	
15.	going to have. It just depends.		15.	MS. JEFFERSON: Training. Okay. All	
16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.		16.	right. And then at the very bottom, Chris, on	
17.	MS. O'CONNOR: On the budget, I'm		17.	the can we put all of	
18.	and maybe it's because I can't see it really well.		18.	MR. FARMER: Yeah. I'll have to do	
19.	I'm not seeing any line item there for travel.		19.	some adjusting for that.	
20.	MS. JEFFERSON: And you know, I have		20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. At the very	
21.	some documents. I'm sorry, Mr. Moore?		21.	bottom of this document	
22.	MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.		22.	MR. FARMER: Give me a minute.	
23.	MS. JEFFERSON: Do you see that stack		23.	MS. BENNETT: Hey, Kim.	
	of documents there? I had intended to		24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.	
	OLOGOUNGHIS HIGIE/ LIDAG INTERIORO TO				
24. 25.	MR. MOORE: This one?		25.	MS. BENNETT: If you put the screen	

1		Page 37			Page 39
1.	up, you might be able to shine it just on the wall.	Tage 37	1.	companies remitted. Okay? So we know how much	rage 37
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's true.		2.	the companies paid to obtain a permit this last	
3.	MS. BENNETT: Do you think?		3.	fiscal year, and you can compare that to what	
4.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's true. Do you		4.	we're proposing.	
5.	want to lift that screen?		5.	Okay. What we're proposing	
6.	MS. BENNETT: We can see if that will		6.	MR. RADER: What is this total, Kim?	
7.	work.		7.	MS. JEFFERSON: The total number of	
8.	MR. FARMER: It might be better.		8.	companies?	
9.	MS. BENNETT: Because it looks like		9.	MR. RADER: No. The total fee	
10.	it's projecting okay.		10.	schedule under the current	
11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. That's smart.		11.	MS. O'CONNOR: Current structure.	
12.	MR. FARMER: All right.		12.	MR. RADER: Under the current fee	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Thank you, Carlene.		13.	schedule. This total for all the list of	
14.	MR. FARMER: Thank you, Carlene.		14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Oh. How much	
15.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. If you take a		15.	MR. RADER: amusement	
16.	look at the		16.	MS. JEFFERSON: did we collect?	
17.	MR. FARMER: Bend the screen.		17.	MR. RADER: Yeah.	
18.	MS. JEFFERSON: Can you all see that?		18.	MS. JEFFERSON: We collected 150	
19.	Is that		19.	let me find that number for you. I know it's on one	
20.	MR. FARMER: Is that too is that		20.	of my previous slides. Let me see here. I think it	
21.	clear enough.		21.	was \$152,000 is how much we collected. Here it is.	
22.	MS. JEFFERSON: I know we have the		22.	Last fiscal year, we collected \$152,450 based on the	
23.	little ball dangling down.		23.	current fee structure. That was for 250 companies.	
24.	MR. FARMER: It's all I can see.		24.	They had a total of 2,188 devices. Okay? So we	
25.	MS. JEFFERSON: But we can't do it		25.	MR. RADER: Okay. So if we didn't	
25.	MB. JEI I ERBOTY. But we can't do it		25.	Mic. 10 10 Dec. Only. 50 If we didn't	
<u> </u>		Page 38			Page 40
1	all I'm sorry But I think that's okay Thank	Page 38	1	change anything and assuming everything stayed the	Page 40
1.	all. I'm sorry. But I think that's okay. Thank	Page 38	1.	change anything and assuming everything stayed the	Page 40
2.	you.	Page 38	2.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in.	Page 40
2. 3.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted	Page 38	2. 3.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes.	Page 40
2. 3. 4.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better	Page 38	2. 3. 4.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits.	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right.	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much,	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices,	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Journal of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay?	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Journal of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do.	Page 40
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	you. If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	Journal of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	Journal of the permits that were issued were based on companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued were issued or the companies who were issued	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	Journal of the permits that were issued were between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20.	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above.	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	Jif you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above. I provided the Board a list of all of	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above. I provided the Board a list of all of the companies that we've issued permits. Okay?	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: So those two added	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above. I provided the Board a list of all of the companies that we've issued permits. Okay? So you have 250 companies in front of you. You	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: So those two added together would be what your revenue would be for at	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above. I provided the Board a list of all of the companies that we've issued permits. Okay? So you have 250 companies in front of you. You have the company name on that document. You have	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: So those two added together would be what your revenue would be for at least two years, assuming you didn't issue one other	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	If you take a look at that, I wanted to explain this portion so that you all can better understand what we need and how we came to use these numbers. Like I said, we didn't make these numbers up. We didn't just pull them out of the air. 56 percent of the permits that were issued were based on companies with one to five devices, if that makes sense. 56 percent of 250 companies have one to five devices. Okay? 15 percent of 250 companies have between six and ten devices. 16 percent of those companies all the issued the permits that were issued or the companies who were issued those permits, rather, have between 11 to 20. Six percent had 21 to 30. Five percent had 31 to 40. One percent had 41 to 50. And one percent had 51 and above. I provided the Board a list of all of the companies that we've issued permits. Okay? So you have 250 companies in front of you. You	Page 38	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	same, that's what revenue this department brings in. MS. JEFFERSON: That would yes. If we issued 250 permits. MR. RADER: Right. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. And our goal like I said, if one person, pretty much, because we have one person on the front end who's assisting. So if we had we multiply that times three, then we'd be in the ballpark for the \$400,000 that we need to operate the program. So that's what we're trying to do. MR. RADER: Well, what I'm trying to in my mind, what would the department bring in based on your you've got 489,600 MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: already approved by legislation on top of the 152,450 is what you're bringing in. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: So those two added together would be what your revenue would be for at	

	Page	e 41		Page 43
1.	That's exactly right. And just keep in mind that	1.	amusement.	8
2.	the money goes back to the general fund. So we	2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And I just	
3.	don't keep any of that money. It's not like we can	3.	want to make sure that we're clear on that. Yes.	
4.	bank on it and use it for future years. It goes	4.	They will serve. In the event and I think that's	
5.	back to the general fund. So we won't have that, we	5.	the better way to utilize them. In the event that	
6.	have to start over.	6.	there is an accident, then they would go and	
7.	What we have to do now is get a	7.	oversee, because it was my understanding in the	
8.	process in place so we can see how close we come	8.	beginning, we wanted an extra layer of oversight.	
9.	to becoming self-sufficient and to act as if that	9.	That's the way it was presented to me.	
10.	money's not though, if our minds, we'll be	10.	So the oversight would be them going	
11.	using it, of course, but act as if it's not there,	11.	out if there is an accident, making sure that the	
12.	for purposes of determining what we can do after	12.	third-party inspector has done what they were	
13.	the first two years.	13.	supposed to do, that the company has done what	
14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: May I ask a question,	14.	they're supposed to, and help us to enforce the	
15.	ma'am?	15.	remedial action plan. Because again, we have to	
16.	MS. JEFFERSON: Sure.	16.	put together a plan after the accident occurs.	
17.	CHAIRMAN FOX: When these consultants	17.	And we have to ensure that the company complies	
18.	come to visit, will they charge a fee for that	18.	with our plan before we can give them	
19.	visitation?	19.	authorization to resume operation of the company.	
20.	MS. JEFFERSON: There's no fee for a	20.	MR. RADER: From information that I	
21.	consultation. No.	21.	gathered in this accident on the 3rd of July with	
22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: What about an	22.	Gatlinburg Coaster, part of their remedial process	
23.	inspection?	23.	per this department was changing of the	
24.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. They won't be	24.	manufacturer's manual. My question is, who is	
25.	inspecting. But the inspections will be on the	25.	qualified to	
	Page	e 42		Page 44
1.	front end like I said, on the front end, the	1.	MS. JEFFERSON: No.	C
2.	inspections will be performed by third-party	2.	MR. RADER: to tell them to do	
3.	inspectors, because we don't have three people	3.	that?	
4.	is that's just not sufficient. And I wouldn't	4.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. Not the	
5.	want to put the State in the position of having to	5.	manufacturer's manual. We actually here in the	
6.	answer, well, why didn't you all inspect a device?	6.	Department, we tell them to	
7.	Because we're trying we're turning over rocks now	7.	MR. RADER: So their own safety	
8.	trying to determine where these companies are.	8.	manual.	
9.	We're probably about to embark upon a	9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Their own safety	
10.	territory that we haven't seen before, because we	10.	manual. The company's	
11.	don't even know out there. So our goal is to turn	11.	MR. RADER: So again, whose	
12.	over stones so that we can determine how many are	12.	MS. JEFFERSON: The company's manual	
13.	in East Tennessee, how many in West Tennessee, and	13.	MR. RADER: Okay. But who's	
14.	how many are here in Middle Tennessee.	14.	qualified to tell them	
15.	MR. RADER: I think our next to last	15.	MS. JEFFERSON: What to change?	
16.	meeting, if I'm my memory serves me, is we didn't	16.	MR. RADER: how to change, what to	
17.	discuss the three inspectors going out and	17.	change? There are certain things that are just	
18.	inspecting each and every ride. They were going to	18.	basic to the industry. We have attorneys, general	
19.	represent the State in case of an accident just like	19.	counsel if we have questions about things that need	
20.	what's occurred on July the 3rd.	20.	to be changed. We can propose those questions. I	
21.	MS. JEFFERSON: Exactly. Exactly.	21.	have a legal background myself.	
22.	And they	22.	MR. RADER: I understand that, but	
23.	MR. RADER: So our expectations as a	23.	MS. JEFFERSON: So	
24.	board were we weren't expecting the three inspectors	24.	MR. RADER: Attorney versus somebody	
25.	to go out and inspect every ride and every	25.	that's schooled in amusements with the	
25.				

		Page 45			Page 47
1.	certifications would	· ·	1.	has changed.	Ü
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: But they're not		2.	MR. RADER: Is that ride-related or	
3.	looking at technical issues. These are more		3.	premises-related or both?	
4.	these issues the third-party inspector actually		4.	MS. JEFFERSON: It's everything.	
5.	provides the technical expertise. They indicate in		5.	Anything that happens on the premise. It's	
6.	their report, say, if there's something, some part		6.	device	
7.	of the device that's defective, they let us know.		7.	MR. RADER: Okay. So let's say it's	
8.	And if they do, we include that in our remedial		8.	5:30 on a Friday, July 4th weekend and there's a	
9.	action plan. From the Department's perspective		9.	slip and fall at somebody gets out of a cart and	
10.	MR. RADER: You get that from the		10.	they fall and they break their ankle.	
111.	third-party when they go out when the accident		11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, it has to	
12.	occurs.		12.	follow	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.		13.	MR. RADER: and the emergency	
14.	MR. RADER: My question is, when you		14.	MS. JEFFERSON: the definition.	
15.	tell them, they need to change something within		15.	The definition. There are definitions in the law	
16.	their safety procedures and their manual, who is		16.	that define what is a serious incident. They define	
17.	who required who has the requirements and the		17.	what is a serious physical injury.	
18.	certifications to do that?		18.	MR. RADER: Where is that?	
19.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, it's really		19.	MS. JEFFERSON: It's in the law.	
20.	generally, it's not that type of it's not		20.	MR. RADER: Where do we find that?	
21.	technical. From this office, we're not providing		21.	MS. JEFFERSON: It's Tennessee Code	
22.	technical. All the technical advice comes from the		22.	Annotated Section 68	
23.	third-party inspector. The third-party inspector		23.	MR. RADER: It's on your website?	
24.	says something needs to be changed in the manual.		24.	MS. JEFFERSON: 121. It's on the	
25.	Then we're relying on them, because they're		25.	website. Yes. Everything is on the website. And I	
1					
		Page 46			Page 48
1.	third-party inspectors and they're certified by one	Page 46	1.	would encourage you all to take a look at it,	Page 48
1. 2.	third-party inspectors and they're certified by one of the certifying agencies. So they're in a	Page 46	1. 2.	would encourage you all to take a look at it, because we have revised that information.	Page 48
1		Page 46	l		Page 48
2.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a	Page 46	2.	because we have revised that information.	Page 48
2. 3.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination.	Page 46	2. 3.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you.	Page 48
2. 3. 4.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what	Page 46	2. 3. 4.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them.	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements,	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that.	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area,	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct?	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past MR. RADER: No matter what.	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. MR. RADER: until Ms. Bennett sent	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past MR. RADER: No matter what. MS. JEFFERSON: They're supposed to	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. MR. RADER: until Ms. Bennett sent it me over in an e-mail.	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past MR. RADER: No matter what. MS. JEFFERSON: They're supposed to report everything now. The prior law only required	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. MR. RADER: until Ms. Bennett sent it me over in an e-mail. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	Page 48
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past MR. RADER: No matter what. MS. JEFFERSON: They're supposed to report everything now. The prior law only required them to report a serious, physical injury. Now,	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. MR. RADER: until Ms. Bennett sent it me over in an e-mail. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: Who enacted that	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	of the certifying agencies. So they're in a position to make that determination. What the State does is enforce what they provide us in writing. They're the ones that make those type of decisions. Now, we may tell them and we had to look at several different issues in the Department. And we tell them that hey, you need to take a look at your owners at your accident reporting requirements, for example. Because your accident reporting requirements do not mirror what the State requires. And we just recently had to do that. MR. RADER: Well now the new law requires any time the emergency vehicles have been or responders have been called, they're supposed to report it, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, in the past MR. RADER: No matter what. MS. JEFFERSON: They're supposed to report everything now. The prior law only required them to report a serious, physical injury. Now, they have to report a serious incident, a serious	Page 46	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	because we have revised that information. MR. RADER: Thank you. MS. JEFFERSON: And it's user-friendly. There's even a form for them to use in the event that they want to report the accident that way. Now they can actually send something on their letterhead, but we did that to make that more user-friendly for them. MR. RADER: Well, the reason I'm asking all these questions is I felt a little bit out in the dark when this occurred, because logistically where I live is in the Gatlinburg area, and the fact that this coaster owner knew I was on the Board. And then all of a sudden, he's telling me about a new law that I've polled the Board, and I have no earthly idea that that was changed MS. JEFFERSON: Yes. MR. RADER: until Ms. Bennett sent it me over in an e-mail. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. RADER: Who enacted that legislation? Who proposed it?	

	Pa	ge 49		Page	ge 51
1.	MS. JEFFERSON: We have to	* I	1.	this: With so much going on, when you have	,
2.	MS. DURM: That was a governor's		2.	legislation out there and I understand what you	
3.	piece of the legislation.		3.	all are saying. But with so much going on, keep in	
4.	MR. RADER: I'm sorry?		4.	mind that when legislation is proposed, there are	
5.	MS. DURM: That was a governor's		5.	ways to go on to take a look to see what's being	
6.	piece of the legislation.		6.	proposed. There are ways to track bills online, and	
7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Where's the initial		7.	that's what, you know, some of them	
8.	proposal from, may I ask?		8.	MR. RADER: So you're saying now it's	
9.	MS. DURM: That came at looking at		9.	our responsibility to go online to check to see	
10.	code here, didn't it, Kim?	1	10.	what's being proposed.	
11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	1	11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Well, I'm	
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	1	12.	MR. RADER: As it relates to this	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yeah. The Department	1	13.	board and this department.	
14.	reviews laws that are in place to determine whether		14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Everything that	
15.	or not something needs to be modified. And so yeah,		15.	relates to the Board, I would think that you would	
16.	that was something that needed to be modified.		16.	have a concern, especially for a legislative	
17.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Right.		17.	session. I'm surprised that you didn't know of any	
18.	MR. RADER: We're not I'm not		18.	of the	
19.	questioning that. But I mean, as a Board member, I		19.	MR. RADER: Wow.	
20.	would have thought that that would have been		20.	MS. JEFFERSON: amendments. But I	
21.	something that would at least we would have been		21.	understand what you're saying.	
22.	aware of.		22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ma'am, that	
23.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Yeah. At least known		23.	MS. JEFFERSON: I understand what	
24.	about before it happened. I just wonder how it got		24.	you're saying.	
25.	started. And may I ask you, did you consult with		25.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I've got to tell you.	
20.	stated. The may rask you, are you consult with		20.	orn man in the got to ten you.	
	Pa	ge 50		Pag	ge 52
1.	any member of this Board?		1.	I feel that that was I feel that we were kind of	
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Actually, the		2.	hoodwinked a little bit in this deal.	
3.	Department and the Commissioner, that is a part of		3.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
4.	what the Department does.		4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And, you know,	
5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, I understand		5.	communication is a very valuable thing. And had you	
6.	that, but the Commissioner also has this board		6.	told us, we might have been able to help you.	
7.	assemble as somewhat experts in the field, like		7.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
8.	elevators and/or amusement rides. I would think I		8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That's what we're here	
9.	would want to at least poll them to find out what's		9.	for.	
10.	happening	1	10.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And	
11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	1	11.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I'll assure you that.	
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: and to make		12.	We will help you any way we can, but you have to	
13.	recommendations.		13.	communicate with us or somebody from your office has	
14.	MR. RADER: Or to at least know about		14.	to tell us. We don't know.	
15.	it.		15.	We're people who have very serious	
16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Or at least know about		16.	jobs. We all work hard, and we don't have time to	
17.	it. You know. It's kind of like we're here right		17.	go on there to look to see if something has been	
18.	now. We were in the dark. We didn't know till we		18.	proposed. It's going to be adversarial to us. We	
19.	got that law.		19.	can't keep up with that. We don't have lobbyists	
20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Right.		20.	here to, for our board, to do just that.	
21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And these people are		21.	If you know of something, we would	
22.	calling us, telling us about it. It kind of makes		22.	ask you we would have thought you would have	
23.	us look bad.		23.	told us. But obviously, that didn't happen.	
24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Well, and		24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. I understand.	
25.	again, you know, with so much going on I'll say		25.	Again, some information on like I said, some	
	, you muon, muon going on Thi buy		٠.	, some mornation on the round, some	
		1			

2.				Page 55
	things are proposed on behalf of the Department.	1.	amusement device.	
	And that's you know, that's pretty much the way	2.	MR. HALE: Right.	
3.	that it's done. I understand your concern as far as	3.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's it.	
4.	that's concerned, but, you know, everything is	4.	MR. HALE: If they fall down on	
5.	pretty much done. And like I said, I would think	5.	the running from ride to ride on the midway,	
6.	that	6.	that's not device accident.	
7.	MR. RADER: We know it's done.	7.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. That's true.	
8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Oh, it's done. We	8.	MR. HALE: That's a walkway accident.	
9.	know that.	9.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's true. So	
10.	MS. JEFFERSON: It's done.	10.	anything that happens on the device. And as I said	
11.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Yeah.	11.	before, the statute defines what's reportable. It	
12.	MS. JEFFERSON: It's a done deal.	12.	actually defines a serious incident versus a serious	
13.	CHAIRMAN FOX: But we didn't know	13.	physical injury.	
13. 14.	about it until it came out.	14.	MR. HALE: Whether they're	
		1	transported off site for treatment, whether the	
15.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	15.	•	
16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And we wouldn't have	16.	injury requires hospitalization, so forth.	
17.	known about it now had somebody not sent it to us or	17.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
18.	furthermore, the folks up there got notice of it, I	18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Let me ask a question	
19.	guess, when they had the accident.	19.	about that, ma'am. Just want to get clarification	
20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And, you	20.	here. I want to be clear from now on. So if	
21.	know, going forth, I guess the best thing to do	21.	someone gets sick on a ride and they're dehydrated	
22.	would be to send if there's legislation pending	22.	or whatever and they have to be transported by an	
23.	by the Department, to send that directly to you all.	23.	ambulance to a local facility, is that a reportable	
24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, it's a little	24.	issue? And second of all, do we have to close that	
25.	late now.	25.	ride until we send somebody in to get it inspected?	
	Page	54		Page 50
1.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. I understand.	1.	MS. JEFFERSON: The law states that	υ
2.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Yeah.	2.	you have to cease and desist operation if there is a	
3.	MS. JEFFERSON: All right.	3.	serious incident, serious physical injury or	
4.	MR. HALE: Miss, I want to go back	4.	fatality. The law further states that you have to	
5.	and clarify in my mind something that you said. You	5.	report that accident to the Department.	
	made the statement that if anything happened on the	6.	CHAIRMAN FOX: So again, if somebody	
	premises.	7.	gets sick I'm talking about having a	
8.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	8.	MS. JEFFERSON: If someone is	
9.	MR. HALE: That it required a report	9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: GI issue.	
10.	into here. Well	10.	MS. JEFFERSON: If someone it says	
10. 11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Devices.	110.	•	
		11.	if someone's injured. But you have to let's take a look at the definition.	
12.	MR. HALE: a large failure in	I '		
13.	Middle Tennessee has somebody kicked by a cow	13.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I have.	
14.	doesn't require	14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Because we have to be	
15.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. Just	15.	very specific as to	
16.	MR. HALE: a report.	16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I have read it, ma'am.	
17.	MS. JEFFERSON: Just devices.	17.	MS. JEFFERSON: what the	
18.	MR. HALE: Or somebody that slips and	18.	definition says.	
19.	falls in a restaurant in a large facility in East	19.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Trust me when I tell	
20.	Tennessee doesn't require a device report.	20.	you I have.	
21.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's right. And	21.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	
22.	the statute is clear.	22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: But this new law puts	
23.	MR. HALE: It is actually only by	23.	us in the predicament of if someone physically gets	
~ .	T.C.A., only things that occur on the ride.	24.	sick	
24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. On the	25.	MS. JEFFERSON: I don't believe so.	

	Page :	7	Page 59
1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: It's in	$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$	MS. JEFFERSON: The last document,
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: And we have to look	2.	the last slide, rather.
3.	at	3.	MS. O'CONNOR: That 201,250?
4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: the incident.	4.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.
5.	MS. JEFFERSON: We have to look at it	5.	MS. O'CONNOR: 221 ride.
6.	on a case by case analysis. The best thing to do if	6.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And that was
7.	companies are reporting everything, they're	7.	based on 221 rides. If you base it on 250, then the
8.	reporting those incidents to us and then we let them	8.	amount would have been more like \$223,250.
9.	know how to proceed. But we have to take this on a	9.	MS. O'CONNOR: So we're seeing an
10.	case by case analysis, because one situation may not	10.	increase of about 50,000
11.	apply to the next.	11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That's why I said I	12.	MS. O'CONNOR: based on the new
13.	want to be perfectly clear.	13.	structure. Okay. Thank you.
14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. If you	14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Okay. Does
15.	call contact our office, we'll let you know for	15.	anyone else have any questions?
16.	sure. Okay?	16.	MR. MOORE: Yes. How many
17.	All right. Okay. And I think we're	17.	non-compliant companies do we have out there that
18.	basically done with the flat fee schedule. So	18.	you're aware of?
19.	that's what we're proposing, the \$500 for the	19.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the 250, those
20.	companies with one to five devices. \$750 for	20.	are the non-compliant companies that we've issued
21.	companies with six to ten devices. \$1,000 for	21.	permits to.
22.	companies with 11 to 20 devices. \$2,000 for	22.	MR. MOORE: And on the website, you
23.	companies with 21 to 30 devices. \$3,000 for	23.	said there's a listing of non-compliant. Does that
24.	companies with devices that have 31 to 40 devices.	24.	mean they're not paying their fees?
25.	\$4,000 for companies with devices in the amount	25.	MS. JEFFERSON: Exactly.
	Page 5	8	Page 60
1.	Page 5 of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50.	1.	Page 60 MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene,
1. 2.	-		-
1	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50.	1.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene,
2.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above	1. 2.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head
2. 3.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000.	1. 2. 3.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do
2. 3. 4.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of	1. 2. 3. 4.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out
2. 3. 4. 5.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above,
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure?	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant,
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get a sense of, you know, comparing apples to apples	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them compliant, there's a chance we're going to easily
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get a sense of, you know, comparing apples to apples here.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them compliant, there's a chance we're going to easily make out 200 and some number if we get the ones just
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get a sense of, you know, comparing apples to apples here. MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. If you take a	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them compliant, there's a chance we're going to easily make out 200 and some number if we get the ones just that we know about and especially the ones we don't
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get a sense of, you know, comparing apples to apples here. MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. If you take a look at that PowerPoint presentation.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them compliant, there's a chance we're going to easily make out 200 and some number if we get the ones just that we know about and especially the ones we don't know about.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	of well, the number of devices are 41 to 50. And then for companies that have 51 and above devices, we're proposing \$5,000. And in looking at the number of the percentages, you'll see the percentage at the very top, which shows you the number of companies that fall within those ranges. So that is the Department's proposal for a modified fee structure. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm sorry if I missed this, but based on this 152,450 of the, what, 250 companies on the current pricing schedule, what would this be last year on the new fee structure? MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Based on the new fee structure, if you take a look down at the very bottom there, I know it's very difficult. Actually, the 200 I think the 200 would be would come a little closer to the amount. And I also had another document here. Hold on one second. MS. O'CONNOR: I'm just trying to get a sense of, you know, comparing apples to apples here. MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. If you take a	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. MOORE: And if and Carlene, you don't happen to know off the top of your head how many non-compliant there are on the side, do you? In other words, I'm just trying to figure out how much more additional money would come in above, you know, just from the ones that we know about. Because I'm certain there will be ones discovered but MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MS. BENNETT: Well, actually the ones on the website are the ones that we've referred to local authorities. And there's about a dozen on there. But there's a lot of non-compliant companies that, you know, we're in the process of trying to get them to become compliant, because, you know, we have a process of asking them to become compliant, sending a cease and desist, and then referring them. You know. So, you know, I would say there's a lot of non-compliant we don't even know about yet. MR. MOORE: So if we do make them compliant, there's a chance we're going to easily make out 200 and some number if we get the ones just that we know about and especially the ones we don't

		Page 61			Page 63
1.	previously, we estimated about I think we said	- 1.65 4-	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: goes back	
2.	that there were about 500 companies, 500 additional		2.	MS. JEFFERSON: June the 30th.	
3.	companies, 500, 600. So that's what we're hoping.		3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: against the 4899.	
4.	We don't know for sure, but we're hoping that there		4.	MR. RADER: Okay.	
5.	are at least 600 more companies out there. And if		5.	MS. JEFFERSON: So we can't count	
6.	they're say, if they're evenly distributed, which		6.	that. That's what I was saying earlier. We can't	
7.	they're probably not, but if they're evenly		7.	build on it.	
8.	distributed in each grand division, having three		8.	MR. RADER: All right. That's where	
9.	people, that's going to help us to discover those		9.	I was confused. I'm sorry.	
10.	companies.		10.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's okay.	
11.	So after the first year, we should		11.	MR. RADER: Yeah.	
12.	have come very close to discovering those		12.	MS. JEFFERSON: Do you all have any	
13.	companies, because those safety consultants will		13.	more questions? Okay. Great. All right. And I	
14.	assist us to survey the territory and find and		14.	just wanted to say, because I you know, I'm	
15.	locate all those companies. That's our goal.		15.	trying to do the right thing here. So I just want	
16.	MR. RADER: Hey Kim, can I ask a		16.	to say that I know that there has been contention	
17.	question on the revenue again? Just to help me		17.	amongst the Board, and I know that we all want to do	
18.	understand. So		18.	the right thing. I know that all of us, we do what	
19.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.		19.	we do because we want to make sure that this is a	
20.	MR. RADER: if we use 152,450 of		20.	successful program, and we want to ensure that the	
21.	the income that this department currently generates,		21.	citizens and the visitors are safe.	
22.	assuming that you don't add another one, plus the		22.	So I think that we all have that in	
23.	legislative money totals cost 641,000. So you're		23.	common. That's what we want to do, and that is	
24.	saying that money goes back into the general fund		24.	the primary concern. So going forth, I will take	
25.	and you submit your budget and then that's either		25.	you all's considerations into just take that	
25.	and you submit your budget and their that's ertiler		23.	you and considerations into fast take that	
1					
		Page 62			Page 64
1.	approved or not approved, correct?	Page 62	1.	under advisement, because I understand you all do	Page 64
1. 2.	approved or not approved, correct? MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it	Page 62	1. 2.	under advisement, because I understand you all do	Page 64
1		Page 62	l		Page 64
2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it	Page 62	2.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on	Page 64
2. 3.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the	Page 62	2. 3.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department.	Page 64
2. 3. 4.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a	Page 62	2. 3. 4.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay?	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much?	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person,	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay.	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund.	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund. MR. RADER: Okay.	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven. Old Business. 16-01. Consider adoption of ANSI/PRCA Standard/Board recommendation.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund. MR. RADER: Okay. CHAIRMAN FOX: And that goes	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven. Old Business. 16-01. Consider adoption of ANSI/PRCA Standard/Board recommendation. MR. BARKER: Good morning, everyone.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund. MR. RADER: Okay. CHAIRMAN FOX: And that goes MS. JEFFERSON: The money it's	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven. Old Business. 16-01. Consider adoption of ANSI/PRCA Standard/Board recommendation. MR. BARKER: Good morning, everyone. I don't know if everybody's been here. I've been	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund. MR. RADER: Okay. CHAIRMAN FOX: And that goes MS. JEFFERSON: The money it's already gone.	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven. Old Business. 16-01. Consider adoption of ANSI/PRCA Standard/Board recommendation. MR. BARKER: Good morning, everyone.	Page 64
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, the way that it works is that we receive funding from the legislature for this year, because we just started a new fiscal year on July 1st, 2015 (sic) through the end of the fiscal year, which is June the 30th. So we're automatically going to receive funding for this fiscal year and next fiscal year. Okay? The MR. RADER: Of how much? MS. JEFFERSON: Four hundred eighty-nine thousand MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: six hundred dollars. MR. RADER: So the 152's what goes currently, what you bring in goes to the general MS. JEFFERSON: That's going to the general fund. MR. RADER: Okay. CHAIRMAN FOX: And that goes MS. JEFFERSON: The money it's	Page 62	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	under advisement, because I understand you all do want to be a part of everything that's going on with the Department. And I know earlier, we were talking about the inspectors, and I think that's pretty much how it got lost. We were probably still stuck on trying to get inspectors, and we had to move on to do some other things, because we still had to administer this program. So that's I believe that's, you know, where the lack of communication set in. So like I said, I'm a bigger person, and I want to apologize if you all feel that you did not receive the information that you should have. And going forth, we'll just make a really serious attempt to make sure that you all receive the information that you need. Okay? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FOX: Item Number Seven. Old Business. 16-01. Consider adoption of ANSI/PRCA Standard/Board recommendation. MR. BARKER: Good morning, everyone. I don't know if everybody's been here. I've been here this is my third time.	Page 64

	Page 65	1		Page 67
1.	just give a brief introduction. I'm Mike Barker.	1.	taking a look at the list here, I was looking at the	Tuge or
2.	I'm the president of the Professional Ropes Course	2.	number of companies with one device, and I did I	
3.	Association. I'm also the accredited a PRCA	3.	do see that there's one that's paying 175.	
4.	accredited builder, inspector, and trainer. I'm	4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: There's a letter on	
5.	also a member of the American Society of Safety	5.	the first page.	
6.	Engineers, the Association for Challenge Course	6.	MS. JEFFERSON: But for the most	
7.	Technology, NAARSO, ASTM. I'm a member of an ANSI	7.	part, when you average yeah, when you average all	
8.	committee for certificate accreditation programs,	8.	of those, between one and five, in the one to five	
9.	which is training certifying training programs by	9.	category, the \$500 is pretty reasonable when you	
10.	ANSI. And I've been a risk manager for the city of	10.	average all the of the companies. And what I did in	
111.	New Haven. And I've been a Chief Law Enforcement	11.	looking at that, I determined the number of	
12.	Officer.	12.	companies in that range and we have about 140. So	
13.	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Chairman, if I may,	13.	140 companies make up the biggest part of this list,	
14.	I think we may have jumped the gun here a little	14.	the 250. You have 140 out of 250, which represents	
15.	bit. Ms. Jefferson's proposal was asking the Board	15.	56 percent of that total.	
16.	for their approval of a rate increase, of amusement	16.	You have 44 companies that fall	
17.	device rate increase. So there's really a question	17.	between the five to ten range. That's 18 percent.	
18.	here as to whether or not the Board's going to	18.	You have 36 companies between the 11 to 20.	
19.	approve, disapprove, or table it. And I think that	19.	That's 14 percent. And really, when you look at	
20.	needs to be handled first before we move forward.	20.	it, no matter how you do it, you're going to have	
21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	21.	some upset people.	
22.	MR. HALE: So	22.	MR. HALE: That's right. I realize	
23.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms. Jefferson is	23.	that.	
24.	asking for an increase in fees. That has been	24.	MS. JEFFERSON: You're going to have	
25.	presented. What is the pleasure of the Board?	25.	people upset regardless.	
25.	presented. What is the pleasure of the Board:	25.	people upset regardless.	
	D((D C0
1	Page 66	1		Page 68
1.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat	1.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but	Page 68
2.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that	2.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the	Page 68
2. 3.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes.	2. 3.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one	Page 68
2. 3. 4.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the	2. 3. 4.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has.	2. 3. 4. 5.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those,	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee.	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee.	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that	Page 68
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states,	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while,	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's why it costs more to inspect it or to permit it.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the same boat that we're in. Their fees go into the	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's why it costs more to inspect it or to permit it. So like I said, I have a little bit	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the same boat that we're in. Their fees go into the general fund. The fees are charged the fees	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's why it costs more to inspect it or to permit it. So like I said, I have a little bit of concern of raising somebody who had one bounce	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the same boat that we're in. Their fees go into the general fund. The fees are charged the fees charged now in Arkansas are not enough to cover	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's why it costs more to inspect it or to permit it. So like I said, I have a little bit of concern of raising somebody who had one bounce house that was paying \$175 to 500.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the same boat that we're in. Their fees go into the general fund. The fees are charged the fees charged now in Arkansas are not enough to cover the cost of their program. Right now, they're	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. HALE: So you're in your flat fee proposal, that one amount of money is all that that company owes. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. Based on the number of devices that the company has. MR. HALE: I guess I have a little bit of concern about the person that owns one inflatable MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: paying \$500 and a large park that has 40 paying 3,000. It does not seem to be totally equitable in that sense. I do agree that we need an easier or a more concise way, but I think the intent of the Board at the time in providing that sliding scale was that the risk for somebody being on a roller coaster was different than the risk of somebody being in a kiddy bounce house and that and therefore, it required a greater amount of technical expertise for that device for that roller coaster versus the bounce house, and that's why it costs more to inspect it or to permit it. So like I said, I have a little bit of concern of raising somebody who had one bounce	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. HALE: I was just saying but for instance, as Chairman Fox pointed out, on the front page, there's 11 people that have one device MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: and every one of those, you're doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. MR. HALE: At least doubling their fee. MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. And that amount I don't have the State information and I know we do have, you know, how this is handled from state to state. And so we did look at other states, and we learned that Tennessee's fees are relatively low in comparison to other states. This is good. For example, and let's see here. I haven't looked at this in a while, but in Arkansas, for example let's see. It would not cover. Arkansas is pretty much in the same boat that we're in. Their fees go into the general fund. The fees are charged the fees charged now in Arkansas are not enough to cover	

	Page 6)	Page	e 71
1.	\$100. Two to six rides, they're charging 200. 16	$\begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix}$	MS. O'CONNOR: With regard to the zip	5 / 1
2.	to 25, they're charging 300. 26 to 35, they're	2.	lines, how and I don't know that honestly, I	
3.	charging 400. 36 or more, they're charging 600.	3.	don't know that much about zip lines. But when	
4.	But they're in the same boat that	4.	we're talking about a device category, how do we	
5.	we're in. So they're not going to be	5.	number those for the fee structure? I mean, is it	
6.	self-sufficient. I'm not really sure how much	6.	per line?	
7.	money they're receiving from the legislature. But	7.	MS. JEFFERSON: Generally, it's	
8.	you know that we didn't receive anything from the	8.	per the way we do it now Carlene can probably	
9.	legislature previously. We were fortunate enough	9.	explain. Explain the way we do it now, because	
10.	to attain moneys this year and for next year, but	10.	it'll probably be a lot that's the same.	
11.	there's no guarantee we're going to receive that	11.	MS. BENNETT: There are some zip	
12.	funding.	12.	lines that are per line. It's the ones where	
13.	And in Colorado, yearly application	13.	somebody steps up and they do the zip line and then	
14.	fee is \$500 plus a yearly inspection fee of \$130	14.	they to do it again, they have to pay again to do	
15.	per device. So as you can see, that's a whole lot	15.	it. But then there's canopy tours that has multiple	
16.	more. Florida, they have a yearly permit fee of	16.	elements, and that's considered one device.	
17.	\$430, and their new rides have license plates	17.	MS. O'CONNOR: Okay. That's where	
18.	attached for tracking purposes. They have	18.	MS. BENNETT: Where they pay one fee	
19.	inspection fees, as well, and they charge for	19.	and then they do the whole thing, you know,	
20.	non-kiddies, \$70; super rides, \$140; late	20.	endlessly, you know.	
21.	inspections are \$100. They collected \$65,000 in	21.	MS. O'CONNOR: That's where I was	
22.	late fees last year.	22.	going, because the one of the purposes of this	
23.	So compared to other states, it's	23.	new structure is to get rid of the ambiguity. So	
24.	still relatively I think it's a reasonable	24.	that's why I'm asking with regard to zip lines in	
25.	amount. In Kentucky, since that's a closer state,	25.	particular.	
	Page 7)	Page	e 72
1.	inflatables, they charge \$50, which are double	1.	MS. JEFFERSON: How do we	
2.	what we charge. Bungee devices, kiddie rides,	2.	determine	
3.	climbing walls, and water rides are \$75. The	3.	MS. O'CONNOR: How are we going to	
4.	go-kart facility, \$125. Major rides, \$150.	4.	determine that so that there isn't ambiguity going	
5.	Roller coasters, 200. Wooden roller coasters,	5.	forward?	
6.	300. Anything else not listed, 150.	6.	MS. JEFFERSON: And what we do we	
7.	So that just gives you an idea. And	7.	rely on the third-party inspectors to provide	
8.	I'll pass these around, because we got	8.	information in their inspection report to let us	
9.	MR. RADER: I'm good, thank you.	9.	know, you know, how many devices there are. So when	
10.	MS. JEFFERSON: So we'll just give	10.	we receive our inspection report, they would have	
11.	those to you.	11.	you know, they would have provided information so	
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you.	12.	that we can better determine. And hopefully, they	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay.	13.	can help get the word out, because a big part of	
14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: When do we meet again,	14.	this is education. You have to educate the owners	
15. 16.	ma'am?	15.	of those type of devices to help them to understand what's a device, you know, and what the fee how	
16. 17.	MS. JEFFERSON: Carlene, do you have that date? Is it on the	16. 17.	the fee will be structured in their situation.	
17.	CHAIRMAN FOX: September the 13th or	18.	MS. O'CONNOR: So if I'm an owner of	
19.	7?	19.	zip lines, and you were saying that the idea is that	
20.	MS. BENNETT: It's the last thing on	20.	the owners will know exactly how much they owe,	
21.	the agenda. It will be September the 13th.	21.	would your average zip line owner be able to	
22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	22.	calculate how much they know	
23.	MS. O'CONNOR: I have a question, if	23.	MS. JEFFERSON: That	
24.	I can ask it.	24.	MS. O'CONNOR: If they they would	
25	MS. JEFFERSON: Sure.	25.	owe correctly, based on this, then?	
25.				

	Page	73			Page 75
1.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. That's our		1.	five category.	ruge /e
2.	intent. And like I said, with the inspection		2.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.	
3.	report and Carlene, you can add to that. The		3.	MR. HALE: Under the current	
4.	goal was for the third-party inspector to tell us,		4.	MS. JEFFERSON: I have that.	
5.	you know, how many times they have to, for example,		5.	MR. HALE: That's what the new amount	
6.	what is it called, the stand that they jump from?		6.	will be, what's the	
7.	MS. BENNETT: Platform.		7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: The old	
8.	MS. JEFFERSON: The what did you		8.	MR. HALE: What is it currently?	
9.	say?		9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Let's see. It's on	
10.	MS. BENNETT: Platform.	1	10.	the let's take a look at the proposed fee	
11.	MS. JEFFERSON: The platform.	1	11.	structure. It's the I think it's the last page	
12.	MS. BENNETT: Or tower, rather.	1	12.	on the	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: I'm sorry. So the	1	13.	MR. HALE: Right. It would be 61,500	
14.	platform. If you have to enter on a platform a	1	14.	on that. What how much money do those people in	l
15.	certain number of times, then of course, you know,	1	15.	that category generate now?	
16.	that's calculated a little differently. But we have	1	16.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay.	
17.	to take a look at that. This is just the beginning	1	17.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Under this fee	
18.	of it. I'm sure we'll have issues like that. And	1	18.	structure.	
19.	we would have to address those before it's	1	19.	MR. HALE: Under this fee structure.	
20.	finalized. That's a good point. That is an	2	20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Okay. Under let's	
21.	excellent point. Thank you.	2	21.	see, we had well, see, there's no way to	
22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Taking go ahead.	2	22.	really is there a way to break that down, because	
23.	MR. RADER: No, I was going to say,	2	23.	under the current well, yeah, we just have to go	
24.	just looking through this briefly, I find three that	2	24.	over and add all those up, and I don't have that	
25.	if you go by the new fee schedule, they're actually	2	25.	information handy.	
١.	Page	- 1		ND WAYE OF	Page 76
1.	going to be paying a little less, and then I found		1.	MR. HALE: Okay.	
2.	three or four that are going to be paying		 3. 	MS. JEFFERSON: I would have to	
3.	substantially more. MS. JEFFERSON: Right.		<i>3</i> . 4.	MR. HALE: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: go through and add	
5.	MR. RADER: So obviously, you're		4 . 5.	all those up. It's about let's see here. It was	
Ι.	going to have some people that are happy and some			a 140 companies and they're the amounts varied	
6. 7.	people, which that's not really my issue, but have		6. 7.	between 175 to what have you, but yeah, I would have	2
8.	you really gone through here to make sure that I		7. 8.	to go through, because I don't have that	C
9.	mean, obviously, but the bottom line is you've		o. 9.	calculated	
10.	increased the fees. But I'm all for an increase. I). 10.	MR. HALE: Okay.	
11.	just want to just make sure that these numbers were		11.	MS. JEFFERSON: today.	
12.	kind of where you needed to be.		12.	MR. RADER: Kim, how do you treat the	
13.	MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And that's		13.	jump houses? Do you include the trampolines or just	
14.	why we were looking to you all to provide		13. 14.	the climbing walls and the everything but the	
15.	recommendations. If you have any other		15.	trampolines?	
16.	recommendations, you want to change the numbers, and		16.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, actually, at	
17.	still have us to become self-sufficient, that's	- 1	17.	this point we've had talk about trampolines. And as	
18.	fine. This is a starting point. We would like to		18.	far as I want to say they're not listed as an	
19.	move forward, but we understand that we need to wait	- 1	19.	exemption under the statue, which means that they	
20.	if you all feel comfortable on today. If you want		20.	would fall under the law. It depends on the number	
21.	to provide information at a later date, then that's	- 1	21.	of trampolines. It wouldn't be necessarily be	
22.	what we'll have to do. We'll have to wait.		22.	the entire because some companies have	
23.	MR. HALE: Would you happen to have	- 1	23.	MR. RADER: Some of them have eight	
24.	the number that under the current schedule, how much		24.	different trampolines together. Then that would be	
25.	revenue is generated by those folks in the one to		25.	eight plus a climbing wall plus a little zip line.	
	Dravidad by Stana 9 Casta		<u> </u>	t Poporting (615) 269 1244	
	Provided by Stone & Georg	je CC	Jur	1 Reporting (013) 200-1244	

	Page 77			Page 79
1.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, we don't do	1.	you start down the proposed rule road and then	
2.	climbing walls. We don't represent	2.	there's a I think the statute says there's 10	
3.	MR. RADER: Okay. That's right.	3.	people object to it when it's in the being	
4.	Yeah.	4.	published, then you got to start all over and go	
5.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. We don't do	5.	down rulemaking hearing process anyway.	
6.	climbing walls, but yeah, for each device we would	6.	And with something like increasing	
7.	have we have inspectors. We would really have to	7.	fees, you know, there's a chance certainly that	
8.	rely on the third-party inspectors and probably	8.	someone from the public is going to comment on	
9.	include something on the inspection report and just	9.	that. So I would recommend you just go down that	
10.	ask them specifically, how many devices? Talk with	10.	road if you're going to, you know, change the	
11.	the third-party inspectors and ask them. That way,	11.	fees.	
12.	it's not on us as the Department or the Unit,	12.	If you go to do rulemaking hearing	
13.	because I know Carlene doesn't want to determine how	13.	process, once it comes back from the Governor's	
14.	many zip lines or how many lines or how many	14.	Office, you'd have to we'd have to set a date	
15.	trampolines. So we're going to have to put that on	15.	for the rulemaking hearing, and that has to be at	
16.	the third-party inspector	16.	least 60 days out, because it has to be posted for	
17.	MR. HALE: But each trampoline in a	17.	45 days at the Secretary of State's Office on the	
18.	facility is a device.	18.	Tennessee Register. So you then you'd have	
19.	MS. JEFFERSON: If it's right.	19.	your rulemaking hearing. As I've said, it'd have	
20.	Some companies have several trampolines.	20.	to be some 60 days beyond when you have gotten it	
21.	MR. HALE: Right.	21.	back from the Governor's Office.	
22.	MS. JEFFERSON: But each one would be	22.	You have the rulemaking hearing	
23.	a separate device.	23.	and this is another question on rulemaking	
24.	MR. HALE: A separate device.	24.	hearing. You can have one rulemaking hearing at	
25.	MS. JEFFERSON: Uh-huh. Because	25.	one location or you can have one, like, at two or	
	Page 78			Page 80
1.	yes, some companies may have two, other companies	1.	three you know, two or three. If you want to	
2.	may have ten. So	2.	do east, west, and middle, then, you know, we	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: May I ask a question?	3.	could do that. But all of those decisions have to	
4.	If we if this Board said, we'd like to implement	4.	be made.	
5.	this fee structure today or we pass it, when does it	5.	But after the rulemaking hearing is	
6.	go into effect?	6.	complete, then we have to respond to all the	
7.	MS. JEFFERSON: We'd have to change	7.	comments that are made, and then once that's done,	
8.	the rules. And so we have to work with you all to	8.	we would then submit it to the Attorney General's	
9.	change the rules. And Dan can explain the	9.	Office for their review and approval. And once	
10.	rulemaking process.	10.	they approve it, sometimes that, you know, takes a	
11.	MR. BAILEY: Well, first of all, it	11.	few weeks before they do their thing. Then they	
12.	would have to be the you'd have I'd have to	12.	would send it back if they approve it and then we	
13.	create a what's called a red line version of the	13.	would have to submit it to the three signed	
14.	rule, which shows what's being struck out, what's	14.	originals to the Secretary of State's Office along	
15.	being added in, and then submit that to the	15.	with the red lined version and a CD.	
16.	Governor's Office for their review and approval.	16.	And then once it's filed with	
17.	And then if they approve it, then it	17.	Secretary of State's Office, typically, the rule	
18.	comes back to us and a decision that needs to be	18.	will become effective 90 days later. Okay? So	
19.	made is there's two avenues that you can go down	19.	you know, so it's not going to happen tomorrow.	
20.	on the rulemaking process. You can go what's	20.	It's going to be even if	
21.	called proposed rulemaking and then there's	21.	MR. RADER: Middle of 2017.	
22.	rulemaking hearing rulemaking. And if you think	22.	MR. BAILEY: Even if it's approved	
23.	there's going to be comments from the public about	23.	and we start working on it tomorrow, it would	
24.	the rule you're changing, then the best thing to	24.	it'd be 2017 sometime before it would actually be	
25.	do is go rulemaking hearing process, because if	25.	effective.	
	Provided by Stone & George C	COLLI	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244	

i		Page 81			Page 83
1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: So if this Board	1 480 01	1.	MS. O'CONNOR: But if I'm	1 480 00
2.	waited and waited until September		2.	understanding this correctly, if we review this in	
3.	MR. BAILEY: And one other thing, if		3.	September, massage it out, we still should be in	
4.	I may interrupt.		4.	good shape, in theory, of getting this in before	
5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I'm sorry.		5.	'17, '18 budget year, correct?	
6.	MR. BAILEY: We would have had to		6.	MR. BAILEY: I believe so. Yes.	
7.	have gotten it filed with the Secretary of State's		7.	MS. O'CONNOR: Okay. So from this	
8.	office at least 90 days prior to July 1 of 2017		8.	meeting to the next meeting, with that deadline in	
9.	before it could be effective. Because if you if		9.	mind, and that as I understand it really is our true	
10.	it's filed where the effective date comes after		10.	deadline of getting this into effect for admin	
11.	July 1, which starts a new fiscal year, then it		11.	review, we should be okay.	
12.	cannot be effective until the following July 1. So		12.	MR. BAILEY: Yes.	
13.	you'd have to get it filed by around before April		13.	MS. O'CONNOR: Thank you.	
14.	if it was going to take effect by July 1 of 2017.		14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: May I ask another	
15.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. So backing this		15.	question? Would September 13th be sufficient time	
16.	out, what I think what I heard you say, if we waited		16.	to hire some of those safety consultants?	
17.	until September the 13th and massaged what we have		17.	MS. JEFFERSON: If they've been	
18.	here and looked at this, it really won't make a lot		18.	approved by the Department of Human Resources.	
16. 19.	of difference. Is that a fair statement?		19.	Right. It's as soon as we have permission, then	
20.	MR. BAILEY: I think that's a fair		20.	we'll be proceeding with the green light, but we	
20.	statement. Sure.		21.	can't do anything until we hear back from them.	
22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: So that we have a		22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: But based upon your	
23.	better grasp and understanding. Because this is the		ı	knowledge inner working of the government, would	1
23. 24.	first time that we had seen the proposed new		23. 24.	you say that's a fair estimate; that September the	1
25.	proposed fees and how they were going to be		25.	13th, we would have permission to hire someone?	
23.	proposed rees and now they were going to be		23.	13th, we would have permission to fine someone?	
		Page 82			Page 84
1.	administered.	8	1.	MS. JEFFERSON: We'll have to I	- 1.8.
2.	MR. BAILEY: Correct.		2.	hate to set myself up for a statement that I can't	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And so really and		3.	back up. It just depends, because we're just one	
4.	truly, it won't have any effect. Will this affect		4.	state department within the entire state, and so	
5.	the budget, Ms. Jefferson?		5.	they have others. I don't know what's pending prior	
6.	MS. JEFFERSON: It won't affect the		6.	to us. I really don't know. So I'd rather just say	
7.	budget.		7.	I don't know than give you all inaccurate	
8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.		8.	information.	
9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well, it could affect		9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. That's	
10.	the program if we didn't you know, there's a		10.	acceptable. All right. So what's the pleasure of	
11.	sense of urgency. And this isn't the first time,		11.	the Board on the fee structure?	
12.	you know, we've talked about proposed fees. And		12.	MR. HALE: I make a motion that we	
13.	like I said, I know we kind of got caught up before,		13.	place it on the agenda for September the 13th.	
14.	because we were talking about inspectors. But over		14.	MR. RADER: I'll second it.	
15.	a year ago, I talked about the proposed fees.		15.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I have a motion and a	
16.	And I there's a sense of urgency,		16.	second. Any discussion? All in favor of the	
17.	because if we end up with no money to fund the		17.	motion, let it be known by saying "aye".	
18.	program, we're back in the same position that		18.	MS. O'CONNOR: Aye.	
19.	we're in now. So that's the sense of urgency. We		19.	MR. RADER: Aye.	
20.	would like to get this done as soon as possible.		20.	MR. MOORE: Aye.	
21.	MR. RADER: But you're guaranteed the		21.	MR. HALE: Aye.	
22.	489 for two more years.		22.	MR. MOORER: Aye.	
23.	MS. JEFFERSON: For two years.		23.	CHAIRMAN FOX: All opposed by like	
24.	Uh-huh.		24.	sign. Thank you all very much anything else on	
	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.		25.	that?	
l					
25.					

		Page 85			Page 87
1.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. Thank you.	1 480 00	1.	opt-in e-mail opt-in and I have a list of all of	I age of
2.	MR. HALE: I just have one question.		2.	them. Just like when we have a board meeting and I	
3.	Would it and I agree with your thought process		3.	send an e-mail blast to everyone letting them	
4.	that we need to go with the hearing route and that		4.	know	
5.	certainly is the way to go, because if you're		5.	MR. MOORER: Okay. Okay.	
6.	doubling people's fees, somebody's going to come		6.	MS. BENNETT: where and when the	
7.	down here and jump up and down.		7.	meeting's going to be. So yeah, that I do	
8.	MR. BAILEY: Yeah.		8.	have	
9.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.		9.	MR. MOORER: So the same process, we	
10.	MR. HALE: So we may as well let		10.	could make sure, like Robbie said, they understand	
11.	them we may as well set the process in motion to		11.	that change is on the way.	
12.	allow for that time. You said that we could carry		12.	MS. BENNETT: Well, we can let them	
13.	that to three locations or other locations if we		13.	know, you know, the topic and where the meeting's	
14.	chose to. If we, say, for instance, said we want to		14.	going to be if they would like to participate.	
15.	also allow a hearing in East Tennessee where a		15.	MR. MOORER: Okay.	
16.	lion's share of those one to five folks are, is		16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Very good. And with	
17.	there then a requirement that it has to be in the		17.	that being said, will you communicate with us how	
18.	other grand division, as well.		18.	many that have been notified and/or responded? Is	
19.	MR. BAILEY: No.		19.	that possible? I mean, I know, like, when I send an	
20.	MR. HALE: Okay.		20.	e-mail, I ask for a kickback on it to tell me how	
21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I'll tell you now, my		21.	many people received the message. Is that possible?	
22.	position is we tell the world, we put it out in all		22.	MS. BENNETT: It could be. I don't	
23.	the places that we need to. And I don't know that		23.	know. Typically, I don't have them respond back to	
24.	we wouldn't send these folks that we have, the		24.	me. It's more of a matter of fact, here's the topic	
25.	addresses, that we send them if we have e-mails		25.	and here because I get enough of them that e-mail	
	,				
		Page 86			Page 88
1.	or mailing addresses, send them a letter. Let them		1.	me back that say, please take me off your list, you	
2.	know. They're in this with us. And let them know		2.	know, because they signed up and then they no longer	r
3.	that there's a proposed fee structure change coming		3.	want to be on the list. And then I have a majority	
4.	up. I think we need to communicate with them. I		4.	of them that kick back, because the e-mail's no	
5.	they're a part of this deal.		5.	longer valid. But to get 250 plus e-mails back,	
6.	MS. JEFFERSON: Exactly.		6.	it's kind of overload for me.	
7.	MR. HALE: And I think that a fee		7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I was just trying	
8.	change is inevitable, and folks are just going to		8.	to I'm not cheap, but I am inexpensive, and I'd	
9.	have to prepare for that. I think the way we		9.	rather not use the postage if we don't have to. I'm	
10.	institute it may still need some massaging, but I		10.	trying to save the State a little money. And if we	
11.	think it's obvious that a fee structure change is		11.	could just e-mail them and just make sure that they	
12.	inevitable.		12.	received it in some fashion, that's all I meant.	
13.	MR. RADER: Well, based on your		13.	MS. BENNETT: Okay.	
14.	numbers, though, some of these people will be happy,		14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And if you say, hey,	
15.	because their fee will go down.		15.	these people did, I'm good.	
16.	MR. HALE: Yeah. Yeah. There's		16.	MS. BENNETT: Oh. I can provide you	
17.	going to be some folks that are going to be happy,		17.	with the list of who I e-mail for sure.	
18.	because their prices are going to		18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	
19.	MR. RADER: I hate to take it away		19.	MR. MOORE: You know, who's received	
20.	but		20.	it. That won't be a problem.	
21.	MR. HALE: There's also		21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Very good.	
22.	MR. MOORER: Yeah. All these people		22.	MR. MOORE: But answering 250 with my	
23.	will be contacted by e-mail?		23.	schedule, it's a little bit of a problem.	
24.	MS. BENNETT: The majority of them.		24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. I understand.	
25.	When they turn in their permit packet, there's an		25.	All right. Anything else on this topic.	
	• • •				
<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
	Provided by Stone & G	eorge C	Jour	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244	

		Page 89			Page 9
1.	Mr. Bailey, did we meet your expectations?	Tuge o	1.	adventure parks where you have 80 elements up there	-
2.	MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir.		2.	and you're going to say, that's one device. So	
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: All right. Thank you		3.	you're going to have to look at those aspects,	
4.	very much. Mr. Barker, you're back up and I		4.	because that's where your real revenue is. Aerial	
5.	apologize.		5.	adventure park at 80 elements. If you're saying	
6.	MR. BARKER: Okay.		6.	each course within there, the green one is one	
7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That was my fault.		7.	device, the blue one's one, too. That's going to	
8.	MR. BARKER: No trauma.		8.	help your revenue increases. That's what's going to	
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I should have caught		9.	help your and with the revenue they have coming	
0.	it or asked for that proposal, but I did not, and I		10.	in, that's affordable to them. So just a brief	
1.	apologize to you, sir.		11.	thing on that. So I'm sorry about that.	
2.	MR. BARKER: I want to do want to		12.	So back to I'm not going to go	
3.	say something quickly about the last argued		13.	through the first part of the introduction again,	
4.	you're speaking of. A zip line course that's		14.	but the only part I am going to get into because I	
5.	getting charged for one device is absurd. All		15.	feel it's something very important for the safety	
5.	right? And this is coming from someone in the		16.	committee. I have been involved in investigating	
7.	industry. If I got eight zip lines out there and		17.	accidents within this industry and for OSHA, for	
, . 8.	you're charging me \$500 for my permit, I'm dancing		18.	fall production, forensic investigation for OSHA	
9.	all the way to the bank. All right.		19.	for federal OSHA and for state OSHA for years.	
).	Whereas, if you're charging and		20.	And I have assisted with the fatality in Michigan	
). 1.	we and it's something that Dr. Jordan is going		21.	that occurred to an 80-year-old man. I assisted	
2.	to talk in a couple minutes. If you're charging		22.	somewhat with the Tennessee OSHA situation down	
2. 3.	Boy Scouts as an amusement device when they're		23.	here a while and helping them to identify what the	
۶. 4.	actually an educational operation because they		24.	situation was and what led to this thing and how	
1 . 5.	have one zip line, that much makes no sense.		25.	it could have been addressed.	
۶.	have one zip fine, that much makes no sense.		23.	it could have been addressed.	
		Page 90			Page 9
1.	Massachusetts made that statement statefully at		1.	And that's one aspect we go through.	
2.	their legislation. They put out general listings		2.	A thumb note was brought up earlier that I just	
3.	and said, everyone's going to have to have		3.	want to hit on real quickly is that you'll have	
4.	permits, everyone's going to have to have this,		4.	inspectors coming out here and I assume that new	
5.	and they put it out there as law and all their		5.	inspectors are going to be all amusement devices	
5.	board heads went ballistic, because every single		6.	not just zip lines and aerial adventure parks. Is	
7.	high school that had a course and everything else		7.	that what this is? All right. For the	
3.	suddenly had to get permits. So we're stealing		8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: To answer your	
€.	from this department to that department and, in		9.	question, Mr. Barker, our intent	
).	fact, it's saying so you just want to be		10.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	
1.	careful when you look at these things.		11.	CHAIRMAN FOX: our understanding	
2.	Educational things like regular		12.	is that when we get an inspector on the Board	
3.	challenge courses shouldn't be required to meet		13.	MR. BARKER: Uh-huh.	
•	amusement device requirements, but if you have a		14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: that they would be	
1	amazonioni actico requiremento, but il you have a		15.	NAARSO or AIMS level one or level two and level	
	zin line course with you know multiple zin lines		16.	three certified and furthermore, they'd be A at	
5.	zip line course with, you know, multiple zip lines			unce cerunica and furthermore, they a be A at	
5. 5.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every			least at this juncture today right now as we encel	
5. 5. 7.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered		17.	least at this juncture today, right now as we speak,	
5. 5. 7. 3.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next		17. 18.	ACCT certified	
5. 5. 7. 3.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one		17. 18. 19.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right.	
5. 5. 7. 3.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway.		17. 18. 19. 20.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go	
5. 6. 7. 3. 9.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But		17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go out and do any one of those.	
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But MR. BARKER: So that's one device and		17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go out and do any one of those. MR. BARKER: And what about PRCA?	
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 11.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But MR. BARKER: So that's one device and that one is individually licensed. The next that		17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go out and do any one of those. MR. BARKER: And what about PRCA? CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, we haven't	
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 0. 1. 2.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But MR. BARKER: So that's one device and that one is individually licensed. The next that you get onto, individually licensed. So for what		17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go out and do any one of those. MR. BARKER: And what about PRCA? CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, we haven't gotten there yet.	
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 1. 2.	and so forth, the way New Jersey does it is every single zip line from start to finish is considered one device. So even if they have two running next to each other, they'll still consider that one because they're on the same pathway. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. But MR. BARKER: So that's one device and that one is individually licensed. The next that		17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	ACCT certified MR. BARKER: Right. CHAIRMAN FOX: to be able to go out and do any one of those. MR. BARKER: And what about PRCA? CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, we haven't	

5. 6. jr. 7. pp. 8. dd 9. to 10. co 11. tt 12. a 13. tt 14. 15. fd k. 17. tt 18. b 19. tt 19. tt 120. A 221. tt 122.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That's for this MR. BARKER: What I wanted CHAIRMAN FOX: That's for this Board of decide. MR. BARKER: Well, the PRCA thing, ust so you understand, the inspector training program for PRCA actually is hands-on; it's four lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the est the next morning. I went through the ACCT pertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	the things and but we've already been exposed, so if I had the disk, I would have done the PowerPoint at this point but So I like having to look through this in general, but let me just hit highlight a couple of real fast things here. If you go to page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
3. 4. to 5. 7. pp 8. do 9. to 10. cc 11. tl 12. a 13. tl 14. 15. fo 16. k 17. tl 18. b 19. tl 20. A 21. tl 22. 23. to 24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That's for this Board of decide. MR. BARKER: Well, the PRCA thing, ust so you understand, the inspector training program for PRCA actually is hands-on; it's four lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the lest the next morning. I went through the ACCT pertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	PowerPoint at this point but So I like having to look through this in general, but let me just hit highlight a couple of real fast things here. If you go to page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
4. to 5. 6. ju 7. p 8. d 9. to 0. c 11. tl 22. a 3. tl 4. 55. fd 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 22. 23. to 24.	MR. BARKER: Well, the PRCA thing, ust so you understand, the inspector training program for PRCA actually is hands-on; it's four lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the est the next morning. I went through the ACCT pertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	So I like having to look through this in general, but let me just hit highlight a couple of real fast things here. If you go to page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
5. 6. jr. 7. p. 8. d. 9. to 0. c. 1. ttl 2. a. 3. ttl 4. 5. f. 6. k. 7. ttl 8. b. 9. ttl 0. A. 1. ttl 2. 3. to 4.	MR. BARKER: Well, the PRCA thing, ust so you understand, the inspector training or orgram for PRCA actually is hands-on; it's four lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the est the next morning. I went through the ACCT certification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	in general, but let me just hit highlight a couple of real fast things here. If you go to page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
6. ji, p. 6. ji, p. 7. p. 8. d. d. 9. t. d. 22. a. a. d. 4. 55. f. f. d. k. k. 7. t. t. d. 66. k. d. d. 60. A. d.	ust so you understand, the inspector training program for PRCA actually is hands-on; it's four lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the lest the next morning. I went through the ACCT pertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	couple of real fast things here. If you go to page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
7. p 8. d 9. to 9. to 1. tl 2. a 3. tl 4. f 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 1. tl 2. a 3. to 4. d	lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the lest the next morning. I went through the ACCT retrification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	page eight on there CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
8. d 9. tt 0. c 1. tt 2. a 3. tt 4. 5. f 6. k 7. tt 8. b 9. tt 1. tt 2. 3. t 4.	lays. It's not one day in a classroom, take the est the next morning. I went through the ACCT sertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Page eight? MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
9. to 0. c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	est the next morning. I went through the ACCT sertification program. I as someone that does this stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MR. BARKER: Page eight. That's the one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
0. c 1. tl 1. tl 2. a 3. tl 4. 55. fc 6. k b 9. tl 1. tl 12. 3. tc 13. tc 14.	retrification program. I as someone that does his stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	one that shows the three little pictures up on the top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
1. tl 2. a 3. tl 4. 5. fc 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. tc 4.	his stuff, as someone who investigates accidents and everything else, I was not overly impressed with the program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	top. And underneath there, you see a bunch of blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
2. a 3. tl 4. 5. fe 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 1. tl 2. 3. te 4.	If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	blocks talking about all the different accidents and all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
3. tl 4. 5. fc 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. tc 4.	he program and how the certification came about. If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	all the different or a group of them, not all of them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
4. 5. fe 6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. te 4.	If the State wishes, now that the four-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, hey're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you he training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	them. Pardon me. There's only one on here that would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
5. f6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	cour-day program is roughly about \$1,000. You know roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, they're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you the training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether they want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		15. 16. 17. 18. 19.	would not have been avoided if they were following the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
6. k 7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	chow roughly, for the four-day period. Plus, hey're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you he training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		16. 17. 18. 19.	the ANSI, American National Safety Standard. All right? When you look up on top, that picture	
7. tl 8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	hey're their own transportation, blah, blah, blah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you he training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		17. 18. 19.	right? When you look up on top, that picture	
8. b 9. tl 0. A 1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	olah. If the State desires, PRCA will offer you he training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring to their safety consultants, though.		18. 19.	When you look up on top, that picture	
9. tl 0. A 11. tl 22. 33. to 44.	he training for the three inspectors at no cost. All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring their safety consultants, though.		19.	* * * * *	
0. A 1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	All right? So that'll be up to the state whether hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring o their safety consultants, though.		ı	1 - L - L - L - L - L	
1. tl 2. 3. to 4.	hey want to do that. MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring o their safety consultants, though.		100	on the left of the image is what Wahoo Ziplines	
2. 3. to 4.	MR. KEIFER: Mike, you're referring o their safety consultants, though.		20.	was using on their things. All right? Going by	
3. to	o their safety consultants, though.		21.	the ACCT standard, the way that's the language	
4.	•		22. 23.	is in the ACCT standard, that's permissible. A	
			24.	simple link like that is permissible. Having rope wrapped around as a brake system for a zip line	
J.	MR. BARKER: The safety consultants. MR. KEIFER: The new people they're		25.	was permissible. These are nightmarish scenarios,	
	WIK. KEIPEK. The new people mey re		23.	was permissible. These are nightmatish scenarios,	
		Page 94			Page 9
1. g	going to hire.		1.	which is obvious, because we had an employee fall	
2.	MR. BARKER: The three safety		2.	and get seriously injured.	
3. c	consultants. Yes.		3.	That one in the middle, by the way,	
4.	MR. KEIFER: Gotcha. That's		4.	is that's the harness that the man in Michigan	
5.	MR. BARKER: Yeah, don't show up		5.	had on that died. That was his harness, and that	
6.	MR. KEIFER: That's a good offer.		6.	shows you exactly how that same connector did a	
7.	MR. BARKER: Don't show up with 50		7.	roll-out and allowed him to drop and get killed.	
	nspectors, please. But we'd be willing to do that		8.	The next page there, you see proper	
	o help get this process going and to make the		9.	and clear requirements, that's on page nine,	
	ndustry safer. I'm honestly tired of going out and		10.	proper and clear requirements that meet OSHA	
	ooking at a spot where an 80-year-old man landed		11.	regulations. And here's the big thing: The	
	and died, because a connector was used that		12.	majority consensus of American Accredited Standard	
	houldn't have been used. It's that simple.		13.	Developers. There's two types of standard	
	t's I've had too much in my life looking at		14.	developers in ANSI.	
	oodies, looking at this and that to want to see any		15.	There's American National Standards,	
	more.		16.	which are generalized standards. All right? That	
7.	So everybody at one time or another		17.	could be everything from this desk, the rug, to	
	has received most of the packets that were handed		18.	amusement devices. It could be any of those.	
	out, the accident sheets, the comparison of the		19.	ASTM has some standards that are out there. It's	
	tandard. But the one thing you haven't seen is		20.	just ANSI standards, some that are just ASTM	
	he PowerPoint thing, and I don't mean this as		21.	standards and some that are safety standards.	
	omething to the Department because they were		22.	Safety standards is a whole different	
	howing a PowerPoint because I had a great line.		23.	category within ANSI. The National Fire	
	was going to come up and say, who wants to be		24.	Protection Association, NFPA, all the life safety	
5. e	exposed to a PowerPoint so we can just go through		25.	standards, the stuff that applies to the	

		Page 97	Г		Page 99
1.	elevators, to this that and that or those are	Tuge > /	1.	onto the device the cable grab system he's going	Tuge //
2.	safety standards. American Society of Safety		2.	to descend on.	
3.	Engineers, the Z359 fall protection standards,		3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Which	
4.	those are safety standards. That's a special		4.	MR. BARKER: Yes, sir.	
5.	designation.		5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Which one of the	
6.	The PRCA 1.03 is an American		6.	three? I'm	
7.	National Safety Standard. These are safety		7.	MR. BARKER: Oh, that no, not in	
8.	standards for this industry, not just a standard.		8.	the pictures. He was talking about	
9.	These are actually recognized and designated by		9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Oh.	
10.	ANSI as a safety standard. Big difference there.		10.	MR. BARKER: He's talking about the	
11.	Really big difference there. It's like saying Joe		11.	wording.	
12.	Blow puts out a standard that says smoke alarms		12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	
13.	have to be able to do this and blah, blah, blah.		13.	MR. BARKER: So it's the one that	
14.	That's a general standard. NFPA says that, that's		14.	where'd it go? I just spotted it, too.	
15.	an American National Safety Standard. Different		15.	MR. RADER: The middle one.	
16.	weight. Different weights in the courts. So		16.	MS. BENNETT: Second one.	
17.	This page nine shows the ACCT		17.	MR. RADER: The middle left.	
18.	standard on the left. It does not cover employee		18.	MR. BARKER: On the left. Yeah. The	
19.	safety requirements.		19.	left is employee safety training. So that yes,	
20.	MR. MOORE: Before you go any		20.	that actually dealt with, you know, safety training	
21.	further		21.	and so forth. But it's a thing that would not	
22.	MR. BARKER: Yes.		22.	necessarily be covered by any standard just because	
23.	MR. MOORE: you said there was one		23.	the scenario that was involved with it. He was	
24.	on this page that would not have been prevented		24.	unclipping from this, clipping over into the cable	
25.	or		25.	grab system, and so forth. Kind of blew it there.	
			l		
		Page 98			Page 100
1.	MR. BARKER: Oh. The accident?	Page 98	1.	All right?	Page 100
1. 2.	MR. BARKER: Oh. The accident? MR. MOORE: Yeah.	Page 98	1. 2.	All right? So training would be involved. And	Page 100
1		Page 98	ı	_	Page 100
2.	MR. MOORE: Yeah.	Page 98	2.	So training would be involved. And	Page 100
2. 3.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me	Page 98	2. 3.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National	Page 100
2. 3. 4.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it.	Page 98	2. 3. 4.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record,	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want.	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard,	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds.	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah.	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean,	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses. MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court.	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there the oh, the one where it says, employee safety	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds required by everybody else in the U.S. you didn't	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there the oh, the one where it says, employee safety training, employee falls when he unhooked from	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds required by everybody else in the U.S. you didn't meet. Then you're in deep trouble. So a standard	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there the oh, the one where it says, employee safety training, employee falls when he unhooked from safety cable on a tower platform, that particular	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds required by everybody else in the U.S. you didn't meet. Then you're in deep trouble. So a standard that allows you to go below that is not really an	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there the oh, the one where it says, employee safety training, employee falls when he unhooked from safety cable on a tower platform, that particular one there, he was unclipping. He only had one	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds required by everybody else in the U.S. you didn't meet. Then you're in deep trouble. So a standard that allows you to go below that is not really an effective standard. It's a defective standard.	Page 100
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	MR. MOORE: Yeah. MR. BARKER: You're going to make me actually look at it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Just for the record, those pictures are hard to discern. MR. BARKER: I know. I apologize. The printing didn't come out that great. A PowerPoint might have been better. I can actually send you enlargements of the photos, if you want. That MR. RADER: Did I dream this? But you came and brought different harnesses. And that MR. BARKER: I brought different harnesses MR. RADER: Yeah. MR. BARKER: and connectors and everything last time to give you an idea of these things. Yes. I did. All right? On that one there the oh, the one where it says, employee safety training, employee falls when he unhooked from safety cable on a tower platform, that particular	Page 98	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So training would be involved. And training does get covered on the American National Standard. That's very specific, but as we all know, any standard's only as good as only good if you're following it, basically. All right? On the next page, the right side column is the ANSI safety standard, ANSI/PRCA safety standard, the ANSI Z359, which is ASSE on this. ASTM, ASME, and NFPA all require fall protection strengths of 5,000 pounds. All of them. So these are all these American National Safety Standards require that. The ACCT standard, because they want to round off the numbers, bring it down to 22.2 instead of 22.2 or 22 kilonewtons, which is 4,954 pounds. That doesn't seem like much. I mean, realistically, what's that, 46 pounds? Not much of a difference until you have to go to court. Until you have to answer that the 5,000 pounds required by everybody else in the U.S. you didn't meet. Then you're in deep trouble. So a standard that allows you to go below that is not really an	Page 100

	Page 1	11	Page 103
1	-	. I .	
1. 2.	actually let me go over here. CHAIRMAN FOX: May I ask you a	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	European. We won't reference the European standards
3.	MR. BARKER: Yes.	3.	on connectors and on life safety protection, because
4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: question, sir? You	4.	those levels are lower than what's required here in
5.	sort of have me at I don't know the answer to	5.	the U.S. And that's the main reason we don't do it.
6.	this question. Normally, I do when I ask a	6.	The ACCT standard, when you look at
7.	question.	7.	the connectors, it just says, 5,000 pounds and has
8.	MR. BARKER: Fine.	8.	that caveat, that 22 kilonewtons, the 4,000 pounds
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: What does ASTM say	9	is all right. And it references I think it's five
10.	about that? Are they in kilograms or are they in	10.	different standards, one of which is the ANSI Z359
11.	pounds on this particular	111.	fall protection codes, the Canadian Z259 fall
12.	MR. BARKER: Both.	12.	protection codes, two European standards, and one
13.	CHAIRMAN FOX: issue?	13.	OSHA regulation for fixed ladders.
14.	MR. BARKER: Both.	14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: What are the European
15.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And what do the	15.	standards, the TBDIN? What is it?
16.	kilograms say in	16.	MR. BARKER: CEN.
17.	MR. BARKER: ASTM says 5,000, 22.2.	17.	
18.	The Z359 standards say 5,000, 22.2.	18.	ř
19.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Does it refer back to	19.	mean, you know, so the British standards are
20.	ASTM on that particular does the ANSI law refer	20.	basically the same as European standards. But it's
$\frac{20.}{21.}$	back to ASTM regarding the kilograms? I can't	$\begin{bmatrix} 20. \\ 21. \end{bmatrix}$	European Ropes Course Association who came up with
22.	MR. BARKER: Does it refer back to	22.	it, but it's the European standards. The problem
23.	them?	23.	with the European standards is they don't meet U.S.
24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes.	24.	requirements.
25.	MR. BARKER: I don't know what you	25.	One of the things within the ACCT
25.	MR. DARKER. I don't know what you		One of the things within the ACC1
-			T
	Page 1	. I .	Page 104
1.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about	1.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me
2.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard?	1.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that,
2. 3.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes.	1. 2. 3.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not
2. 3. 4.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference	1. 2. 3. 4.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only
2. 3. 4. 5.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well,
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes,	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more specificity. The PRCA standard will reference the	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing here in the U.S. says with the forces that might
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more specificity. The PRCA standard will reference the NFPA, they give you that same information; this is	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing here in the U.S. says with the forces that might be involved in a fall and so forth, that's what's
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more specificity. The PRCA standard will reference the NFPA, they give you that same information; this is what you need to use. But they'll also reference	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing here in the U.S. says with the forces that might be involved in a fall and so forth, that's what's needed is 5,000 pounds per person. So ACCT says
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more specificity. The PRCA standard will reference the NFPA, they give you that same information; this is what you need to use. But they'll also reference NFPA and the ANSI Z359 and the Canadian 259, which	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing here in the U.S. says with the forces that might be involved in a fall and so forth, that's what's needed is 5,000 pounds per person. So ACCT says 3,375 pounds.
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	mean by refer back. Are you talking about referencing the other standard? CHAIRMAN FOX: Yes. MR. BARKER: Some of these reference the other standards back and forth, yes. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. BARKER: All right? And yes, that's permissible, but the way these the way that all these standards on that side are written is that I'll give you an example; let's say a connector. Actually, that's in here. But I'll give you an example. The connector, the safety connectors, every one of these safety standards on the right side says auto-locking, minimum of two actions to release to open this CHAIRMAN FOX: Right. MR. BARKER: connector. Every single one. They'll also reference. Like, ASTM will reference the ANSI Z359 to give you more, you know, fall protection codes, to give you more specificity. The PRCA standard will reference the NFPA, they give you that same information; this is what you need to use. But they'll also reference	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	standard that's a real, real giant concern for me and for people like me that do this stuff is that, they're stating that because they're not addressing employees, they're addressing only patrons, that they can use lower strengths. Well, fall protection in the U.S. is fall protection in the U.S. If your grandson's up there or my granddaughter or anybody else is up here and they're going to fall and I have a staff member next to them and that staff members requires 5,000 pounds, why shouldn't my granddaughter or grandson have 5,000 pounds? Why should it be less? We should have that same number for both groups. The ACCT standard's currently allowing 3,375-pound lanyards. 3,070 that sounds like a lot. It sounds like it would hold you, definitely. But the problem is the reason that all these other standard developers came up with 5,000 is first of all, it's OSHA regulation, U.S. regulation, and also, testing here in the U.S. says with the forces that might be involved in a fall and so forth, that's what's needed is 5,000 pounds per person. So ACCT says

		Page 105		Pag	ge 107
1.	protection level here in the U.S., personal I	1 4 5 0 1 0 3	1.	exactly this type of stuff. Courses that were	ge 107
2.	think it's personal fall systems or whatever		2.	inspected by ACCT certified inspectors who are	
3.	they're calling it, personal safety system, that		3.	doing nothing wrong, they're inspecting to the	
4.	3,375 pounds doesn't meet the U.S. requirement for		4.	ACCT standard. And the ACCT standard says that's	
5.	non-destructive proof testing of lanyards. Proof		5.	okay. Did the inspector do anything wrong? No.	
6.	testing of lanyards here in the U.S. and all these		6.	The problem is we need to give these	
7.	different standards says 3,600-pound force is		7.	inspectors and the operators a standard that tells	
8.	applied to this without causing failure. If I		8.	them what's right to use. What's the right thing	
9.	apply 3,600 pounds worth of force to a 3,375-pound		9.	to use? Not just a general thing saying, well,	
10.	lanyard, what's going to happen? The lanyard's		10.	use anything from any one of these standards.	
11.	going to break. It can't even meet the assessment		11.	Worse than that, the way that language is in the	
12.	level. It can't meet the non-destructive proof		12.	ACCT standard, it actually says you can use	
13.	testing.		13.	connectors of this strength and any one of these	
14.	Manufacturers do non-destructive		14.	standards.	
15.	proof testing every few piece of equipment they		15.	If you were an operator getting ready	
16.	produce and then they sell that piece to you.		16.	to build a course tomorrow and you looked at this	
17.	It's non-destructive. That means it's still good,		17.	and you want to take it seriously, you want to	
18.	it's still usable. If we use the 300 3,375		18.	follow it, you would now have to buy those	
19.	pounds and we tested it at 3,600 and for some		19.	different standards to see which carabiner you can	
20.	reason it actually made it through and they hand		20.	use. The cost of those different standards all	
21.	it off to you, boy, are you in trouble. That		21.	total for those five standards is around 2,400	
22.	thing's ready to go any second. So there's just		22.	bucks. So for each piece of equipment, you're	
23.	some really, really serious issues with this		23.	going to have to spend another two, \$3,000 just to	
24.	stuff.		24.	get those standards and look at it. For any	
25.	So anyways, you go as you go		25.	with ASTM, the PRCA, NFPA standards all give you	
		Page 106		Pag	ge 108
1.		Page 106	1.		ge 108
1. 2.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts	Page 106	1. 2.	the information right there so you don't have to	ge 108
1	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts	Page 106			ge 108
2.	through this presentation here, you're going to	Page 106	2.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's	ge 108
2. 3.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13,	Page 106	2. 3.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's	ge 108
2. 3. 4.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the	Page 106	2. 3. 4.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law?	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: Toole. Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very possible.	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I thought the ACCT standard was being recognized.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very possible. Not just very possible, in the last	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I thought the ACCT standard was being recognized. MS. JEFFERSON: No, sir.	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very possible. Not just very possible, in the last nine months now or ten months now, I have helped	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I thought the ACCT standard was being recognized. MS. JEFFERSON: No, sir. MR. BARKER: All right. Because I	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very possible. Not just very possible, in the last nine months now or ten months now, I have helped assist with two serious injury investigations for	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I thought the ACCT standard was being recognized. MS. JEFFERSON: No, sir. MR. BARKER: All right. Because I know we had a situation here where a course that was	ge 108
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 20. 21. 22. 23.	through this presentation here, you're going to see that it actually goes through and starts hitting various things. The next page, page 13, you'll see these photos here that this is the connector page, and this is where the ACCT standard references, connectors can meet the European standard 12275 for connectors, which means every one of these photos that's here, if I don't know better and I'm building a course or I'm operating a course, I can say, well, I'm using that standard that it says you can use the standard, I can now connect people to any one of those things. And every single one of those, with the exception of one, is susceptible to roll-out and failures. Every single one. They're basically for rock climbing. This standard is for rock climbing connectors. It's not for this stuff. So allowing that to be referenced in there and people make the mistake. Very possible. Not just very possible, in the last nine months now or ten months now, I have helped	Page 106	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	the information right there so you don't have to look any place else. So that's MR. RADER: So it's MR. BARKER: pretty important. Yes. MR. RADER: From my understanding as a board member, is this department recognizing the ACCT standard? Is that on the books as the law? MR. BARKER: That's on the books right now. Yes. MS. JEFFERSON: Not the standard. ACCT is an approved certifying agency just like with NAARSO and AIMS. MR. RADER: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: But as far as a standard, the only that we recognize currently is ASTM. MR. BARKER: That's the only MR. RADER: Okay. MR. BARKER: one. Okay. I thought the ACCT standard was being recognized. MS. JEFFERSON: No, sir. MR. BARKER: All right. Because I	ge 108

		Page 109		Page 1
1.	requirements and		1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, I know, but
2.	MR. RADER: I mean, I well, I'm		2.	MR. BARKER: Okay.
3.	trying to understand from		3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: ASTM has some
4.	MR. BARKER: an ACCT inspector		4.	standards on
5.	came in and failed it.		5.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. ASTM has some
6.	MR. RADER: your presentation is		6.	standards and yeah.
7.	what are you proposing to us to change the		7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. So if they had
8.	standards in this Department?		8.	used the ACCT and the ASTM standards
9.	MR. BARKER: I'm proposing that you		9.	MR. BARKER: They could use these
0.	say that these are the standards that need to be		10.	other connectors. Yes. The ASTM standard is not
1.	followed.		11.	specific on connectors. It's not as loose as ACCT
2.	MR. RADER: Okay.		12.	is. The ASTM standard will say for those
3.	MR. BARKER: Give information to your		13.	connectors, look at the ANSI Z359. So they're
4.	courses, to your operators, to your public that say		14.	putting you in the right direction. All right? But
5.	here's the best thing we can do safety wise for you,		15.	they're still making you get another standard to
6.	so operators and builders should all build to this		16.	look at. All right? ASTM doesn't like referencing
0. 7.	standard. Inspectors should inspect to this		17.	standards other than ASTM standards. I'm a member
7. 8.	standard. Inspectors should inspect to this standard.		18.	of the ASTM also.
8. 9.	If we had inspection going to this		18. 19.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Me too.
				MR. BARKER: And I'm on one of their
0.	standard back months ago, we wouldn't have had		20.	
1.	someone fall at Wahoo, we wouldn't have had a		21.	committees. So, you know, we know that they don't
2.	fatality in another course where a lanyard was not		22.	like referencing anybody outside of ASTM. We know
3.	rigged in accordance with the manufacturer's specs		23.	that. The ACCT standard actually referencing these
4. -	and the person was choked and had a heart attack.		24.	European standards stuff is what allowed that simple
5.	It wouldn't have happened. This standard the		25.	snap link at Wahoo to be utilized there. And that's
		Page 110		Page 1
1.	PRCA standard does not allow you to deviate from		1.	what led to the roll-out detachment and the man's
2.	manufacturer's specs. The ACCT standard does.		2.	falling.
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Mr part of this is		3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.
4.	not a challenge, so don't take it as such, but it is		4.	MR. BARKER: All right?
5.	a straightforward question: How can you		5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Like I said, I was not
6.	categorically say that that would not have happened		6.	challenging you.
7.	had they been on this standard? Explain that to me.		7.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. Oh, no, no, no,
8.	I'm		8.	no, no.
9.	MR. BARKER: Well, if they were		9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I'm just trying to
0.	following the standard all right. So if you were		10.	understand.
0. 1.	following the PRCA safety standard, the life safety		11.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. Well, I have no
1. 2.	connectors and all these connectors in those		12.	problem. Anybody who wants to challenge me, I have
2. 3.	situations had to be minimum two separate actions to	,	13.	no problem answering questions.
	•	,	ı	
4. 5	open and auto-locking.		14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That wasn't a
5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. So let me		15.	challenge.
6.	interrupt you.		16.	MR. BARKER: Because I mean no,
7.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.		17.	but if you did challenge me with something good,
8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Just a second. So		18.	that means I got to go back and work on things, too.
9.	you're saying the ACCT standard, based upon ASTM	,	19.	MR. FARMER: Is that the biggest
0.	would not have		20.	difference between the two standards is just these
	MR. BARKER: Well, it's not based		21.	lanyard hooks?
	on		22.	MR. BARKER: Well, the lanyards, all
1.	OII		23.	those connectors. Saying that doesn't have to be
2.	CHAIRMAN FOX: required	l l		7,000 1 1 1 1 H (III)
2. 3.			24.	5,000 pounds doesn't meet U.S. requirements
	CHAIRMAN FOX: required		24. 25.	anywhere. All right? Whether it's employee or

		Page 113		Page 115
1.	whatever, saying that your standard only covers	1 480 110	1.	MR. FARMER: I just don't see to dump
2.	patrons and not employees is absurd when your		2.	a whole standard for one device with one is that
3.	standard also includes training for employees. So		3.	the only difference between the two? I don't I'm
4.	you are addressing employees.		4.	just not
5.	The big problem is if you're getting		5.	MR. BARKER: Oh, God, no. No, no.
6.	ready to build a course and I come over and I hand		6.	If you looked at the two standards
7.	you the ACCT standard and say, here's the ACCT		7.	MR. FARMER: It's the biggest.
8.	standard; you can build to that, and I walk away,		8.	MR. BARKER: If it here's the
9.	are you going to know that that doesn't meet U.S.		9.	thing
10.	requirements? Are you going to know that it		10.	MR. FARMER: In your opinion, is it
11.	should be 5,000 pounds, not 49? Are you going to		11.	the biggest difference?
12.	know that this lanyard isn't strong enough to meet		12.	MR. BARKER: Here's the thing: And
13.	the basic testing requirements? No. You're going		13.	most importantly, it's the biggest safety wise. If
14.	to look at this and say, I build to this standard.		14.	you're allowing people to use lanyards or harnesses
15.	I'm safe.		15.	that don't meet U.S. requirements and something goes
16.	The ACCT inspector's going to come in		16.	wrong, somebody screwed up big time.
17.	and say, I inspected your course to this standard.		17.	MR. FARMER: Okay.
18.	It's safe. Or hopefully, the ACCT inspectors are		18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Mr. Barker, can I ask
19.	not saying it's safe because as we all know and		19.	a favor of you?
20.	Dan can tell you, you don't want to use that word		20.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.
21.	anywhere. We don't say that here in the U.S. But		21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Again, I don't know
22.	you're going to say, okay, it passes. All right?		22.	that everybody here has read those standards.
23.	So the thing is if you're not helping		23.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.
24.	direct these inspectors, the builders, and the		24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And would it be
25.	operators to what standard to work with, we're		25.	possible for you to furnish Ms. Jefferson a copy of
		Page 114		Page 116
1.	leaving the door open for another Wahoo, another	Ü	1.	you all's standards
2.	hanging, another fatality here, there, and		2.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. I think
3.	everywhere else. We're going to keep leaving that		3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: and then let her
4.	door open again and again and again. So saying		4.	distribute that to us.
5.	that this is the standard we need to follow for		5.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. Ms. Jefferson has
6.	these locations is important.		6.	a copy of the ACCT
7.	The ACCT standard for many, many		7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.
8.	years and I mean, like I said, I'm a member of		8.	MR. BARKER: or PRCA standards.
9.	ACCT, too. I followed the ACCT standard for many	,	9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And then
10.	many years. When we started developing the new		10.	MR. BARKER: We probably have the
11.	ANSI standard, it took the ACCT standard, it took		11.	ACCT/ANSI standards
12.	the European Ropes Course standards, Australia and		12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Give us a chance to
13.	New Zealand, ASTM, ASSE, ASME, all took all		13.	look at it. I would I'd be hesitant to adopt it
14.	these standards and brought them all together and		14.	without reading it.
15.	harmonized them into one so that here's this		15.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.
16.	document that helps you address all these things.		16.	MR. RADER: Me too.
17.	Does the PRCA standard address		17.	MR. BARKER: Oh, yeah.
18.	everything? Hell, no. It's possible to address		18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You know, it's kind of
19.	everything. Does it guarantee that we're not		19.	like the ASTM standard.
20.	going to have injuries and stuff? Of course not.		20.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.
21.	Because Robbie may follow it to the law, you may		21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You know, that's
22.	not, Chris. You may sit there and say, well, I		22.	really intense reading.
23.	got this. You know what, I don't have the money		23.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.
24.	to get those carabiners right now so I'll just go		24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And I'm sure this will
25.	with these ones for now.		25.	be somewhat on the same level.
	Provided by Stone & G	George C	Cou	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244

		Page 117			Page 119
1.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	rage 117	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms. O'Connor has a	ruge 119
2.	CHAIRMAN FOX: But I would certainly		2.	question here.	
3.	like the opportunity to do that		3.	MS. O'CONNOR: My understanding ACC	T
4.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.		4.	is kind of the de facto standard in the industry in	
5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: before we say		5.	Tennessee. My question is, adopting anything else,	
6.	yes.		6.	how would that increase, create safety issues while	
7.	MR. BARKER: Oh, yeah. Yeah. And		7.	we're in the midst of a systemic change?	
8.	I that's absolutely fine.		8.	MR. BARKER: Well, adopting a	
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And in addition to		9.	standard that says you have to use the 5,000-pound	
10.	that, if you have the ACCC (verbatim) standard, can		10.	lanyards, that you have to have the safety at the	
11.	you send that to us as well so that		11.	same level for one of these people, all right? How	
12.	MS. JEFFERSON: Yes.		12.	does that affect, you know, going in with the new	
13.	CHAIRMAN FOX: there's some form		13.	inspectors and this and that and everything else?	
14.	of comparison so that we have that capability?		14.	Is it going to create a big upheaval? If people are	
15.	MS. JEFFERSON: And I just want to		15.	actually following fall protection requirements,	
16.	say, last time we had an ACC (verbatim)		16.	it's not a big upheaval at all. If people have been	
17.	representative here. I'm not sure if an ACCT		17.	following a standard that allowed them to go with	
18.	representative is here today, but they were actually		18.	things that were below the requirements, will that	
19.	making the same type proposal.		19.	affect them? Yes. They're going to have to replace	
20.	CHAIRMAN FOX: They were.		20.	those things. Yeah. Without a doubt.	
21.	MS. JEFFERSON: And they were hoping		21.	But you get to a point where you have	
22.	for us to adopt their standards, as well. We have		22.	to sit there and say, okay, do we let 20 more	
23.	not adopted ACCT		23.	people die around the country because we want to	
24.	MR. BARKER: No, I understand that.		24.	hold off a couple months? That's what it boils	
25.	My like I said, my concern with all the safety		25.	downs to. It boils down to safety at this point.	
23.	141y — like I said, my concern with an the saicty		25.	downs to. It bons down to safety at this point.	
		Page 118			Page 120
1.	stuff I'm related to I'm a member of both		1.	Period.	
2.	associations. The only safety stuff I'm concerned		2.	MS. O'CONNOR: But it I guess my	
3.	with is I'm concerned with giving the operators,		3.	question is, is there a safety risk between changing	
4.	giving the inspectors and so forth the best standard		4.	from one to another even though it really it's	
5.	to work from to make people safe.		5.	only a change for that particular entity? I'm	
6.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And sir, that		6.	looking at confusion. You know, whenever there's a	
7.	MR. BARKER: That's the important		7.	change in anything	
8.	thing.		8.	MR. BARKER: Yes.	
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: That's our primary		9.	MS. O'CONNOR: people get	
10.	goal		10.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	
11.	MR. BARKER: Yeah. Yeah.		11.	MS. O'CONNOR: confused.	
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: is keep the		12.	MR. BARKER: Yes.	
13.	people		13.	MS. O'CONNOR: And rather than	
14.	MR. BARKER: Well, if the ACCT		14.	following a standard that might not be as good, they	
15.	standard		15.	just say, oh, well, you know, I'm just confused and	
16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: of the State of		16.	we're not going to do anything.	
17.	Tennessee		17.	MR. BARKER: Well	
18.	MR. BARKER: was the safest one,		18.	MS. O'CONNOR: Is that a concern?	
19.	I'd be standing here delivering that, delivering		19.	Have you seen that at all or is	
20.	this about that with no problem at all. In all		20.	MR. BARKER: I don't see that as a	
21.	honesty, I would.		21.	concern if we're using the third-party inspector	
22.	MS. O'CONNOR: I have a quick		22.	system. All right? I'm or if the State suddenly	
23.	question.		23.	came up with this giant windfall and could hire 50	
24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Ms		24.	inspectors and send them out there, I don't see that	
25.	MR. BARKER: Yes.		25.	as a concern if the inspectors are going out and	
			l		

	Page 121	Ι		Page 123
1.	saying, you need to meet these requirements.	1.	inspector said it wasn't it was failing the	- 1.81
2.	The PRCA standard actually has	2.	ACCT standard. He didn't say the ASTM. He said	
3.	language in it that says that if you have stuff	3.	it was failing the ACCT standard.	
4.	that doesn't meet the standard right now, if it's	4.	And the worse part of that is in the	
5.	non-critical, if it's non-life support, you have	5.	ACCT standards, it says right up front if you have	
6.	two years to make the corrections. So it could	6.	an exclusion from the requirements of the	
7.	still be passing for two years so you're not	7.	standard, if it's stamped and you know, stamped	
8.	burdening them. If it's life-safety critical,	8.	and designed and stamped by a licensed engineer	
9.	it's a maximum of one year to make the change,	9.	for a jurisdiction, that's an exclusion. So he	
10.	because if you don't make that change within a	10.	actually had the exclusion and the guy was still	
11.	year, we could be putting someone's life at risk.	11.	failing him because he didn't know his own	
12.	So it actually allows for that. All right?	12.	standard. So that's why it's important to sit	
13.	It does not allow for things where	13.	there and say, these are the standards you have to	
14.	you could sit there and say, we've been using this	14.	follow. And we've got several states doing this	
15.	system on our course for 30 years and never had a	15.	already.	
16.	problem.	16.	MR. KEIFER: Mike, can I just give	
17.	An example is these things called	17.	you a chance to take a breather? Because I want	
18.	strand license. All right? Never had a problem.	18.	to if I can can I sit here, Board people, and	
19.	The manufacturer put out an advisory years ago	19.	just answer Kelly's question, first of all, or give	
20.	that said, these are unsafe, they've got to be off	20.	you more detail?	
21.	all courses right away. We go out, we inspect	21.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Sir, you'll need to	
22.	courses, we keep seeing these things all over the	22.	stand up and let's not take more than about five	
23.	place. They're still there. And that's because	23.	minutes. We're	
24.	the inspectors are letting them ride.	24.	MR. KEIFER: Ready for a break.	
25.	A lot rides on an inspector. An	25.	MR. RADER: Yeah. We're	
	Page 122			Page 124
1.	Page 122 inspector rides on they have to look, they have to	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: We're ready for a	Page 124
1. 2.	-	1. 2.	CHAIRMAN FOX: We're ready for a break here pretty soon.	Page 124
1	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to	1	•	Page 124
2.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're	2.	break here pretty soon.	Page 124
2. 3.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM	2. 3.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are.	Page 124
2. 3. 4.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was	2. 3. 4.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line	2. 3. 4. 5.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, was approved by an engineer, and the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, was approved by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously.	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, was approved by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to,	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who?	Page 124
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, was approved by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wanter	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months'	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wanted to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question.	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in America back in 2002, he's built over 50 since	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de facto standards? I think that's what you all as a	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in America back in 2002, he's built over 50 since then and operates eight courses across the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de facto standards? I think that's what you all as a board have to decide, because one of my tasks on	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 20. 21. 22. 23.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in America back in 2002, he's built over 50 since then and operates eight courses across the country. This is why inspectors have to have the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de facto standards? I think that's what you all as a board have to decide, because one of my tasks on the Regulated States Committee is I get to	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in America back in 2002, he's built over 50 since then and operates eight courses across the country. This is why inspectors have to have the right stuff to work with.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de facto standards? I think that's what you all as a board have to decide, because one of my tasks on the Regulated States Committee is I get to research a lot of different states. New York	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 20. 21. 22. 23.	inspector rides on they have to look, they have to understand things. They have to know what they're doing. When you mentioned you were fond of ASTM standards now, the new when a new course was being built, ASTM standards say that zip line courses and so forth have to be engineered, stamped by an engineer and so forth. All right? This course was stamped by an engineer, and the ACCT inspector failed them. I mean, first of all, the ACCT inspector came in and said, you have to, you know, meet the ASTM components. So he did and then failed them because they didn't agree with the engineer. The inspector had 1,400 hours' worth of building experience. When you start breaking that down to how much time goes into a normal building day, he had maybe three, four months' worth of building experience. He's telling a builder that built the first zip line course in America back in 2002, he's built over 50 since then and operates eight courses across the country. This is why inspectors have to have the	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	break here pretty soon. MR. RADER: Yeah. We are. MR. KEIFER: Let me just give Kelly's question a response, something I wanted to share with Mitch, as well, and Chris. So Kelly MR. HALE: You need to identify yourself. MR. KEIFER: you used the term I'm sorry, I'm James from the PRCA, obviously. CHAIRMAN FOX: And you are who? MR. KEIFER: James Keifer from the Board of Directors of the Professional Ropes Course Association. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MR. KEIFER: I'm also on the Regulated States Committee, and that's why I wante to sort of address give more detail to Kelly's question. You used the term, aren't they the de facto standards? I think that's what you all as a board have to decide, because one of my tasks on the Regulated States Committee is I get to	

	Page 125			Page 127
1.	we're licensed vendors. Everybody does it	1.	right? So this cable has to be able to support that	Tage 127
2.	different.	2.	amount. All right? So it has to be its outdoors	
3.	In the state of New York, for	3.	has to be galvanized or stainless. It does have	
4.	example, they say you can be of an ACCT a	4.	language like that, which all the standards do.	
5.	professional vendor member, but they also say you	5.	MR. HALE: Right. Right.	
6.	can be a PRCA accredited vendor member, or	6.	MR. BARKER: But it doesn't have	
7.	Chairman Fox mentioned NAARSO. They mention	7.	differences in cable size at all. Not in the least.	
8.	NAARSO. You can be a NAARSO member or a licensed	8.	That doesn't occur.	
9.	professional engineer.	9.	MR. HALE: Uh-huh.	
10.	I wouldn't say that it's that the	10.	MR. BARKER: The only differences in	
11.	ACCT standards are the de facto ones, because	11.	cable size that you'll see in any of the standards,	
12.	every state does it differently. And the state	12.	and this goes way back with the standards for this	
13.	that our my company that I work for serves	13.	industry, is there used to be a general rule within	
14.	New York and New England and northeast and	14.	the industry that if your zip line was 300 feet or	
15.	Massachusetts. They list everybody and they say	15.	more or excuse me, 400 feet or more, you were	
16.	you can pick one of these four. They certainly	16.	stepping up to half inch cable. That was just a	
17.	list the PRCA accredited vendor member along with	17.	general rule.	
18.	ACCT, but they list NAARSO and the others.	18.	MR. HALE: Right.	
19.	So I think you guys as a board really	19.	MR. BARKER: The way the cable	
20.	have to decide do we certainly wish you would	20.	verbiage is out there for the zip lines and so forth	
21.	take our standards, adopt those exclusively, but	21.	is it has to meet a safety factor. And that's	
22.	they could also be with other standards, of	22.	those safety factors are based on what's being done	
23.	course, and maybe make your let your operators	23.	with aerial tramways and ski lifts and everything	
24.	decide. Giving them choices would be a nice way	24.	else. I mean, who has more experience with the	
25.	to do it.	25.	cables than they do?	
		ı		
	Page 126			Page 128
1.	Page 126 So I don't know if that answers your	1.	So it's being based on those aspects.	Page 128
1. 2.	_	1. 2.	So it's being based on those aspects. So it's basically, we'll come along and say,	Page 128
1	So I don't know if that answers your			Page 128
2.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different.	2.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say,	Page 128
2. 3.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have	2. 3.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a	Page 128
2. 3. 4.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you	2. 3. 4.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you	2. 3. 4. 5.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff.	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S.	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one,	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds.	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For instance, the cable size that courses are built with	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound requirements across the country and are already	Page 128
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For instance, the cable size that courses are built with changes. Is that not correct?	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound requirements across the country and are already adopting and stamping they are labeling their	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For instance, the cable size that courses are built with changes. Is that not correct? MR. BARKER: No. That's not correct.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound requirements across the country and are already adopting and stamping they are labeling their stuff as complying with the PRCA standard to show	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For instance, the cable size that courses are built with changes. Is that not correct? MR. BARKER: No. That's not correct. No. That's not correct at all. The cable size in	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound requirements across the country and are already adopting and stamping they are labeling their stuff as complying with the PRCA standard to show that it meets the U.S. requirements. So	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So I don't know if that answers your question but I every state does it different. And then state by state. You guys as a board have to decide which what you want to how you want to whose you want to adopt and how you want to do that. MR. BARKER: I mean, some states are adopting multiple standards now and then, saying within specific areas of that, they require compliance with this particular one. Some states are doing that now. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Mr. Hale has a question. MR. HALE: So just to follow up to Mr. Farmer's question MR. BARKER: Yeah. MR. HALE: and statement. There is more there are more things that would change than just a harness and connecting device things if you go over if you change to this standard. For instance, the cable size that courses are built with changes. Is that not correct? MR. BARKER: No. That's not correct.	2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	So it's basically, we'll come along and say, okay, for this size cable, you need to have like a five-to-one safety ratio. And ASTM will say this on zip line things, too. But there's an allowance for three-to-one if it's engineered, if it's done by an engineer. All right? So you have an engineer stamp that says, three-to-one is acceptable here and blah, blah, blah, it's acceptable. So it doesn't change that stuff. And if you've been following U.S. fall protection requirements, it doesn't change anything. Whereas, if you follow the other one, now you're changing the equipment. Plus, we're also basically screwing you as manufacturers. No U.S. manufacturers produce stuff at 3,375 pounds. It's European manufacturers that do that, because they're meeting their requirements. We have several manufacturers here in the U.S. that are already testing and doing stuff to the 5,000-pound requirements across the country and are already adopting and stamping they are labeling their stuff as complying with the PRCA standard to show	

	Page 129		Page 131
1.	will	1.	MR. HALE: Name.
2.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	2.	MR. ANDREWS: Tom Andrews. I'm owner
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: please send	3.	of a company called Pro Image Adventures, also known
4.	Ms. Jefferson a copy	4.	as Pro Image and Associates. Been in business for
5.	MR. BARKER: Okay.	5.	about 31 years. I'm also an accredited vendor
6.	CHAIRMAN FOX: of that. Let us	6.	member of the Professional Ropes Course Association.
7.	look at it.	7.	And I think I'm the only person here that at one
8.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	8.	time was also what was called at the time a charter
9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Based upon the	9.	member now called a PBM of ACCT. I believe that's a
10.	questions I'm hearing correct me if I'm wrong	10.	fact.
11.	I don't know that we're ready to make the decision.	11.	So the point I just want to make is
12.	MR. BARKER: No. I know that. Yeah.	12.	not so much to do with a technical aspect of this
13.	Yeah.	13.	as it does with are we giving people choices?
14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: But we sure would like	14.	I heard Jim make that comment and that triggered
15.	to read them	15.	an important thought. And I heard your question
16.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	16.	about, is the only difference such and such? And
17.	CHAIRMAN FOX: just so we can	17.	I would say, no.
18.	understand them.	18.	And here's a quick example: I have a
19.	MR. BARKER: Yeah.	19.	lot of very loyal customers for which I'm very
20.	CHAIRMAN FOX: If you could do that	20.	appreciative. Most of my business is small. I
21.	for	21.	think we're a small but mighty force. I read in
22.	MR. ANDREWS: Mr. Chairman, I know	22.	your minutes as I was getting ready to come to
23.	you all need a break. My name's Tom Andrews. I	23.	this meeting that one of the comments, I believe,
24.	made a long journey, as well as some other people	24.	made by a representative from ACCT is that, you
25.	did today and I there's a lot of things I could	25.	know, maybe this is what leads to the de facto
	Page 130		Page 132
1.	be doing but I learned a lot, listening this	1.	notion, is that we're large. We've been around
2.	morning. I know you need a break. I'd just like	2.	the longest. We're the greatest number. You
3.	less than three minutes after that break to point	3.	know, which is sort of, in a way, can sound
4.	out something that I think is very valuable to know	4.	well, certainly would want to have you guys be,
5.	that is not merely technical but is a difference	5.	you know, the ones that should be recognized.
6.	between how you interpret it, you know, what you're	6.	My question is, why not recognize
7.	going to do as far as recognizing, not recognizing,	7.	anybody who's qualified and who has the right to
8.	including, excluding, whatever. I think it's very	8.	do their work and has proven that they can? I
9.	near and dear to not just me but maybe some other	9.	lost several customers some years ago, because
10.	folks here. So if I might have three minutes?	10.	they were believing that if I'm going to use
11.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You got them. Three	11.	this analogy, that they were kind of drinking that
12.	minutes.	12.	water that's, hey, you've got to go with the de
13.	MR. ANDREWS: Thank you very much.	13.	facto group. You know, the one that's always been
14.	MR. RADER: You're up.	14.	around. I lost good customers over that.
15.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You're up.	15.	It wasn't until they discovered that
16.	MR. ANDREWS: Oh, yeah.	16.	ANSI had recognized and please correct me if I
17.	MR. BARKER: He's giving to you now.	17.	am stating something incorrect here. But when
18.	MR. ANDREWS: If you all need a	18.	ANSI recognized the Professional Ropes Course
19.	restroom break, I do not want to be the last one	19.	Association version of the standards two years ago
20.	that's holding you up. MP KEIEEP: He says you're up. That	20.	in March 2014, that all of a sudden, I had
22.	MR. KEIFER: He says you're up. That	21. 22.	credibility again. And in some ways, maybe I had more credibility, because I had to go back to
23.	means you're up. MR. ANDREWS: My I learned a lot	23.	school, so to speak. And this gentleman right
24.	coming here today. So	24.	behind me, I got to tell you, he's tough as nails.
25.	MR. RADER: For the record	25.	So I had to get reviewed a number of times.
23.	vic. Reader. For the record	23.	55 Final to get reviewed a number of times.
	Provided by Stone & George C	Cou	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244

		Page 133			Page 135
1.	There's another gentleman back here	1 age 133	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: This lady over here,	1 age 133
2.	who's also tough as nails. So we're small, but		2.	she's like me, she don't hear very well.	
3.	when you're fighting from the bottom sometimes, or		3.	MR. GUSTAFSON: I'm Steve Gustafson.	
4.	it feels like that, but you know you have		4.	I'm with EBL and former president of the PRCA. I	
5.	something that's of quality, why not be able		5.	was here in March and met with you.	
6.	you know, we got rehired. I'm happy to say that		6.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Uh-huh.	
7.	those customers are hiring me today and we've		7.	MR. GUSTAFSON: And after that	
8.	built some wonderful, great structures for a lot		8.	meeting, I did send you the standards for review.	
9.	of great trainings.		9.	And I hear your questions about how can we say a	
10.	So I'm over three minutes so I		10.	standard that we produce can or unequivocally	
11.	apologize. I'm a little passionate here, because		11.	prevent an injury? The reality is people are people	
12.	I was afraid I wasn't going to get a chance to say		12.	that make mistakes. You try to educate them through	1
13.	this part. My I guess my point to you is you		13.	standards to help prevent those mistakes and errors	
14.	don't have to read a whole standard to		14.	from occurring.	
15.	recognize and I'm not saying don't do that, but		15.	In the one case about the lanyard,	
16.	I'm saying here's a point you can just mull over		16.	Wahoo Ziplines was brought up to Mr. Barker	
17.	between now and September, and that is, why not at		17.	specifically. Our standard said that you had to	
18.	least offer inclusivity. Why not, you know, give		18.	have two lanyards. He only had one. If he had	
19.	people a chance to make their own decision?		19.	been following our standards, he would have had a	
20.	The problem at the current moment is		20.	backup lanyard on and he wouldn't have fallen.	
21.	the implied belief that there's only one way;		21.	That's how you can make those kind of statements.	
22.	there's only one organization you can belong to.		22.	But people are people at the end of the day.	
23.	And those people do they have infiltrated lots		23.	They're going to follow the standard or they're	
24.	of places. I had I can send you something, if		24.	not going to follow the standard.	
25.	you'd like, several different somethings from		25.	I also understand you say that this	
		Page 134			Page 136
1.	insurance companies who are saying the only people	-	1.	board doesn't already adopt the ACCT standards.	
2.	you can hire are a PBM from the ACCT. That's not		2.	You're still under the decision with that	
3.	fair. That's not the American way, quite		3.	yourselves. That's not quite true. Because if	
4.	honestly. And that is what I object to.		4.	you have to have an ACCT inspector come out and	
5.	And I want to make sure that		5.	inspect your course, they then dictate they're	
6.	organizations, camps, schools, colleges, whatever		6.	going to follow their own ACCT standard. I know	
7.	that maybe don't have a huge budget can still		7.	ma'am you shake your head no, but that's exactly	
8.	afford to be in business of educating kids and		8.	what happened to me in Tennessee.	
9.	doing fun things, not just recreation, although		9.	I overspent \$11,500 for engineering	
10.	that's obviously important, as well. I think		10.	the standards that the ACCT third-party required	
11.	that's		11.	me to produce and then ignored at the end of the	
12.	MR. KEIFER: Good. That's good.		12.	day, and my Tennessee licensed engineer was not	
13.	That's good, Tom.		13.	recognized in this state.	
14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: You did a great job.		14.	So you got some systems here to kind	
15.	MR. RADER: Good job.		15.	of figure out. And what I hear from Mr. Andrews	
16.	MR. HALE: Thank you.		16.	and Mr. Barker, try to just level the field, get	
17.	MR. KEIFER: Very good.		17.	one standard. It's already been adopted by ANSI	
18.	CHAIRMAN FOX: One of the gentlemen		18.	two years ago. It's already out there. It	
19.	in the back had your hand up a minute ago. I will		19.	doesn't follow the European standard. It has	
20.	offer you the opportunity for three minutes.		20.	weaker categories than what we have here in the	
21.	MR. GUSTAFSON: Yes, Chairman Fox.		21.	United States. It's already been harmonized with	
22.	Steve Gustafson.		22.	NFPA. It's been harmonized with ASTM, ASSE.	
23.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Come around and tell		23.	We've harmonized them so all the standards work	
24.	us who you are.		24.	seamlessly together. That's already in the PRCA	
25.	MR. GUSTAFSON: Yes, sir.		25.	standards.	
	Provided by Stone & G	eorge C	oui	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244	

	Page 137	1	Page 139
1.	And Carlene, did you not get the	1.	what's going on. Whether you acknowledge another
2.	standard when I sent it back in March?	2.	standard or not, that's what's happening in the
3.	MS. BENNETT: I did. Uh-huh.	3.	field and it's costing money and it's financial
4.	MR. GUSTAFSON: Okay. So I we	4.	hardship.
5.	produced that. I'll be more than happy to forward	5.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.
6.	it to you again if you need. But that's what we're	6.	MR. GUSTAFSON: Thank you for your
7.	here to promote, not one association over the other.	7.	time.
8.	It's a safety standard that we believe is in the	8.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Anything from the
9.	public's best interest that will mitigate future	9.	any other comments from the Board on this particular
10.	possible injuries. The sooner it's implemented, the	10.	topic?
11.	better. That's what I wanted to add.	11.	MR. RADER: Did you have your hand
12.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Thank you very	12.	up, sir?
13.	much.	13.	DR. JORDAN: Yes, sir. If I may, I
14.	MR. GUSTAFSON: Thank you, sir.	14.	have a different perspective, if I could have the
15.	Thank you.	15.	Chairman's
16.	MR. RADER: I would like for the	16.	CHAIRMAN FOX: By all means, sir.
17.	minutes to reflect, what does this department	17.	Three minutes.
18.	recognize? Is it the ACCT standard?	18.	DR. JORDAN: I appreciate it.
19.	MS. JEFFERSON: No. ASTM.	19.	MR. KEIFER: Does he get three
20.	MR. RADER: That's what this board	20.	minutes also, Chairman Fox?
21.	recognizes.	21.	DR. JORDAN: I'll take three minutes
22.	MS. JEFFERSON: That's what the	22.	or less. I'm concise. My name's Scott Jordan. I
23.	MR. RADER: Period.	23.	am a professor at Northern Michigan University. I
24.	MS. JEFFERSON: Actually, that's what	24.	have worked in the challenge course industry
25.	the Department recognizes. ASTM. If the	25.	previous for the previous 16 years at Oklahoma
	Page 138		Page 140
1.	inspections are doing something different in the	1.	State University as a director of their program. So
2.	field, then that's something we have to address.	2.	my background is from the challenge course and
3.	Maybe in our inspection reports, we need to include	3.	working with young people, developing them into a
4.	something so they can specify. To my knowledge,	4.	profession.
5.	they are specifying what standard they're using, but	5.	So just to briefly state, I started
6.	if they're not, then they need to specify. I think	6.	using the PRCA standard 10 years ago as an
7.	that would cure the issue unless, you know,	7.	educator, because my goal is that young people,
8.	something else is decided.	8.	like Brian Polston here who was one of my students
9.	MR. GUSTAFSON: But by default, you	9.	and now works in the industry, goes out into an
10.	actually have third-party inspectors who are making	10.	industry that respects them as employees and will
11.	up their own rules and putting more regulations upon	11.	follow safety standards. And that OSHA
12.	us that aren't in that aren't already in your	12.	requirement meant a lot to me back then. I'd like
13.	processes. That's what happened to me.	13.	to think that the students I teach go out into
14.	MS. JEFFERSON: Well	14.	safety environments.
15.	MR. GUSTAFSON: The ACCT inspector	15.	Because this industry's booming right
16.	that you recognize as an ACCT inspector then	16.	now. In Colorado, four yeah, \$46 billion going
17.	defaulted to their standards that you don't	17.	into the nature-based industry right now, for
18.	recognize and made me jump through all these extra	18.	example. So it's growing and needs to be
19.	hoops, spend all this extra money. In the end, I	19.	regulated. So I appreciate being the dog wagging
20.	had a licensed engineer from the beginning that you	20.	the tail instead of the latter, because that's a
21.	do recognize.	21.	fear of mine.
22.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.	22.	The other perspective I have comes
23.	MR. GUSTAFSON: So that was my	23.	from my educational background, which is leisure,
24.	loophole at the time. I'm not here to ask that's	24.	which is the umbrella for recreation. And under
25.	not my platform today. But that is an example of	25.	recreation is amusement. And amusement and
		<u> </u>	
	Provided by Stone & George (Cou	rt Reporting (615) 268-1244

Page 141		Page 143
-	1.	City by ANSI, because of these conflicts, to see if
		it's going to be withdrawn as an American National
	3.	Standard, just so you're aware of that. So when
• • •	4.	you're looking through all of them, don't just jump
		September 13th until you've found out, is it
		withdrawn or not, just to be on the you know, to
		be on the safe side.
		CHAIRMAN FOX: Well, it'll be a
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	good
•		MR. BARKER: Yeah.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	CHAIRMAN FOX: read anyway.
		MR. BARKER: And it'll be a marvelous
	1	read. You're going to sleep so well when you read
	1	these two side by side. You're going to sleep
•	1	fabulous. I know I've been sleeping on them for
• •	1	1 0
		years. So
	1	CHAIRMAN FOX: It'll be a good read,
		Sir.
	1	MR. BARKER: Thank you for the time.
	1	CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you.
•		MR. BARKER: I appreciate it.
_		MR. KEIFER: Thank you for your time.
	1	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Item Nine, New
	1	Business, there is no new business. Number 10,
there these devices are used much differently	25.	discussion item, rule update on LULA lifts.
Page 142	+	Page 144
by different types of people. So I appreciate it.	1.	MR. FARMER: We're waiting the 90
CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you, sir.	2.	days. I think we're two months into it, so we
MR. RADER: Thank you, sir.	3.	should be about a month away from being finalized.
CHAIRMAN FOX: All right. Anything	4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: So on the 26th, the
else from the Board? Can we agree, then, to if	5.	27th of August, we should be finished.
we get the two standards	6.	MR. FARMER: I'm not going to lie to
MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And I believe	7.	you, I don't know the exact date. I can get it
5	8.	upstairs. I can forward it to you guys.
	9.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Have you all
•	10.	has the State adopted the 2012 IBC?
• • •	1	MR. FARMER: Each city is different.
•	1	Metro Nashville has adopted 2012. We go by local
		jurisdiction, which is county or city wide. So we
•	1	have a standard we're under ASME 1 for elevator
	1	codes; that all the related codes to it, as far as,
·	1.	like, the IBC, the NEC is local jurisdiction
	1	supersedes us, so we having to go by what they
		apply.
•		CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. But does the
	1	the State has to adopt some type of IBC rule.
		MR. FARMER: Yes. And they are
·		•
•		currently under the 2012.
		CHAIRMAN FOX: 2012.
	1	MR. FARMER: Yes.
is going to be under review next week in New York	25.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Are they going to 2015
is going to be under review next week in riew tork	1	
•	spectatorship are one aspect of that recreation point. But recreation has greater value into it, not to devalue spectatorship or amusement. It's great. It has value in our community. But you have other users that have similar devices that are falling under your category of amusement. A lot of those are non-profits like the Boy Scouts or a lot of camp organizations with separate outcomes. And because they have separate outcomes, they have different fee structures. And a lot of them don't even charge fees for use. It goes in as a camp fee or something like that. So a user's fee is paid through a general fund, which may be \$35, and it's including meals and overnight stays and counselors and stuff like that. They're being counseled for behavioral development, leadership development, group development, and outcomes such as that, which are extremely different from amusement. I understand regulation, but I would ask that as you guys start to develop your processes that you consider those differences that there these devices are used much differently Page 142 by different types of people. So I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you, sir. MR. RADER: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN FOX: All right. Anything else from the Board? Can we agree, then, to if we get the two standards	spectatorship are one aspect of that recreation point. But recreation has greater value into it, not to devalue spectatorship or amusement. It's great. It has value in our community. But you have other users that have similar devices that are falling under your category of amusement. A lot of those are non-profits like the Boy Scouts or a lot of camp organizations with separate outcomes. And because they have separate outcomes, they have different fee structures. And a lot of them don't even charge fees for use. It goes in as a camp fee or something like that. So a user's fee is paid through a general fund, which may be \$35, and it's including meals and overnight stays and counselors and stuff like that. They're being counseled for behavioral development, leadership development, group development, and outcomes such as that, which are extremely different from amusement. I understand regulation, but I would ask that as you guys start to develop your processes that you consider those differences that there these devices are used much differently Page 142 by different types of people. So I appreciate it. CHAIRMAN FOX: Thank you, sir. MR. RADER: Thank you, sir. MR. RADER: Thank you, sir. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And I believe Carlene has both of those. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: Right. And I believe Carlene has both of those. CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. MS. JEFFERSON: And if you'll just send those directly to the Chairman? CHAIRMAN FOX: You can scan those and send them to us or however you have them. MS. BENNETT: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN FOX: If you'll send those to us, let us look and then we would make a decision one way or the other on the September 13th, give a little put ourselves on a deadline. MR. RADER: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN FOX: Anybody have an objection to that? MR. BARKER: I hate to say I need to bring up one thing really quickly. The current

		Page 145		Page 14
1.	any time in the near future?	rage 143	1.	CHAIRMAN FOX: And when I came on, I
2.	MR. FARMER: I think they go every		2.	knew about things that went round and round, but I
3.	nine years. They update.		3.	didn't know about those things that went up and
4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.		4.	down. And he taught us about those, and I think
5.	MR. FARMER: Every nine years, they		5.	that was
6.	update to the latest and greatest.		6.	MR. HALE: Absolutely.
7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.		7.	CHAIRMAN FOX: I think that was, you
8.	MR. FARMER: They don't go every		8.	know, a great experience for us. So and again,
9.	three years and readopt. They wait nine years to		9.	truly hate to see him go.
10.	adopt all the way up.		10.	MS. BENNETT: And just to let you
11.	CHAIRMAN FOX: All right.		11.	know that we have requested a certificate from the
12.	MR. FARMER: And I don't know why		12.	Governor's Office for his 10 years' service on the
13.	they do that but they do.		13.	Board.
13. 14.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Any other		14.	MS. O'CONNOR: That's nice.
	questions on the LULA? Are we good with that?		15.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Great. Do we have
15.	•		l	
16.	Okay. Item Number 11, Announcement of the Next		16.	someone coming on the Board or do we announce that
17.	Meeting. The next regularly scheduled meeting of		17.	yet?
18.	the Elevator and Amusement Device Safety Board		18.	MS. JEFFERSON: No.
19.	meeting will be held in the first floor TOSHA		19.	MR. FARMER: Do you want
20.	Hearing Room at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, September the		20.	MS. JEFFERSON: Do you have the
21.	13th at the State of Tennessee Department of Labor		21.	person's name? I think we have
22.	and Workforce Development, located at 220 French		22.	MR. FARMER: That's Paul Fisher.
23.	Landing Drive, Nashville, Tennessee.		23.	He's an elevator representative here.
24.	Do I have a motion for adjourn or		24.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay.
25.	anything else that needs to come before the Board?		25.	MR. FARMER: He I think he's a
		Page 146		Page 14
1.	Yes, ma'am?	U	1.	superintendent is his title of United Elevator
2.	MS. BENNETT: Perry Burch.		2.	Company. So he's pretty all over the State of
3.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Perry Burch. Perry		3.	Tennessee. So he's very knowledgeable.
4.	Burch is rotating off the Board. And from my		4.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Okay. Well, we'll
5.	perspective, I've served with him for several years.		5.	certainly welcome him to the Board. All right.
6.	An outstanding individual, a man of integrity, great		6.	Next meeting, September 13th, 9:00 o'clock Central
7.	character, just a fine human being. As my momma		7.	Standard Time. Do I have a motion for adjournment?
8.	used to say, he's just a good man. And he is		8.	MR. HALE: So moved.
9.	rotating off and quite frankly, I hate to see him		9.	MR. RADER: Seconded.
10.	go. I don't know whether it's health issues or what		10.	CHAIRMAN FOX: We are adjourned.
11.	it is, but he is moving off the Board, and I don't		11.	END OF PROCEEDINGS.
12.	know if any of the rest of you want to comment or		12.	LAD OF TROCLEDINGS.
13.	not.		13.	
13. 14.			14.	
14. 15.	MR. HALE: Well, I'll just say		15.	
			l	
16.	MR. RADER: Good man.		16.	
17.	MR. HALE: Go ahead.		17.	
18.	MR. RADER: Good man. Enjoyed		18.	
19.	working with him.		19.	
20.	CHAIRMAN FOX: Absolutely.		20.	
21.	MR. HALE: And I learned a lot. When		21.	
22.	I came on this board, I have learned a tremendous		22.	
23.	amount from him. He's been an asset to me to learn		23.	
24.	the inner workings of elevators, and this board, as		24.	
25.	well.		25.	

		Page 149
1.	CERTIFICATE	-
2.		
3.	STATE OF TENNESSEE)	
4.	COUNTY OF WILLIAMSON)	
5.		
6.		
7.	I, Dominique A. Dubois LCR# 686,	
8.	Notary Public and Court Reporter, do hereby	
9.	certify that I have recorded to the best of my	
10.	skill and ability by machine shorthand all the	
11.	proceedings in the foregoing transcript, and that	
12.	said transcript is a true, accurate, and complete	
13.	transcript to the best of my ability.	
14.	I further certify that I am not an	
15.	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a	
16.	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel	
17.	connected with the action, nor financially	
18.	interested in the action.	
19.	SIGNED this 12th day of August, 2016.	
20.		
21.		
22.	Dominique A. Dubois, LCR# 686	-
~~.	Notary Public State at Large	
23.	My commission expires: 8/9/2018	
24.	, ,	
25.		
ı		

A	122:11 123:2 123:3	v .	61:17 70:14 71:14	95:20 96:16 96:24
	123:5 125:4 125:11	adjournment 5:21	71:14 91:13 114:4	98:20 100:1 100:4
ability 149:10 149:13		148:7	114:4 114:4 115:21	
able 12:6 15:23	131:24 134:2 136:1	adjusting 36:19	132:21 137:6 147:8	
16:16 22:10 29:3	136:4 136:6 136:10	***************************************	against 63:3	102:7 102:9 103:9
32:5 37:1 52:6	137:18 138:15	administer 12:25	agencies 46:2	104:18 104:19
72:21 92:20 97:13	138:16 142:24	12:25 31:16 64:9	agency 25:21 108:12	
127:1 133:5	accurate 30:21 30:23	damminstered 02.1	agenda 5:1 70:21	107:25 108:23
above 2:2 29:14	149:12	administering 30:6	84:13	109:16 110:10
38:19 58:2 60:5	accustomed 31:12	administrative 14:21		110:12 110:25
absolutely 117:8	acknowledge 139:1	15:14 15:15 15:24	121:19 132:9	111:14 111:16
146:20 147:6	across 122:22 128:21	19:9	132:19 134:19	112:4 112:22
absurd 89:15 113:2	act 41:9 41:11	administratively	136:18 140:6	112:25 113:19
acc 21:24 117:16	action 27:11 43:15	16:17	agree 66:12 85:3	113:22 114:13
accc 117:10	45:9 149:17 149:18	***************************************	122:13 142:5	114:13 114:14
acceptable 84:10	actions 102:15	7:13 12:8	ahead 73:22 146:17	114:16 116:1
128:9 128:10	110:13	adopt 116:13 117:22		117:25 118:20
acceptances 11:11	actually 14:24 15:13			118:20 119:11
accessories 30:17	16:10 16:23 17:13	144:20 145:10	108:13	119:16 120:19 120:22 121:12
accident 20:20 21:22		adopted 102:25	air 29:16 30:2 38:8	
27:3 27:5 42:19	29:16 30:21 31:11	117:23 136:17	alarms 97:12	121:18 121:21 121:22 122:7
43:6 43:11 43:16	31:12 32:24 44:5	144:10 144:12	all 8:24 9:23 10:10	121:22 122:7
43:21 45:11 46:10	45:4 48:7 50:2	adopting 119:5	10:12 11:25 12:5	124:21 126:24
46:11 48:6 53:19	54:23 55:12 58:17	119:8 126:8 128:22		124.21 120.24
55:6 55:8 56:5	60:10 66:25 73:25	adoption 64:19	19:5 19:19 20:18	120.23 127.2 127.2
94:19 98:1	76:16 80:24 89:24	adventure 91:1 91:5		130:18 132:20
accidents 27:8 28:6	93:7 97:9 98:4 98:9	72.0	27:6 29:19 33:7	136:23 138:18
91:17 93:11 95:12	99:20 101:1 102:11	adventures 3:19 4:2	35:6 36:3 36:15	138:19 139:16
accordance 109:23	103:1 105:20 106:2	0.12 0.20 131.3	36:17 37:12 37:18	142:4 143:4 144:9
accountability 11:5	107:12 108:25	adversarial 52:18	37:24 38:1 38:4	144:15 145:10
accreditation 65:8	111:23 117:18	advice 45:22	38:14 38:20 39:13	145:11 148:2 148:5
accredited 8:20 65:3		advisement 64:1	42:6 45:22 48:1	149:10
65:4 96:12 125:6	121:12 123:10	advisory 121:19	48:11 48:15 51:3	allegiance 9:22
125:17 131:5	137:24 138:10	aerial 90:25 91:4	52:16 53:23 54:3	allow 13:5 85:12
acct 92:18 93:9 95:2		92:6 127:23	55:24 57:17 61:15	85:15 110:1 121:13
95:22 97:17 100:13		affect 82:4 82:6 82:9	63:8 63:12 63:13	allowance 128:5
103:6 103:25	76:4 137:11	119:12 119:19	63:17 63:18 63:22	allowed 96:7 111:24
104:14 104:23	added 18:21 40:21	afford 134:8	63:25 64:1 64:13	119:17
106:5 107:2 107:4	78:15	affordable 91:10	64:16 66:2 67:7	allowing 104:15
	addition 27:9 117:9	afraid 133:12	67:10 74:10 74:14	106:19 115:14
108:12 108:21	additional 60:5 61:2	after 17:11 18:2	74:20 75:24 76:5	allows 100:23 121:12
	address 9:21 73:19	27:23 33:9 33:13	78:8 78:11 79:4 80:3 80:6 84:7	almost 15:23
111:8 111:11	114:16 114:17	41:12 43:16 61:11	80:3 80:6 84:7 84:10 84:16 84:23	along 19:5 36:5
111:23 113:7 113:7		80:5 81:10 122:25	84:10 84:16 84:23	80:14 125:17 128:2
113:16 113:18	138:2	130:3 135:7	87:1 88:12 88:25	already 40:17 62:23
114:7 114:9 114:9	addressed 91:25	again 6:13 12:22	89:3 89:15 89:19	95:1 123:15 128:20
114:11 116:6	addresses 85:25 86:1	14:16 16:4 21:13	89:19 90:5 92:5	128:21 136:1
116:11 117:17	addressing 104:4	22:3 24:24 27:15	92:7 93:20 95:12	136:17 136:18
117:23 118:14	104:4 113:4	34:10 43:15 44:11	95:13 95:13 95:16	136:21 136:24
119:3 122:10	adjourn 145:24	50:25 52:25 56:6	73.13 73.13 73.10	150.21 150.27

120.12	10.4.145.16	1:1 105:0		-44 10 11.4
138:12	10:4 145:16	applied 105:8	aspect 92:1 131:12	attending 11:4
always 132:13	announcements 5:5	applies 96:25	141:1	attorney 26:4 26:6
ambiguity 71:23	annual 25:16 29:9	apply 28:5 57:11	aspects 91:3 128:1	44:24 80:8 149:15
72:4	29:9	103:1 105:9 144:18		149:16
ambiguous 13:14	another 26:21 29:18	11	136:22	attorneys 26:7 44:18
28:13	58:19 61:22 79:23	140:19 142:1	assemble 28:22 50:7	audited 30:22
ambulance 55:23	83:14 94:17 107:23		assessment 105:11	audrey 3:19 8:11
amendments 51:20	109:22 111:15	appreciative 131:20	asset 146:23	august 144:5 149:19
america 122:21	114:1 114:1 114:2	approach 15:12	assist 19:10 19:11	australia 114:12
american 65:5 95:16		15:12 18:14 28:24	25:5 30:24 61:14	authorities 17:5 26:4
96:12 96:15 97:2	ansi 64:20 65:7 65:10	== * * *	106:24	26:13 60:12
97:6 97:15 100:3	95:16 96:14 96:20	appropriation 18:8		authorization 43:19
100:12 134:3	96:23 97:10 100:8 100:8 100:9 101:20	18:12 18:16	assistant 3:8	auto 102:14 110:14
142:24 143:2	100:8 100:9 101:20	wpprover ==.1>	assisted 91:20 91:21	automatically 62:7
amongst 63:17		22:24 23:2 23:8	assisting 40:9	avenues 78:19
amount 17:24 31:10	114:11 116:11	23:23 23:24 24:8	associated 6:22	average 67:7 67:7
31:15 38:25 57:25	126:25 132:16	65:16 78:16 80:9	14:11 14:12 30:5	67:10 72:21
58:18 59:8 66:2	132:18 136:17	approvals 23:25	associates 131:4	avoided 95:15
66:18 68:12 69:25	143:1	approve 22:20 22:24		aware 27:7 49:22
75:5 127:2 146:23	answer 33:22 33:24	65:19 78:17 80:10	3:22 4:1 4:2 7:21	59:18 143:3
amounts 76:6		80:12	7:24 8:18 8:21 65:3	away 86:19 113:8
amusement 1:11 2:4	101:5 123:19	approved 40:17 62:1		121:21 144:3
5:7 5:17 6:4 11:23		62:1 80:22 83:18	124:14 131:6	awesome 9:5
12:11 13:23 14:6	answering 88:22 112:13	108:12 122:9	132:19 137:7	aye 84:17 84:18
14:11 14:14 16:19	answers 16:24 126:1	april 11:1 81:13	associations 118:2	84:19 84:20 84:21
16:25 19:11 19:19	answers 10.24 120.1 anybody 104:8	area 10.17 10.20	assume 92:4	84:22
24:14 25:5 27:8	111:22 112:12	48:13	assuming 40:1 40:23	B
30:6 39:15 43:1	132:7 142:20	areas 126:9	61:22	
50:8 55:1 65:16	anyone 59:15	aren 124:20 138:12	assure 52:11	back 10:16 18:15
89:23 90:14 92:5	anything 22:21 24:4	138:12	assured 34:2	21:13 22:4 22:22
96:18 140:25 140:25 141:3 141:7	• 0	argued 89:13	astm 65:7 96:19	23:21 25:3 41:2
141:21 145:18	55:10 69:8 70:6	armansas oo.17	96:20 100:10 101:9	
amusements 44:25	83:21 84:24 88:25	68:20 68:23	101:17 101:20	63:1 78:18 79:13
analogy 132:11	107:5 107:10 119:5	around 6:20 70:8	101:21 102:18	79:21 80:12 82:18
analysis 57:6 57:10	120:7 120:16	01.13 /3.24 107.21	107:25 108:17	83:21 84:3 87:23
	128:13 139:8 142:4	119:23 132:1	108:25 110:19	88:1 88:4 88:5 89:4
analyst 8:9	145:25	132.14 134.23	110:24 111:3 111:5	
andrews 4:2 8:19 8:19 129:22 129:23	20 10 50 5	ask 19:22 20:17	111:8 111:10	101:21 101:22
	143:11	20:18 22:15 31:24	111:12 111:16	102:1 102:5 109:20
130:13 130:16 130:18 130:23	anyways 105:25	41:14 49:8 49:25	111:17 111:18	112:18 122:21
131:2 131:2 136:15		52:22 55:18 61:16	111:22 114:13	127:12 132:22
	113:21	70:24 77:10 77:11	116:19 122:3 122:5	
anita 3:12 8:22 17:9	apologize 6:6 6:13	78:3 83:14 87:20	122:12 123:2 128:4	
17:10 17:10 17:12 25:4 27:20 27:23	64:13 89:5 89:11	101:2 101:6 115:18		background 33:16 44:21 140:2 140:23
28:1	98:7 133:11	138:24 141:23	137:25	backing 81:15
ankle 47:10	apples 58:21 58:21	asked 6:16 89:10	attached 69:18	backup 135:20
annotated 47:22	application 69:13	asking 48:11 60:16	attack 109:24	bad 50:23
annotated 47.22 announce 147:16	application 03.13	65:15 65:24 71:24 asme 100:10 114:13	attain 23:8 69:10	bailey 3:10 7:15 7:15
announcement 5:16			attempt 64:16	9:15 65:13 78:11
aimouncement 3.10	17. <i>23</i>	144:14	attendees 10:6	7.13 03.13 /8:11

80:22 81:3 81:6	129:19 130:17	49:24 55:11 65:20	big 72:13 96:11	118:1 142:8
81:20 82:2 83:6	135:16 136:16	73:19 80:11 80:24	97:10 97:11 113:5	bottom 30:11 31:1
83:12 85:8 85:19	142:22 143:10	81:9 81:13 82:13	115:16 119:14	36:16 36:21 58:16
89:1 89:2	143:12 143:19	83:4 97:20 117:5	119:16	74:9 133:3
ball 37:23	143:21	145:25	bigger 64:12	bounce 66:17 66:20
ballistic 90:6	base 59:7	beginning 43:8 73:17		
ballpark 40:10	based 18:21 26:12	138:20	115:7 115:11	boy 3:20 7:18 9:25
bank 41:4 89:19	31:18 38:9 39:22	behalf 53:1	115:13	89:23 105:21 141:8
barker 3:21 7:19	40:15 58:11 58:14	behavioral 141:19	billion 140:16	brake 95:24
7:19 64:21 64:25	59:7 59:12 66:4	behind 132:24	bills 51:6	break 47:10 75:22
65:1 89:4 89:6 89:8		being 51:5 51:10	bit 19:2 48:11 52:2	105:11 123:24
89:12 90:22 92:9	110:19 110:21	66:16 66:17 78:14	65:15 66:7 66:22	124:2 129:23 130:2
92:10 92:13 92:19	110:24 126:25	78:15 79:3 87:17	88:23	130:3 130:19
92:22 92:25 93:2	127:22 128:1 129:9		blah 93:17 93:17	breaking 122:16
93:5 93:24 94:2	140:17	122:5 127:22 128:1	1	breather 123:17
94:5 94:7 95:9	basic 44:18 113:13	140:19 141:18	97:13 128:9 128:9	brian 3:24 8:13
97:22 98:1 98:3	basically 57:18 100:0		128:9	140:8
98:7 98:15 98:18	103:20 106:17	belief 133:21	blast 87:3	brief 65:1 91:10
99:4 99:7 99:10	128:2 128:15	believe 56:25 64:10	blew 99:25	briefly 73:24 140:5
99:13 99:18 101:3	became 14:7	83:6 131:9 131:23	blocks 95:12	bring 40:14 62:17
101:8 101:12	become 13:25 14:14		blow 97:12	100:14 142:23
101:14 101:17	17:4 20:12 25:2	believing 132:10	blue 91:7	
101:22 101:25	25:6 25:17 31:12	belong 133:22		bringing 40:19
102:4 102:7 102:17		below 100:23 119:18	board 1:11 2:4 3:11	brings 22:13 40:2
103:16 103:18	32:13 32:17 33:13	bend 37:17	0.00.17 0.1 0.2 1	british 103:19
108:4 108:9 108:18	l .	benefits 30:4 30:9	7:4 7:5 7:6 7:8 7:10 7:23 12:2 12:9	
108:20 108:23	74:17 80:18	bennett 3:11 6:23	12:16 12:22 16:8	brought 92:2 98:13
109:4 109:9 109:13	l	6:23 16:20 16:22	38:20 42:24 48:15	98:15 114:14
110:9 110:17	21:18 41:9	36:23 36:25 37:3	48:16 49:19 50:1	135:16
110:21 110:24	been 9:25 11:4 15:17		50:6 51:13 51:15	bucks 107:22
111:2 111:5 111:9	16:16 17:22 21:19	60:10 70:20 71:11	52:20 63:17 64:20	budget 18:13 18:19
111:20 112:4 112:7		71:18 73:7 73:10	65:15 65:18 65:25	30:1 30:15 34:17
112:11 112:16	27:1 46:16 46:16	73:12 86:24 87:6	66:14 78:4 81:1	61:25 82:5 82:7
112:22 115:5 115:8		87:12 87:22 88:13	84:11 87:2 90:6	83:5 134:7
115:12 115:18	52:17 59:8 63:16	88:16 99:16 137:3	92:12 93:3 108:7	build 63:7 107:16
115:20 115:23	64:22 64:22 65:10	142:14 146:2	123:18 124:13	109:16 113:6 113:8
116:2 116:5 116:8	65:11 65:24 83:17	147:10	124:22 125:19	113:14
116:10 116:15	87:18 91:16 91:25	best 53:21 57:6 78:24		builder 65:4 122:20
116:17 116:20	94:13 95:1 95:15	109:15 118:4 137:9	l	builders 107.10
116:23 117:1 117:4		149:9 149:13	145:25 146:4	113.47
117:7 117:24 118:7		better 12:18 37:8	146:11 146:22	building 5:19 10:6
118:11 118:14	128:11 131:4 132:1	38:4 43:5 72:12	146:24 147:13	10:7 10:8 106:9
118:18 118:25	132:13 135:19	81:23 98:9 106:9	147:16 148:5	122:16 122:18
119:8 120:8 120:10		137:11	boat 68:21 69:4	122:19
120:12 120:17	136:22 143:15	between 31:18 38:13		built 122:5 122:20
120:20 126:7	146:23	38:16 67:8 67:17	boils 119:24 119:25	122:21 126:21
126:16 126:23	before 1:13 2:3 12:9	67:18 76:7 112:20	books 108:8 108:9	133:8
127:6 127:10	12:22 13:22 14:4	115:3 120:3 130:6	booming 140:15	bunch 95:11
127:19 128:25	15:15 20:3 23:15	133:17	both 47:3 101:12	bungee 70:2
129:2 129:5 129:8	23:25 42:10 43:18	beyond 17:25 79:20	101:14 104:13	burch 146:2 146:3
129:12 129:16		Sejona 17.23 77.20	101.14 104.13	146:4

hundanina 121.0	16.22 17.11 10.10	140.0 140.14	112.5 112.0 112.1	121.0 121.10
burdening 121:8	16:22 17:11 19:10	149:9 149:14	112:5 112:9 112:14	
burns 13:1	25:4 25:12 25:19 27:21 31:19 37:13	certifying 25:21 46:2 65:9 108:12	115:18 115:21 115:24 116:3 116:7	126:18 126:20 128:10 128:12
business 5:10 5:12			116:9 116:12	
64:19 131:4 131:20	71:8 73:3 77:13	chair 12:3	116:18 116:21	changed 44:20 45:24 47:1 48:17
134:8 143:24	137:1 142:8	chairman 1:13 3:3	116:24 117:2 117:5	
143:24		6:3 8:24 9:3 9:13	117:9 117:13	
busy 10:13	carry 85:12 cart 47:9	9:19 9:24 10:3	117.9 117.13	changing 43:23
buy 107:18		11:20 11:22 12:3	117.20 118.0 118.5	'012 12010 12011
C	case 6:15 17:5 42:19		118:24 119:1	character 146:7
	57:6 57:6 57:10	21:12 21:19 22:13	123:21 124:1	charge 41:18 69:19
cable 98:23 99:1	57:10 122:25	23:11 23:14 24:2	123.21 124.1	70:1 70:2 141:12
99:24 126:21	135:15	24:4 24:9 31:23	124.11 124.13	charged 68:22 68:23
126:24 127:1 127:7		32:1 32:15 32:22	128:25 129:3 129:6	89:15
127:11 127:16	categorically 110:6	33:25 34:9 34:16	128.23 129.3 129.0	
127:19 128:3	categories 136:20	36:14 41:14 41:17	129:9 129:14	69:1 69:2 69:3 69:3
cables 127:25	category 67:9 71:4	41:22 48:24 49:7	129:17 129:20 129:22 130:11	89:18 89:20 89:22
calculate 72:22	75:1 75:15 96:23	49:12 49:17 49:23	130:15 134:14	chart 29:18
calculated 31:2	141:7	50:5 50:12 50:16	134:18 134:14	charter 131:8
73:16 76:9	catherine 3:17 8:8	50:21 51:22 51:25	134:23 135:1 135:6	cheap 88:8
calendar 11:9	caught 82:13 89:9	52:4 52:8 52:11	134.23 133.1 133.0	CHECK 31.9
call 5:2 6:3 24:19	cause 2:2 24:18	53:8 53:11 53:13	139:5 139:8 139:15	chief 3:8 65:11
27:12 57:15	causing 105:8	53:16 53:24 54:2		choices 125:24
called 46:16 73:6	caveat 103:8	55:18 56:6 56:9	139:16 139:20 142:2 142:4 142:9	131:13
78:13 78:21 121:17	cd 80:15	56:13 56:16 56:19		choked 109:24
131:3 131:8 131:9	cease 25:14 56:2	56:22 57:1 57:4	142:11 142:12	chose 85:14
calling 50:22 105:3	60:17	57:12 62:21 62:24	142:15 142:20	chris 3:8 3:13 7:1 8: 6
came 2:2 6:7 10:16	cell 30:18	63:1 63:3 64:18	143:8 143:11	10:11 29:21 33:6
36:9 38:5 49:9	cen 103:16 103:18	64:24 65:13 65:21	143:17 143:20	34:12 36:16 114:22
53:14 93:13 98:13	central 148:6	65:23 67:4 68:2	143:23 144:4 144:9	124:6
103:21 104:18	certain 44:17 60:7	70:12 70:14 70:18	144:19 144:23 144:25 145:4 145:7	citizens 27:16 63:21
109:5 120:23	73:15	70:22 73:22 75:7		city 65:10 143:1
122:11 146:22	certainly 6:15 79:7	75:17 78:3 81:1	145:11 145:14 146:3 146:20 147:1	144.11 144.12
147:1	85:5 117:2 125:16	81:5 81:15 81:22		clarification 55:19
camp 141:8 141:13	125:20 132:4 148:5	82:3 82:8 82:25	147:7 147:15	clarified 32:2 32:3
camps 134:6	certificate 65:8	83:14 83:22 84:9	147:24 148:4	clarify 54:5
canada 102:25	147:11	84:15 84:23 85:21	148:10	1
canadian 102:24	certification 21:3	87:16 88:7 88:14	challenge 65:6 90:13	22:21
103:11	21:24 21:25 22:8	88:18 88:21 88:24	110:4 112:12	classroom 93:8
canceling 6:8	36:9 36:13 93:10	89:3 89:7 89:9 92:8		clear 34:4 37:21 43:3
cannon 3:13 8:6 8:6		92:11 92:14 92:20	139:24 140:2	54:22 55:20 57:13
cannot 81:12	certifications 20:25	92:23 93:1 93:3	challenging 112:6	96:9 96:10
canopy 3:19 8:12	21:6 45:1 45:18	95:8 98:5 99:3 99:5		climbing 70:3 76:14
71:15	certified 19:25 20:4	99:9 99:12 101:2	60:21 79:7 116:12	76:25 77:2 77:6
capability 117:14	20:12 20:23 21:16	101:4 101:9 101:13		106:17 106:18
carabiner 107:19	21:18 22:11 22:12	101:15 101:19	133:19	clipping 98:25 99:24
carabiners 114:24	25:18 25:22 32:5		change 40:1 44:15	clipping 98.23 99.24 clock 148:6
care 9:4	32:20 32:23 33:13	102:16 103:14	44:16 44:17 45:15	close 31:21 41:8
careful 90:11	33:17 46:1 92:16	103:17 110:3	74:16 78:7 78:9	55:24 61:12
carlene 3:11 6:20	92:18 107:2	110:15 110:18	79:10 86:3 86:8	closer 58:18 69:25
6:23 16:20 16:21	certify 21:11 21:15	110:23 111:1 111:3		coaster 43:22 48:14
0.23 10.20 10.21	201011, 21.11 21.13	111:7 111:19 112:3	119:7 120:5 120:7	COASICI 45.22 46.14
	<u> </u>			l

66:16 66:20	38:14 38:15 38:21	computer 30:18	90:19 141:24	couple 10:13 11:5
coasters 70:5 70:5	38:22 39:1 39:2	30:19 30:24	consideration 28:14	11:18 89:22 95:6
code 47:21 49:10	39:8 39:23 42:8	computers 30:17	28:14 28:19 28:21	119:24
codes 102:20 103:11	57:7 57:20 57:21	36:7	30:1 36:1	course 3:21 3:22 4:1
103:12 144:15	57:22 57:23 57:24	concern 24:21 51:16	considerations 63:25	4:2 7:20 7:23 8:17
144:15	57:25 58:2 58:6	53:3 63:24 66:7	considered 71:16	8:21 10:16 17:15
collect 27:20 31:15	58:12 59:17 59:20	66:23 104:1 117:25	1	19:18 28:8 36:6
39:16	60:13 61:2 61:3	120:18 120:21	consult 49:25	41:11 65:2 65:6
collected 15:10 16:3	61:5 61:10 61:13	120:25	consultant 23:8	73:15 89:14 90:7
16:11 39:18 39:21	61:15 67:2 67:10	concerned 17:21	consultants 19:11	90:15 91:6 103:21
39:22 69:21	67:12 67:13 67:16	28:11 53:4 118:2	19:13 19:25 21:10	106:9 106:10
collecting 15:1	67:18 76:6 76:22	118:3	26:25 32:2 41:17	107:16 108:24
collects 27:22	77:20 78:1 78:1	concise 66:13 139:22		109:22 113:6
colleges 134:6	134:1	conflicts 143:1	93:24 94:3	113:17 114:12
colorado 69:13	company 7:9 24:20	confused 63:9	consultation 41:21	114:20 121:15
140:16	27:11 38:23 38:24	120:11 120:15	contact 25:20 26:9	122:4 122:8 122:20
column 100:8	43:13 43:17 43:19	confusing 13:15	57:15	124:13 125:23
comes 18:6 18:11	44:10 44:12 66:3	confusion 120:6	contacted 26:12	131:6 132:18 136:5
45:22 78:18 79:13	66:5 124:25 125:13		86:23	139:24 140:2
81:10 140:22	131:3 148:2	connected 149:17	contention 63:16	courses 90:13 107:1
comfortable 74:20	compare 39:3	connecting 126:19	continue 19:17 25:7	109:14 121:21
coming 9:14 86:3	compared 11:9 11:12	connecting 120.17 connector 94:12 96:0		121:22 122:6
89:16 91:9 92:4	16:1 69:23	98:25 102:11	conversation 21:20	122:22 126:21
130:24 147:16	comparing 58:21	102:12 102:17	conversation 21.20 copy 26:4 115:25	court 1:23 100:19
comment 79:8	comparison 16:3	102.12 102.17	116:6 129:4	149:8
131:14 146:12	68:16 94:19 117:14	connectors 98:18	correct 18:23 18:24	courts 97:16
comments 78:23	compensation 1:2	102:13 103:3 103:7	1	cover 68:20 68:23
80:7 131:23 139:9	competition 24:14	106:6 106:7 106:18		97:18
commission 7:16	complete 26:2 30:23	107:13 110:12	83:5 126:22 126:23	covered 99:22 100:3
149:23	80:6 149:12	110:12 111:10	126:24 129:10	covers 113:1
commissioner 3:16	compliance 7:14	111:11 111:13	132:16	cow 54:13
8:3 13:1 50:3 50:6	12:9 17:2 19:8	112:23	corrections 121:6	create 13:12 23:7
committee 3:18 8:10	25:10 25:10 25:11	connor 3:7 7:3 7:3	correctly 72:25 83:2	
65:8 91:16 124:17	126:10	34:17 35:4 35:7	cost 30:11 61:23	119:14
124:23	compliant 14:14	35:10 35:14 35:18	68:24 93:19 107:20	created 14:10
committees 111:21	17:4 24:16 24:16	35:21 35:23 36:2	costing 139:3	credentials 11:3
common 63:23	24:17 25:17 26:14	36:8 39:11 58:10	costs 30:5 30:8 30:13	credibility 132:21
communicate 52:13	26:14 26:19 26:21	58:20 58:25 59:3	30:13 30:14 30:17	132:22
86:4 87:17	29:17 32:11 32:13	59:5 59:9 59:12	31:2 66:21	critical 121:5 121:8
communication 11:4	59:17 59:20 59:23	70:23 71:1 71:17	couldn 21:2 35:14	ct 5:18
52:5 64:11	60:3 60:13 60:15	71:21 72:3 72:18	counsel 3:10 3:23	cure 138:7
communications 8:7	60:16 60:19 60:21	72:24 83:1 83:7	7:16 8:1 44:19	current 12:11 12:23
community 141:4	complicated 28:23	83:13 84:18 118:22		13:4 13:11 13:13
companies 24:16	complies 43:17	119:1 119:3 120:2	counseled 141:18	13:21 28:12 39:10
24:17 25:16 26:2	comply 17:6 24:20	120:9 120:11	counselors 141:16	39:11 39:12 39:23
26:11 26:15 27:2	26:3 26:11	120:13 120:18	count 63:5	58:12 74:24 75:3
28:3 28:4 28:5	complying 24:21	147:14	counting 31:19	75:23 133:20
29:17 31:13 32:10	128:23	consensus 96:12	country 119:23	142:23
32:11 32:13 34:6	components 122:12	consider 5:8 30:3	122:23 128:21	currently 61:21
38:9 38:10 38:12	computation 29:2	30:3 30:4 64:19	county 144:13 149:4	(2.17.75.0.104.14
30.7 30.10 30.12	_		County 144.13 149.4	

Customer 28:25 Customer 29:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 28:25 Customer 29:25 Customer 29:23 Cust
Customers 17:1 131:19 132:9 definite 33:22 definitely 11:13 132:19 132:9 132:14 133:7 definition 47:14 develop 25:1 27:10 141:23 diedevelop 25:1 27:10 141:23 developers 96:13 developers 96:13 developers 96:13 developers 96:13 developers 96:13 developers 96:14 104:18 97:10 97:11 100:19 decumentation 28: development 1:1 delivering 118:19 118:19 demonstrates 29:25 demonstrates 29:25 demonstrates 29:25 demonstrates 29:25 department 1:1 3:13 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:22 demonstrates 29:25 development 1:1 delivering 118:19 118:19 demonstrates 29:25 development 1:1 3:14 3:15 5:19 6:24 development 1:1 delivering 118:19 118:19 development 1:1 deve
131:19 132:9 132:14 133:7 104:17 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:23 141:29
132:14 133:7
Cut 33:24
Ark 16:21 48:12
D dan 3:10 7:15 78:9 delivering 118:19 dehydrated 55:21 delivering 118:19 developing 114:10 140:3 development 1:1 3:15 development 1:1 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:25 department 1:1 3:13 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:24 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 25:10 144:20 device 1:11 14:20 14:20 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:25 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:15 13:16 dos not 2:12 13:15 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 112:23 112:24 device 1:10:1 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 device 1:11 13:23 14:6 different 15:12 18:14 113:23 14:14 different 15:12 18:14 different 15:12 18:14 different 15:12 18:14
dan 3:10 7:15 78:9 dehydrated 55:21 delvering 118:19 140:3 112:20 115:3 documents 26:1 26:10 34:21 34:24 document 36:1 26:10 34:21 34:24 document 36:
113:20
113:20 dancing 89:18 118:19 140:3 development 1:1 31:16 differences 127:7 department 1:1 3:13 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:24 development 1:1 3:14 3:15 5:19 6:25 department 1:1 3:13 development 1:1 3:14 3:15 5:19 6:25 department 1:1 3:13 development 1:1 3:14 3:15 5:19 6:25 department 1:1 3:13 development 1:1 3:14 3:15 5:19 6:25 department 1:1 3:13 development 1:1 differences 127:7 different 15:12 18:14 different 15:12 18:14 different 15:12 18:14 different 15:12 18:14 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 devide 1:10:1
dancing 89:18 dangers 14:12 dangling 37:23 dark 16:21 48:12 deemonstrates 29:25 department 1:1 3:13 development 1:1 3:13 (differences 127:7 127:10 141:24 105:4 111:16 105:4 111:16 105:4 111:16 112:3 112:24 12:12 17:22 19:3 deviate 110:1 12:12 17:22 19:3 deviate 110:1 12:12 17:22 19:3 date 70:17 74:21 22:17 22:22 23:3 5:17 6:4 11:23 14:6 19:10 149:24 doi:14.43:23 14:19 141:10 143:24 13:15 5:9 6:25 days 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 49:13 50:3 50:4 49:13 50:3 50:4 49:13 50:3 50:4 149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 51:13 53:1 53:23 12:10 149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 56:5 58:8 61:21 64:19 12:12 17:22 108:7 109:8 67:2 68:16 69:15 12:12 142:10 130:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 142:18 department 8:7 department 8:7 department 8:7 department 8:7 designed 123:8 designed 97:9 designation 97:5 decide 93:4 124:22 125:24 66:17 development 1:1 3:13 differences 127:7 137:25 123:14 112:0 141:24 differences 127:7 109:14 112:24 112:24 112:24 112:24 112:23 112:24 113:9 121:4 127:6 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 95:12 95:13 96:22 97:15 97:15 97:15 97:15 97:15 103:10 105:7 136:19 107:19 107:20 10
dangling 37:23 department 1:1 3:13 8:23 141:19 141:19 127:10 141:24 105:4 111:16 112:23 112:24 50:18 8:1 8:5 8:23 12:4 141:20 145:22 different 15:12 18:14 46:7 66:16 76:24 112:23 112:24 data 27:19 12:12 17:22 19:3 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 95:12 95:13 96:22 127:8 128:10 127:15 97:16 98:13 128:12 136:1 79:14 81:10 144:7 23:9 23:19 29:25 12:11 13:23 14:6 98:15 103:10 105:7 97:15 97:16 98:13 128:12 136:1 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 19:11 24:15 25:6 19:11 24:15 25:6 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 108:11 107:3 136:19 days 6:17 79:16 49:13 50:3 50:4 28:17 28:17 28:22 28:17 28:17 28:22 133:25 138:1 122:3 123:14 122:3 123:14 122:11 13:23 14:10 144:11 141:11 14:15 16:19 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 107:19 107:20 124:24 125:2 126:2 126:11 128:20 123:14 111:10 124:14 11:10 126:11 128:20 123:14 11:10 126:11 128:20 124:24 125:12 13:13 122:3 1123:14 125:11 131:25 125:11 131:25 <th< th=""></th<>
dark 16:21 48:12 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:24 141:20 145:22 deviate 110:1 different 15:12 18:14 46:7 66:16 76:24 13:9 121:4 12:23 112:24 deviate 110:1 12:12 17:22 19:3 deviate 110:1 46:7 66:16 76:24 95:12 95:13 96:22 127:8 128:10 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 95:12 95:13 96:22 127:8 128:10 12:14 13:9 121:4 127:6 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 95:12 97:16 98:13 12:12 136:1 12:13 12:14 13:12 136:1 97:14 81:10 144:7 day 2:3 9 23:19 29:25 40:14 11:23 14:6 14:15 16:19 day 2:3 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 51:13 53:1 53:23 14:11 14:15 16:19 107:19 107:20 dog 140:19 doing 15:1 107:3 136:19 doi
dark 16:21 48:12 3:15 5:8 5:19 6:24 141:20 145:22 different 15:12 18:14 112:23 112:24 50:18 8:1 8:5 8:23 12:4 4eviate 110:1 46:7 66:16 76:24 113:9 121:4 127:6 date 70:17 74:21 22:17 22:22 23:3 5:17 6:4 11:23 95:12 95:13 96:22 127:8 128:10 david 3:5 7:5 9:1 40:2 40:14 43:23 44:64 43:23 14:11 14:15 16:19 97:15 97:16 98:13 128:12 136:19 40g 140:19 day 2:3 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 19:11 24:15 25:6 98:15 103:10 105:7 40g 140:19
data 27:19 12:12 17:22 19:3 device 1:11 2:4 5:7 95:12 95:13 96:22 12:18 12:12 136:1 date 70:17 74:21 22:17 22:22 23:3 5:17 6:4 11:23 97:15 97:16 98:13 128:12 136:1 david 3:5 7:5 9:1 40:2 40:14 43:23 12:11 13:23 14:6 98:15 103:10 105:7 dog 140:19 day 2:3 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 19:11 24:15 25:6 124:24 125:2 126:2 doing 15:1 107:3 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 27:8 28:15 28:17 133:25 138:1 122:3 123:14 19:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 30:6 42:6 45:7 47:6 141:21 142:1 126:11 128:20 19:17 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 66:19 55:10 65:17 66:19 125:12 141:25 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dominique 1:23 149:19 125:11 131:25 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 414:11 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 dominique 1:23 149:19 141:21 142:1 125:11 13:25 145:21 125:11 131:25 145:21 77:4 71:16 72:16 37:4 71:16 72:16 difficult 13:15 13:16 125:12 141:25 40:11 1
date 70:17 74:21 22:17 22:22 23:3 5:17 6:4 11:23 97:15 97:16 98:13 128:12 136:1 79:14 81:10 144:7 23:9 23:19 29:25 12:11 13:23 14:6 98:15 103:10 105:7 136:19 david 3:5 7:5 9:1 40:2 40:14 43:23 14:11 14:15 16:19 107:19 107:20 dog 140:19 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 27:8 28:15 28:17 133:25 138:1 122:3 123:14 135:22 136:12 51:13 53:1 53:23 28:17 28:17 28:22 139:14 141:10 126:11 128:20 149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 30:6 42:6 45:7 47:6 55:10 65:17 66:19 144:11 126:11 128:20 49:19 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 66:19 55:10 65:17 66:19 differently 73:16 dollars 62:15 dollars 62:15 <th< th=""></th<>
79:14 81:10 144:7 david 3:5 7:5 9:1 day 2:3 93:8 93:15 93:16 122:18 135:22 136:12 14:13 13:23 14:6 135:22 136:12 14:13 53:1 53:23 14:13 14:15 12:15 149:19 day 6:3 77:12 83:18 81:8 93:8 144:2 125:11 13:25 145:21 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 144:11 141:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:21 139:14 141:10 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 141:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:21 139:14 141:10 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:1 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 144:11 140:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 134:9 138:1 144:11 140:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 130:1 144:11 126:11 128:20 149
david 3:5 7:5 9:1 40:2 40:14 43:23 14:11 14:15 16:19 107:19 107:20 dog 140:19 day 2:3 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 19:11 24:15 25:6 124:24 125:2 126:2 doing 15:1 107:3 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 27:8 28:15 28:17 133:25 138:1 122:3 123:14 135:22 136:12 51:13 53:1 53:23 28:17 28:17 28:22 139:14 141:10 126:11 128:20 149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 56:5 58:8 61:21 30:6 42:6 45:7 47:6 44:11 14:21 142:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 79:17 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 56:19 55:10 65:17 66:19 64:3 77:12 83:18 54:20 55:1 55:6 144:11 44:11 dollars 62:15 dominique 1:23 81:8 93:8 144:2 94:22 108:7 109:8 67:2 68:4 69:15 71:4 71:16 72:16 125:12 141:25 dominique 1:23 149:7 149:22 49:7 149:22 deal 19:4 124:20 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficult 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 21:24 25:1 28:8 49:9 33:1 33:4 42:14 52:14 52:16 42:14 52:14 52:16 42:14 52:14 52:16 42:14 52:14 52:16 42:14 52:14 52:16 42:14 52:14 52:12
day 2:3 93:8 93:15 44:6 45:9 46:8 19:11 24:15 25:6 124:24 125:2 126:2 doing 15:1 107:3 93:16 122:18 49:13 50:3 50:4 27:8 28:15 28:17 133:25 138:1 122:3 123:14 135:22 136:12 51:13 53:1 53:23 28:17 28:17 28:22 139:14 141:10 126:11 128:20 149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 30:6 42:6 45:7 47:6 141:21 142:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 49:17 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 66:19 64:3 77:12 83:18 54:20 55:1 55:6 144:11 dollars 62:15 49:19 4 124:20 137:17 137:25 71:4 71:16 72:16 125:12 141:25 149:7 149:22 4ealline 83:8 83:10 142:18 4epends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 4ifficulty 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 4eal 52:2 53:12 86:5 4escend 99:2 145:18 4esignated 97:9 4esignated 97:9 4esignated 97:9 4esignation 97:5 4esigned 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24 71:2 71:3 75:24
93:16 122:18
135:22 136:12
149:19 56:5 58:8 61:21 30:6 42:6 45:7 47:6 141:21 142:1 130:1 134:9 138:1 days 6:17 79:16 64:3 77:12 83:18 54:20 55:1 55:6 144:11 dollars 62:15 79:17 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 66:19 differently 73:16 dominque 1:23 81:8 93:8 144:2 94:22 108:7 109:8 67:2 68:4 69:15 125:12 141:25 dom 9:4 9:8 21:5 125:11 131:25 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 21:24 25:1 28:8 132:12 departments 8:7 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficulty 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 deadline 83:8 83:10 depends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direction 111:14 42:11 52:14 52:16 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 designated 97:9 designation 97:5 devices 16:25 19:19 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disapprove 65:19 7
days 6:17 79:16 64:3 77:12 83:18 54:20 55:1 55:6 144:11 dollars 62:15 79:17 79:20 80:18 84:4 90:9 90:9 55:10 65:17 66:19 125:12 141:25 149:7 149:22 de 119:4 124:20 137:17 137:25 71:4 71:16 72:16 125:12 141:25 149:7 149:22 132:12 departments 8:7 76:20 84:3 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficult 13:15 13:16 21:24 25:1 28:8 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 deal 199:20 designated 97:9 145:18 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designation 97:5 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 7:25 designed 123:8 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
79:17 79:20 80:18 81:8 93:8 144:2 de 119:4 124:20 125:11 131:25 132:12 deadline 83:8 83:10 142:18 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 dealt 99:20 dear 130:9 deason 3:23 7:25 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 designated 97:9 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 designation 97:5 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 designated 97:9 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 designated 97:9 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 designated 97:9 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 Resignation 97:5 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 Resignated 97:9 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 Resignation 97:5 decide 93:4 124:22 Resignation 97:5 Re
81:8 93:8 144:2 de 119:4 124:20 94:22 108:7 109:8 137:17 137:25 67:2 68:4 69:15 71:4 71:16 72:16 72:16 77:6 77:18 77:23 125:11 131:25 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 20:3 22:7 58:16 21:24 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 21:24 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 21:24 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 21:24 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 21:24 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:15 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:2 39:24 54:11 25:20 125:24 60:17 125:12 141:25 difficult 13:15 13:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:7 58:16 20:3 22:4 25:1 28:8 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 28:9 38:13 38:4 28:9 38:1 38:13 28:9 38:11 38:13 28:9 38:11 38:13 28:9 38:11 38:13 28:9 38:11 38:13 28:19 29:29 29:11 28:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10 29:10
de 119:4 124:20 137:17 137:25 71:4 71:16 72:16 difficult 13:15 13:16 don 9:4 9:8 21:5 125:11 131:25 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 20:3 22:7 58:16 21:24 25:1 28:8 132:12 departments 8:7 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficult y 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 deadline 83:8 83:10 depends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direction 111:14 42:11 52:14 52:16 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 designated 97:9 145:18 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 dear 130:9 designation 97:5 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
125:11 131:25 145:21 77:6 77:18 77:23 20:3 22:7 58:16 21:24 25:1 28:8 132:12 departments 8:7 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficulty 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 deadline 83:8 83:10 depends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 directly 53:23 142:1 52:19 56:25 60:2 dealt 99:20 designated 97:9 145:18 devices 16:25 19:19 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disapprove 65:19 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
132:12 departments 8:7 77:24 89:15 89:23 difficulty 22:4 28:9 33:1 33:4 deadline 83:8 83:10 depends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direction 111:14 42:11 52:14 52:16 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 descend 99:2 115:2 126:19 director 8:7 140:1 52:19 56:25 60:2 dear 130:9 designation 97:5 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 directors 7:23 61:22 64:22 68:12 7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disapprove 65:19 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
deadline 83:8 83:10 depends 33:22 34:15 90:14 90:18 90:22 direct 10:7 113:24 34:13 41:3 42:3 142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direction 111:14 42:11 52:14 52:16 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 descend 99:2 115:2 126:19 directly 53:23 142:1 52:19 56:25 60:2 dear 130:9 designation 97:5 designation 97:5 devices 16:25 19:19 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
142:18 76:20 84:3 91:2 91:7 99:1 direction 111:14 42:11 52:14 52:16 deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 descend 99:2 115:2 126:19 directly 53:23 142:1 52:19 56:25 60:2 dealt 99:20 designated 97:9 designation 97:5 devices 16:25 19:19 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
deal 52:2 53:12 86:5 descend 99:2 115:2 126:19 directly 53:23 142:11 52:19 56:25 60:2 dealt 99:20 designated 97:9 designation 97:5 designation 97:5 devices 16:25 19:19 director 8:7 140:1 60:19 60:23 61:4 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 desires 93:18 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
dealt 99:20 dear 130:9 designation 97:5 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 desires 93:18 decide 93:4 124:22 125:20 125:24 145:18 designation 97:5 designed 123:8 desires 93:18 desires 93:18 desires 93:14 desires 93:24 54:11 discern 98:6 director 8:7 140:1 directors 7:23 disapprove 65:19 disapprove 65:19 disaster 10:5 discern 98:6
dear 130:9 designation 97:5 devices 16:25 19:19 directors 7:23 61:22 64:22 68:12 deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disapprove 65:19 4:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
deason 3:23 7:25 designed 123:8 26:25 27:17 28:4 124:13 71:2 71:3 75:24 7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
7:25 desires 93:18 29:8 29:9 29:11 disapprove 65:19 76:8 77:1 77:2 77 decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
decide 93:4 124:22 desist 25:15 56:2 38:9 38:11 38:13 disaster 10:5 84:5 84:6 84:7 125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
125:20 125:24 60:17 38:25 39:24 54:11 discern 98:6 85:23 87:22 87:23
125.20 125.24 00.17
126:4 dosk 96:17 54:17 57:20 57:21 discover 31:13 61:9 88:9 94:5 94:7
120.1 ucbit /0.1/ ucbit /0.1/
decided 15:11 15:13 destructive 105:5 57:22 57:23 57:24 discovered 60:7 94:21 101:5 101:2
138:8 105:12 105:14 57:24 57:25 58:1 132:15 103:5 103:23 106:
decision 78:18 105:17 58:3 66:5 70:2 72:9 discovering 61:12 108:1 110:4 111:2
129:11 133:19 detachment 112:1 72:15 77:10 92:5 discuss 42:17 113:20 113:21
136:2 142:16 detail 123:20 124:18 96:18 141:6 141:25 discussed 20:21 21:1 114:23 115:1 115:
decisions 46:6 80:3 determination 46:3 dhs 23:2 discussion 5:14 115:15 115:21
deemed 27:1 determine 26:19 dictate 136:5 20:17 84:16 143:25 120:20 120:24
deep 100:22 26:20 28:24 29:3 didn 15:14 20:17 disk 95:2 121:10 126:1
default 138:9 42:8 42:12 49:14 29:15 38:6 38:7 distribute 116:4 129:11 133:14
defaulted 138:17 72:2 72:4 72:12 39:25 40:23 42:6 distributed 61:6 61:8 133:15 134:7 135:
defective 45:7 100:24 77:13 42:16 49:10 50:18 distributing 14:24 138:17 141:12

143:4 144:7 145:8	91:6 107:22 144:11	31:12 104:4 113:2	103:2 103:12	102:10 102:12
145:12 146:10	earlier 23:19 63:6	113:3 113:4 140:10		121:17 125:4
146:11	64:4 92:2	enable 31:15	103:14 103:20	131:18 138:25
done 11:8 11:10 16:4		enacted 48:22	103:23 106:7	140:18
43:12 43:13 53:3	easier 66:13	encourage 24:14	111:24 114:12	excellent 73:21
53:5 53:7 53:8	easily 29:3 60:21	26:17 48:1	128:17 136:19	exception 106:15
53:10 53:12 57:18	east 10:20 42:13	end 6:8 25:13 40:8	even 14:17 14:19	excluding 130:8
80:7 82:20 95:2	54:19 80:2 85:15	42:1 42:1 62:6	15:25 42:11 48:5	exclusion 123:6
127:22 128:6	ebl 3:24 3:25 8:13	82:17 135:22	60:19 80:20 80:22	123:9 123:10
door 114:1 114:4	8:15 135:4	136:11 138:19	90:18 105:11 120:4	
double 15:23 70:1	educate 14:13 25:7	148:11	141:12	excuse 127:15
doubling 68:7 68:9	25:7 72:14 135:12	ended 16:7 22:5 22:6		exemption 76:19
85:6			event 10:4 17:4 43:4	exit 10:7
doubt 119:20	educating 25:9 134:8	enforce 43:14 46:4	43:5 48:6	
doubt 113.20 dower 3:16 8:2 8:2	education 72:14		eventually 20:2	expectations 42:23
down 11:10 37:23	educational 89:24	enforcement 65:11	l	89:1
	90:12 140:23	engineer 122:7 122:9	[expecting 42:24
55:4 58:15 75:22	educator 140:7	122:9 122:14 123:8	25:19 42:18 42:25	expenditures 31:3
78:19 79:1 79:5 79:9 85:7 85:7	effect 78:6 81:14	125:9 128:7 128:8	42:25 68:6 90:6	experience 10:23
86:15 91:22 100:15	82:4 83:10	136:12 138:20	90:16 102:13	33:17 34:14 122:10
119:25 122:17	clicetive 1 1.7 00.10	engineered 122:6	102:17 105:15	122:19 127:24
147:4	80:25 81:9 81:10	128:6	106:8 106:14	147:8
	81:12 100:24	engineering 136:9	106:16 125:12	expertise 45:5 66:19
downs 119:25	eight 76:23 76:25	engineers 65:6 97:3	126:2 145:2 145:5	experts 50:7
dozen 60:12	89:17 95:7 95:8	england 125:14	145:8	expires 149:23
dr 8:16 8:16 89:21	95:9 122:22	enjoyed 146:18	1 . 1 . 6 01	explain 18:12 19:2
139:13 139:18	eighty 62:12	enlargements 98:10	64:22 94:17 100:21	27:14 38:4 71:9
139:21	either 20:23 61:25	enough 20:14 21:15	115:22 125:1	71:9 78:9 110:7
dream 98:12	elements 71:16 91:1	31:11 37:21 68:23	125:15	explains 17:3
drew 10:22	91:5	69:9 87:25 113:12	everyone 11:2 12:1	exposed 94:25 95:1
dried 33:24	elevator 1:11 2:4 3:8		64:21 87:3 90:3	extra 43:8 138:18
drinking 132:11	5:6 5:17 6:4 10:10	27:3 27:4 27:16	90:4	138:19
drive 2:5 5:20 145:23	10.21 10.21 21.0	43:17 63:20	everything 15:2 17:7	extremely 141:21
drop 96:7	33:7 144:14 145:18		17:14 40:1 46:21	
dubois 1:23 149:7	147:23 148:1	entering 30:21	46:25 47:4 47:25	
149:22	elevators 7:2 11:15	enters 17:14	51:14 53:4 57:7	fabulous 143:15
due 14:17 27:22 29:1		entire 19:17 20:13	64:2 76:14 90:7	facility 54:19 55:23
29:3 29:4	eleven 29:11	20:14 76:22 84:4	93:12 96:17 98:19	70:4 77:18
dump 115:1	else 36:4 59:15 70:6	entity 120:5	114.18 114.10	fact 21:1 26:12 48:14
during 15:7 15:9	84:24 88:25 90:7	environments 140:14	† 119·13 127·23	87:24 90:10 131:10
15:22	93:12 100:21 104:8	Taller Transfer Control Control	everywhere 114.3	facto 119:4 124:21
durm 3:15 8:4 8:4	108:2 114:3 119:5	36:6 105:15 107:22	exact 102:25 144:7	125:11 131:25
18:18 18:23 18:25	119:13 127:24	128:14	exactly 28:25 33:19	132:13
49:2 49:5 49:9	138:8 142:5 145:25	equitable 66:12	36:10 40:25 41:1	factor 127:21
duties 15:4 15:4	embark 42:9	errors 135:13	42:21 42:21 59:25	factored 30:14
	emergency 6:10 10:5	J J	72:20 86:6 96:6	factors 127:22
	46:15 47:13	60:23	107:1 136:7	facts 15:7 17:21
each 13:8 19:15	employee 96:1 97:18		exam 33:13	17:22
25:24 30:9 31:4	98:21 98:22 99:19	estimate 83:24	exam 33.13 example 29:8 46:11	failed 109:5 122:10
42:18 61:8 77:6	112:25 149:16	estimated 61:1	68:17 68:19 73:5	122:13
77:17 77:22 90:19	employees 25:3 30:9	european 103:2	00.17 00.17 73.3	failing 123:1 123:3

123:11	13:21 28:12 29:6	finish 90:17	110:10 110:11	63:3 64:18 64:24
failure 54:12 105:8	29:9 29:9 29:10	finished 144:5	119:15 119:17	65:21 65:23 67:4
failures 106:16	29:11 31:14 33:1	finishes 17:11	120:14 128:11	68:2 70:12 70:14
fair 3:5 24:14 81:19	39:9 39:12 39:23	fire 96:23	135:19	70:18 70:22 73:22
81:20 83:24 134:3	41:18 41:20 57:18	first 5:17 6:6 10:21	fond 122:3	75:7 75:17 78:3
fall 47:9 47:10 55:4	58:8 58:13 58:15	12:2 16:12 16:20	force 105:7 105:9	81:1 81:5 81:15
58:7 67:16 76:20	66:2 68:7 68:10	22:11 30:12 32:9	131:21	81:22 82:3 82:8
91:18 96:1 97:3	69:14 69:14 69:16	32:25 33:9 41:13	forces 104:21	82:25 83:14 83:22
100:10 102:20	71:5 71:18 72:16	61:11 65:20 67:5	foregoing 149:11	84:9 84:15 84:23
103:11 103:11	72:17 73:25 75:10	78:11 81:24 82:11	forensic 91:18	85:21 87:16 88:7
104:6 104:6 104:9	75:17 75:19 78:5	91:13 104:19	forget 15:21	88:14 88:18 88:21
104:22 104:25	84:11 86:3 86:7	122:10 122:20	form 48:5 117:13	88:24 89:3 89:7
105:2 109:21	86:11 86:15 141:11	123:19 145:19	former 9:25 135:4	89:9 92:8 92:11
119:15 128:12	141:13 141:14	fiscal 15:9 16:6 16:10	forth 18:15 53:21	92:14 92:20 92:23
fallen 135:20	feel 12:23 36:4 52:1	17:25 31:4 31:7	55:16 63:24 64:15	93:1 93:3 95:8 98:
falling 112:2 141:6	52:1 64:13 74:20	31:9 39:3 39:22	90:16 99:21 99:25	99:3 99:5 99:9
falls 54:19 98:22	91:15	62:5 62:6 62:8 62:8	102:5 104:22 118:4	99:12 101:2 101:4
family 6:10	feels 133:4	81:11	122:6 122:7 127:20	101:9 101:13
far 11:8 17:21 28:11	fees 13:19 13:21 15:1	fisher 147:22	fortunate 69:9	101:15 101:19
53:3 76:18 108:15	24:12 28:24 59:24	five 15:22 15:22 16:5	forty 29:13 29:13	101:24 102:3 102:
130:7 144:15	65:24 68:15 68:21	29:9 38:9 38:11	forward 65:20 72:5	102:16 103:14
farmer 3:8 7:1 7:1	68:22 68:22 69:19	38:17 57:20 67:8	74:19 137:5 144:8	103:17 110:3
9:5 9:7 10:11 10:12		67:8 67:17 68:25	found 14:22 14:23	110:15 110:18
11:21 21:5 24:10	79:11 81:25 82:12	75:1 85:16 103:9	74:1 143:5	110:23 111:1 111:
33:10 33:12 33:15	82:15 85:6 141:13	107:21 123:22	four 10:13 62:11	111:7 111:19 112:
33:20 33:23 36:18	feet 127:14 127:15	128:4	74:2 93:7 93:15	112:5 112:9 112:1
36:22 37:8 37:12	felt 48:11	fixed 103:13	93:16 122:18	115:18 115:21
37:14 37:17 37:20	female 10:21	flag 9:2 9:9 9:11 9:21	125:16 140:16	115:24 116:3 116:
37:24 112:19 115:1	few 80:11 105:15	flat 29:6 57:18 66:1	fox 1:13 3:3 6:3 8:24	116:9 116:12
115:7 115:10	field 24:13 32:18	flew 6:11	9:3 9:13 9:19 9:24	116:18 116:21
115:17 126:15	33:16 50:7 136:16	flex 33:9	10:3 11:20 11:22	116:24 117:2 117:
144:1 144:6 144:11		floor 2:6 5:17 145:19	12:3 19:21 19:24	117:9 117:13
144:21 144:24	fieldstone 1:24	florida 69:16	20:16 21:12 21:19	117:20 118:6 118: 118:12 118:16
145:2 145:5 145:8	fifty 29:13 29:14	focus 13:6 28:12	22:13 23:11 23:14	118:24 119:1
145:12 147:19	fighting 133:3	32:11 32:12 34:5	24:2 24:4 24:9	123:21 124:1
147:22 147:25	figure 31:21 60:4	35:1	31:23 32:1 32:15	123.21 124.1
fashion 88:12	136:15	folks 20:22 22:7 32:4	32:22 33:25 34:9	124.11 124.13
fast 95:6	file 17:11 17:12	32:17 53:18 74:25		128:25 129:3 129:
fatalities 28:7	filed 80:16 81:7	85:16 85:24 86:8	41:14 41:17 41:22	128.23 129.3 129. 129:9 129:14
fatality 46:24 56:4	81:10 81:13	86:17 130:10	48:24 49:7 49:12	129.9 129.14
91:20 106:25	filling 11:18	follow 47:12 107:18		130:11 130:15
109:22 114:2	final 23:23 23:23	114:5 114:21	50:12 50:16 50:21	134:14 134:18
fault 89:7	finalized 73:20 144:3	123:14 126:14	51:22 51:25 52:4	134:21 134:23
favor 84:16 115:19	financial 139:3	128:13 135:23	52:8 52:11 53:8	135:1 135:6 137:1
fear 140:21	financially 149:17	135:24 136:6	53:11 53:13 53:16	138:22 139:5 139:
•	find 20:3 22:7 23:21	136:19 140:11	53:24 54:2 55:18	139:16 139:20
15:20 15:20 16:4	32:10 39:19 47:20	followed 27:6 109:11		142:2 142:4 142:9
federal 91:19	50:9 61:14 73:24	114:9	56:16 56:19 56:22	142:12 142:15
fee 5:8 12:23 13:4	fine 74:18 101:8	following 2:7 26:10	57:1 57:4 57:12	142:20 143:8
13:12 13:13 13:20	117:8 146:7	81:12 95:15 100:6	62:21 62:24 63:1	143:11 143:17

143:20 143:23	90:2 95:5 97:14	giving 118:3 118:4	69:11 71:22 72:3	130:11 132:12
144:4 144:9 144:19		125:24 130:17	72:4 73:23 74:1	132:24 133:6
144:23 144:25	127:17 141:15	131:13	74:2 74:6 77:15	136:14
145:4 145:7 145:11	generalized 96:16	glad 10:23 12:5 12:5		gotcha 18:9 94:4
145:14 146:3	generally 27:21	go 6:20 10:15 14:2	80:19 80:20 81:14	gotten 79:20 81:7
146:20 147:1 147:7	ľ	14:4 21:22 23:20	81:25 85:6 86:8	92:24
147:15 147:24	generate 75:15	23:24 29:1 31:13	86:17 86:17 86:18	government 3:17 8:9
148:4 148:10	generated 74:25	32:6 42:25 43:6	87:7 87:14 89:21	83:23
franklin 1:25	generates 61:21	45:11 51:5 51:9	90:3 90:4 90:25	governor 18:18 49:2
frankly 146:9	gentleman 132:23	52:17 54:4 68:21	91:2 91:3 91:7 91:8	
free 36:4	133:1	70:4 73:22 73:25	91:12 91:14 92:5	79:21 147:12
french 2:5 5:20	gentlemen 9:20	75:23 76:4 76:8	94:1 94:9 94:10	grab 99:1 99:25
145:22	134:18	78:6 78:19 78:20	94:24 95:20 98:3	grand 19:15 61:8
friday 47:8	george 1:23	78:25 79:4 79:9	99:1 104:9 105:10	85:18
friendly 26:19 48:5	get 21:4 21:7 21:23	79:12 85:4 85:5	105:11 106:1	granddaughter
48:9	22:11 30:7 32:2	86:15 91:12 92:1	107:23 109:19	104:8 104:11
front 16:20 16:23	32:3 33:17 41:7	92:20 94:25 95:6	113:9 113:10	grandson 104:7
17:8 25:13 38:22	45:10 55:19 55:25	97:20 99:14 100:19		104:11
40:8 42:1 42:1 68:3		100:23 101:1	113:16 113:22	grasp 81:23
123:5	64:7 71:23 72:13	105:22 105:25	114:3 114:20	grasp 61.23 great 12:16 12:21
fully 10:14	81:13 82:20 87:25	105:25 112:18	119:12 119:14	29:22 63:13 94:23
fun 134:9	88:5 90:8 90:24	114:24 119:17	119:19 120:16	98:8 133:8 133:9
fund 13:22 18:7 31:5		121:21 126:20	120:25 130:7	134:14 141:4 146:6
41:2 41:5 61:24	96:2 96:7 100:3	132:12 132:22	132:10 133:12	147:8 147:15
62:19 68:22 82:17	107:24 111:15	140:13 144:12	135:23 135:24	greater 66:18 141:2
141:15	114:24 119:21	144:17 145:2 145:8		1 0
funding 20:7 20:8	120:9 124:23	146:10 146:17	142:25 143:2	greatest 132.2 143.0
24:22 24:24 62:3	132:25 133:12	147:9	143:13 143:14	green 83:20 91:6
62:7 69:12	136:16 137:1	goal 13:7 20:7 20:11	144:6 144:25	group 95:13 132:13
funds 17:23 17:24	139:19 142:6 144:7	~	gone 62:23 62:25	141:20
19:3 33:3 33:5	gets 47:9 55:21 56:7	61:15 73:4 118:10	74:8	groups 104:13
furnish 115:25	56:23	140:7	good 11:3 11:25 12:1	growing 11:14
further 56:4 97:21	getting 22:12 83:4	god 115:5	12:1 24:25 26:18	140:18
149:14	83:10 89:15 107:15	0 01 10 00 1 11 0		guarantee 69:11
	113:5 131:22	41:4 61:24 62:16	73:20 83:4 87:16	114:19
furthermore 53:18	gi 56:9	62:17 62:21 63:1	88:15 88:21 94:6	guaranteed 17:25
92:16 future 41:4 137:9	giant 104:1 120:23	106:2 115:15	100:5 100:5 105:17	82:21
	give 6:21 21:12 22:23	100 17 107 10	112:17 120:14	guess 53:19 53:21
145:1	24:7 29:2 31:11	140:9 141:13	132:14 134:12	66:6 120:2 133:13
G	32:16 32:19 36:22	going 9:17 12:13	134:12 134:13	gun 65:14
galvanized 127:3	43:18 65:1 70:10	12:17 13:7 13:8	134:15 134:17	gustafson 3:25 8:14
gather 25:15	84:7 98:19 102:10	14:2 18:1 18:13	143:9 143:17	8:14 134:21 134:22
gather 23.13 gathered 43:21	102:11 102:19	18:15 29:18 29:20	145:15 146:8	134:25 135:3 135:3
gathering 14:25	102:11 102:19	30:11 32:4 34:2	146:16 146:18	135:7 137:4 137:14
	102.20 102.22	34:14 34:15 42:17	got 9:19 11:2 11:12	138:9 138:15
gatlinburg 11:1	107.0 107.23	42:18 43:10 50:25	11:15 11:21 40:15	138:23 139:6
43:22 48:13		51:1 51:3 52:18	49:24 50:19 51:25	guy 123:10
general 3:23 8:1 12:4		53:21 60:21 61:9	53:18 64:6 70:8	guys 125:19 126:3
18:7 26:5 41:2 41:5	133:18 142:17	62:7 62:18 63:24	79:4 82:13 89:17	132:4 141:23 144:8
44:18 61:24 62:17	gives 70:7	64:2 64:15 65:18	112:18 114:23	H
62:19 68:22 80:8	SITUS / U. /	67:20 67:24 69:5	121:20 123:14	

	001111711	21110211022	107.0.107.01	
hale 3:5 7:5 7:5 9:2	98:16 115:14	34:14 83:16 83:25	135:9 135:21	incorrect 132:17
9:17 9:20 10:2 54:4		94:1 120:23 134:2	however 17:24 20:6	increase 59:10 65:16
54:9 54:12 54:16		hired 10:18 14:19	21:23 30:12 32:9	65:17 65:24 74:10
54:18 54:23 55:2	haven 26:15 42:10	20:6 22:3	142:13	119:6
55:4 55:8 55:14	65:11 68:18 92:23	hiring 20:5 22:14	huge 134:7	increased 74:10
65:22 66:1 66:6	94:20	22:25 133:7	human 22:17 22:22	increases 91:8
66:10 67:22 68:1	having 13:2 15:24	history 14:3 14:5	23:3 23:4 23:9	increasing 79:6
68:6 68:9 74:23	15:25 21:20 26:11	hit 92:3 95:5	83:18 146:7	indicate 45:5
75:3 75:5 75:8	31:12 42:5 56:7	hitting 106:3	hundred 62:11 62:14	
75:13 75:19 76:1	61:8 95:4 95:23	hold 58:19 104:16	I	individually 90:23
76:3 76:10 77:17	144:17	119:24		90:24
77:21 77:24 84:12	hazards 14:10	holding 130:20	ibc 144:10 144:16	industry 14:11 14:12
84:21 85:2 85:10	head 60:2 136:7	home 6:18	144:20	24:15 44:18 89:17
85:20 86:7 86:16	headed 21:8	honestly 71:2 94:10	idea 30:8 48:17 70:7	91:17 94:10 97:8
86:21 124:7 126:12	11caas 27.10 70.0	134:4	72:19 98:19	119:4 127:13
126:14 126:17	health 146:10	honesty 118:21	identify 91:23 124:7	127:14 139:24
127:5 127:9 127:18 131:1 134:16	IICAI 22.21 03.21	hoodwinked 52:2	ignored 136:11	140:9 140:10
	135:2 135:9 136:15		ii 3:6 5:3	140:15 140:17
146:14 146:17	heard 36:11 81:16	hoops 138:19	iii 5:4	inevitable 86:8 86:12
146:21 147:6 148:8 half 10:15 127:16	131.14 131.13	hope 6:10 26:23	image 4:2 8:20 95:19	inexpensive 88:8
	hearing 2:3 5:18	hopefully 22:10	131:3 131:4	infiltrated 133:23
hand 105:20 113:6	78:22 78:25 79:5	72:12 113:18	immediately 27:13	inflatable 66:8
134:19 139:11	79:12 79:15 79:19	hoping 61:3 61:4	27:17 33:8	inflatables 70:1
handed 94:18	79:22 79:24 79:24	117:21	impatient 9:16	information 14:25
handle 16:19	80:5 85:4 85:15	hospital 6:17	implement 78:4	27:9 27:23 30:20
handled 65:20 68:13	127.10 1 13.20	hospitalization 55:10		30:21 30:23 35:6
hands 93:7	heart 109:24	hours 122:15	137:10	43:20 48:2 52:25
handy 75:25	heartburn 24:18	house 10:25 66:17	implied 133:21	64:14 64:17 68:12
hanging 114:2	held 5:17 145:19	66:20 66:24	important 35:22	72:8 72:11 74:21
happen 34:2 52:23	hell 114:18	houses 76:13	91:15 108:4 114:6	75:25 84:8 102:22
60:2 74:23 80:19	help 24:5 26:25	how 22:1 23:16	118:7 123:12	108:1 109:13
105:10	32:10 32:12 34:5	27:22 28:3 28:19	131:15 134:10	initial 49:7
happened 6:16 20:20	.0.1.02.002.112	28:25 29:2 29:4	importantly 115:13	initially 22:3
49:24 54:6 109:25	61:9 61:17 72:13	30:8 31:5 34:14	impressed 93:12	injured 56:11 96:2
110:6 136:8 138:13	72.13 71.0 71.7	38:5 39:1 39:14	improvise 9:19	injuries 114:20
happening 50:10	94:9 135:13	39:21 41:8 42:12	improvised 10:1	137:10
139:2	helped 106:23	42:13 42:14 44:16	inaccurate 84:7	injury 46:22 46:24
happens 47:5 55:10	helping 91:23 113:23	., , . , ,	inch 127:16	47:17 55:13 55:16
happy 74:6 86:14	helps 114:16	60:3 60:5 62:10	inches 28:20 28:20	56:3 106:24 135:11
86:17 133:6 137:5	howahr, 1/0.0	61.6 67.20 69.12	incident 46·23 47·16	inner 83:23 146:24
	hereby 149:8	64:6 67:20 68:13		
hard 13:14 22:1	hesitant 116:13	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1	55:12 56:3 57:4	inoperable 27:1
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8	inquiries 16:24
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5	55:12 56:3 57:4	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3 included 36:5	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15 136:21 136:22	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5 hire 10:13 10:20	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14 77:14 81:25 87:17	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17 121:21 136:5
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15 136:21 136:22 136:23	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5 hire 10:13 10:20 18:21 19:4 19:8	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14 77:14 81:25 87:17 87:20 91:24 93:13	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3 included 36:5	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17 121:21 136:5 inspected 55:25
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15 136:21 136:22 136:23 harness 96:4 96:5	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5 hire 10:13 10:20 18:21 19:4 19:8 21:2 22:11 23:6	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14 77:14 81:25 87:17 87:20 91:24 93:13 96:6 110:5 119:6	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3 included 36:5 includes 30:16 113:3	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17 121:21 136:5 inspected 55:25 107:2 113:17
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15 136:21 136:22 136:23 harness 96:4 96:5 126:19	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5 hire 10:13 10:20 18:21 19:4 19:8 21:2 22:11 23:6 24:23 30:10 31:11	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14 77:14 81:25 87:17 87:20 91:24 93:13 96:6 110:5 119:6 119:11 122:17	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3 included 36:5 includes 30:16 113:3 including 11:17	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17 121:21 136:5 inspected 55:25 107:2 113:17 inspecting 14:23
hard 13:14 22:1 52:16 98:6 hardship 139:4 hare 3:17 8:8 8:8 harmonized 114:15 136:21 136:22 136:23 harness 96:4 96:5	hesitant 116:13 hey 36:23 46:9 61:16 88:14 132:12 high 90:7 highlight 95:5 hire 10:13 10:20 18:21 19:4 19:8 21:2 22:11 23:6	69:6 71:2 71:4 72:1 72:3 72:9 72:16 72:20 72:22 73:5 74:24 75:14 76:12 77:10 77:13 77:14 77:14 81:25 87:17 87:20 91:24 93:13 96:6 110:5 119:6	55:12 56:3 57:4 incidents 57:8 include 36:9 45:8 76:13 77:9 138:3 included 36:5 includes 30:16 113:3 including 11:17 130:8 141:16	inquiries 16:24 inspect 19:18 20:17 32:6 42:6 42:25 66:21 109:17 121:21 136:5 inspected 55:25 107:2 113:17

107:3	interested 149:18	21:13 22:2 22:16	70:25 71:7 72:1	23:21 24:7 25:22
inspection 15:4	intermediate 23:24	23:3 23:13 23:18	72:6 72:23 73:1	29:15 30:2 31:8
25:20 25:25 41:23	interpret 13:15	24:3 24:6 24:11	73:8 73:11 73:13	32:2 32:3 33:21
69:14 69:19 72:8	13:17 130:6	31:23 31:25 32:7	74:4 74:13 75:2	34:1 34:1 34:15
72:10 73:2 77:9	interrupt 81:4	32:21 32:24 33:11	75:4 75:9 75:16	35:14 35:18 37:1
109:19 138:3	110:16	33:14 33:19 33:21	75:20 76:2 76:4	38:7 41:1 42:4
inspections 11:8	introduction 65:1	33:25 34:3 34:12	76:11 76:16 77:1	42:19 43:2 44:17
41:25 42:2 69:21	91:13	34:20 34:23 35:1	77:5 77:19 77:22	46:13 49:24 52:20
138:1	introductions 5:3	35:5 35:8 35:13	77:25 78:7 82:5	54:15 54:17 55:19
inspector 3:8 7:2	6:19	35:16 35:20 35:22	82:6 82:9 82:23	58:20 60:4 60:6
10:21 43:12 45:4	invent 104:25	35:25 36:3 36:10	83:17 84:1 85:1	60:22 61:17 62:4
45:23 45:23 65:4	investigates 93:11	36:15 36:20 36:24	85:9 86:6 90:21	63:14 63:15 63:25
73:4 77:16 92:12	investigating 91:16	37:2 37:4 37:11	108:11 108:15	64:15 65:1 68:1
93:6 107:5 109:4	investigation 91:18	37:13 37:15 37:18	108:22 115:25	70:7 70:10 73:17
113:16 120:21	investigation 51:10	37:22 37:25 39:7	116:5 117:12	73:24 74:11 74:11
121:25 122:1	involved 23:15 27:21	39:14 39:16 39:18	117:15 117:21	75:23 76:13 77:9
122:10 122:11	91:16 99:23 100:2	40:3 40:6 40:16	129:4 137:19	79:9 84:3 84:3 84:6
122:15 123:1 136:4	104:22	40:20 40:25 41:16	137:22 137:24	85:2 86:8 87:2 88:7
138:15 138:16	isn 72:4 82:11 113:12	41:20 41:24 42:21	138:14 142:7	88:11 88:11 90:10
inspectors 10:14	issue 27:25 40:23	43:2 44:1 44:4 44:9	142:10 147:18	91:10 92:2 92:6
11:16 14:18 14:19	55:24 56:9 74:7	44:12 44:15 44:23	147:20	93:6 94:25 95:5
14:23 15:8 15:25	101:13 138:7	45:2 45:13 45:19	jersey 90:16	96:20 96:20 97:8
16:13 18:22 19:16	issued 15:7 15:9	46:18 46:20 47:4	jim 131:14	98:5 99:14 99:22
20:1 21:5 21:7 21:9	h I	47:11 47:14 47:19	job 13:4 22:18 22:20	102:25 103:7
21:21 21:22 22:6	15:24 16:1 16:11 28:6 38:8 38:14	47:21 47:24 48:4	134:14 134:15	105:22 106:22
25:18 32:5 32:17	38:15 38:15 38:21	48:18 48:21 49:1	jobs 52:16	107:9 107:23
34:11 42:3 42:17	40:4 59:20	49:11 49:13 50:2	joe 97:11	108:12 110:18
42:24 46:1 64:5	issues 45:3 45:4 46:8	50:11 50:20 50:24	jordan 4:1 8:16 8:16	112:9 112:20
64:7 72:7 77:7 77:8	D	71.11 21.14 21.70	89:21 139:13	114:24 115:1 115:4
77:11 82:14 92:4	73:18 105:23 119:6	51:23 52:3 52:7	139:18 139:21	117:15 120:15
92:5 93:19 94:8	146:10 item 10:10 11:22	52:10 52:24 53:10	139:22	120:15 123:16
107:2 107:7 109:17	7	53:12 53:15 53:20	journey 129:24	123:19 124:4
113:18 113:24	34:19 35:16 36:12	54:1 54:3 54:8	jr 3:4	126:14 126:19
118:4 119:13	64:18 143:23 143:25 145:16	54:11 54:15 54:17	july 1:12 2:3 6:5 27:1	127:16 129:17
120:24 120:25	items 5:14 13:9	54:21 54:25 55:3	31:8 42:20 43:21	130:2 130:9 131:11
121:24 122:23		55:7 55:9 55:17	47:8 62:5 81:8	133:16 134:9
138:10	28:13 iv 5:5	56:1 56:8 56:10	81:11 81:12 81:14	136:16 140:5
instance 68:2 85:14		56:14 56:17 56:21	jump 73:6 76:13	142:10 143:3 143:4
126:21	ix 5:14	56:25 57:2 57:5	85:7 138:18 143:4	143:6 146:7 146:8
instead 13:20 100:15	$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$	57:14 58:14 58:23	jumped 65:14	146:14 147:10
140:20	jacket 9:10	59:1 59:4 59:6	juncture 92:17	
institute 86:10	james 3:22 7:22	59:11 59:14 59:19	june 15:21 16:5 16:7	
insufficient 13:22	•	59:25 60:9 60:25	16:7 16:9 16:9 62:6	kart /0:4
insurance 7:9 134:1	124:10 124:12	61:19 62:2 62:11	63:2	keep 17:18 20:8 33:4
integrity 146:6	jan 3:16 8:2	62:14 62:18 62:22	jurisdiction 123:9	41:1 41:3 51:3
intended 34:24	january 14:8	62:25 63:2 63:5	144:13 144:16	52:19 114:3 118:12
intense 116:22	jefferson 3:9 7:12	63:10 63:12 65:15	just 9:3 9:14 12:5	121:22
intent 32:7 66:14	7:12 11:24 11:25	65:23 66:4 66:9	12:17 13:24 15:1	keeps 17:7
73:2 92:9	12:7 12:20 18:6	66:25 67:6 67:24	16:6 19:2 19:6 23:2	keifer 3:22 7:22 7:22
interest 137:9	18:10 19:1 19:21	68:5 68:8 68:11	23:9 23:20 23:20	93:22 93:25 94:4
	19:23 20:2 21:9	70:10 70:13 70:16	25.7 25.20 25.20	94:6 123:16 123:24

124:4 124:9 124:12	68:13 68:13 69:8	ladies 9:20	leann 18:11	25:15
124:12 124:16	71:2 71:3 71:19	lady 135:1	leanne 3:15 8:4	letting 87:3 121:24
130:21 134:12	71:20 72:9 72:9	landed 94:11	learn 146:23	level 20:23 24:13
134:17 139:19	72:11 72:16 72:20	landing 2:5 5:20	learned 68:15 130:1	
143:22	72:22 73:5 73:15	145:23		105:1 105:12
kelly 3:7 7:3 123:19	77:13 79:7 79:10		130:23 146:21	
124:4 124:6 124:18		language 95:21	146:22	116:25 119:11
I .	80:19 82:10 82:12	107:11 121:3 127:4		136:16
kentucky 69:25		lanyard 105:10	49:23 50:9 50:14	levels 103:4
kept 10:14	82:13 84:5 84:6	105:10 109:22	50:16 61:5 68:9	lewis 3:4 7:6 9:9 9:11
kick 88:4	84:7 85:23 86:2	112:21 113:12	79:16 81:8 92:17	liaison 8:5
kickback 87:20	86:2 87:4 87:13	135:15 135:20	127:7 133:18	license 69:17 121:18
kicked 54:13	87:13 87:19 87:23	lanyards 104:15	leave 6:10	licensed 90:23 90:24
kiddie 70:2	88:2 88:19 90:15	105:5 105:6 112:22	leaving 114:1 114:3	123:8 125:1 125:8
kiddies 69:20	93:16 98:7 99:20	115:14 119:10	led 91:24 112:1	136:12 138:20
kiddy 28:16 66:17	100:5 101:5 101:25	135:18	left 10:15 95:19	lie 144:6
kids 134:8	102:20 103:19	lapel 9:12	97:18 99:17 99:18	life 9:25 94:14 96:24
killed 96:7	106:9 108:24 111:1	laralyn 10:22	99:19	103:3 110:11 121:5
kilograms 101:10	111:21 111:21	large 3:4 3:7 7:4 7:7	legal 3:10 7:15 44:21	
101:16 101:21	111:22 113:9	28:17 54:12 54:19	0	lift 37:5
kilonewtons 100:16	113:10 113:12	66:10 132:1 149:22	, 0	lifts 5:15 127:23
103:8	113:19 114:23	larry 3:6 7:10	49:3 49:6 51:2 51:4	
kim 3:9 7:12 12:7	115:21 116:18	last 6:7 11:5 11:11	53:22 90:2	light 12:18 12:21
18:4 36:23 39:6	116:21 119:12	11:13 15:8 15:18	legislative 8:4 18:8	83:20
	120:6 120:15 122:2	16:6 16:8 16:13	18:12 18:16 51:16	lights 12:15
49:10 61:16 76:12	122:12 123:7	18:1 20:17 39:2	61:23	like 12:2 12:10 16:17
kind 50:17 50:22	123:11 126:1			
52:1 74:12 82:13	129:11 129:12	39:22 42:15 58:13	legislature 18:19	33:6 34:1 34:10
88:6 99:25 116:18	129:22 130:2 130:4	59:1 59:2 69:22	31:10 33:4 62:4	37:9 38:6 40:7 41:3
119:4 132:11	130:6 131:25 132:3	/0:20 /3:11 89:13	69:7 69:9	42:1 42:19 50:7
135:21 136:14	132:5 132:13 133:4	98:19 100:22	leisure 140:23	50:17 52:25 53:5
knew 48:14 147:2	133:6 133:18 136:6	117:10 130:19	less 28:20 74:1	59:8 64:12 66:22
know 6:11 10:1	138:7 143:6 143:15	late 33.23 09.20	104:12 130:3	73:2 73:18 74:18
13:16 16:21 17:1	144:7 145:12	09:22	139:22	78:4 79:6 79:25
18:13 28:3 28:3	146:10 146:12	lately 26:6	lessee 3:6 7:11	82:13 82:20 84:23
28:9 28:21 28:25	147:3 147:8 147:11	later 74:21 80:18	let 8:25 12:16 12:17	87:2 87:10 87:14
31:22 33:16 34:11	knowing 34:13	latest 145:6	14:3 17:1 36:4	87:19 90:12 95:4
34:13 34:20 36:4	knowledge 83:23	latter 140:20	39:19 39:20 45:7	95:23 97:11 100:17
37:22 39:1 39:19	138:4	law 6:14 14:6 14:6	47:7 55:18 56:11	102:18 104:2
42:11 45:7 50:14	1	14:9 17:2 17:6	57:8 57:15 68:17	104:16 104:16
50:16 50:17 50:18	knowledgeable	24:20 25:17 26:3	68:19 72:8 75:9	108:12 111:16
50:25 51:7 51:17	148:3	26:12 46:14 46:21	75:10 75:20 76:5	111:22 112:5 114:8
52:4 52:14 52:21	known 49:23 53:17	46:25 47:15 47:19	84:17 85:10 86:1	116:19 117:3
53:2 53:4 53:7 53:9	84:17 131:3	48:16 50:19 56:1	86:2 87:12 95:5	117:25 127:4 128:3
53:13 53:21 57:9	knoxville 10:20	56:4 56:22 65:11	101:1 102:10	129:14 130:2 133:4
57:15 58:16 58:21	L	76:20 90:5 101:20	110:15 116:3	133:25 135:2
60:2 60:6 60:6		108:8 114:21	119:22 123:22	137:16 140:8
60:14 60:15 60:18	labeling 128:22	laws 14:15 49:14	124:4 125:23 129:6	
60:18 60:19 60:23	labor 1:1 3:13 3:15	layer 43:8	142:16 147:10	141:14 141:17
60:24 61:4 63:14	5:19 6:24 8:23	lcr 1:23 149:7 149:22		144:16
63:16 63:17 63:18	145:21	leadership 141:19	letterhead 48:8	limited 33:20
64:4 64:10 64:22	lack 64:10	leads 131:25	letters 17:1 25:14	line 16:20 16:24 17:8
01.107.1007.22	ladders 103:13	1eaus 151.25	1011015 17.1 23.14	10.20 10.21 17.0

30:11 31:1 34:19	127:11 128:2 137:5	lot 6:16 10:23 11:15	129:11 131:11	65:13 65:14 78:1
35:16 36:12 36:12	139:21 142:10	11:15 60:13 60:18	131:14 133:19	78:2 78:3 81:4
71:6 71:12 71:13	142:15 143:8	69:15 71:10 81:18	134:5 135:12	83:14 85:10 85:11
72:21 74:9 76:25	143:12 143:17	104:16 121:25	135:21 142:16	86:10 101:2 114:21
78:13 89:14 89:25	146:14 148:4	124:24 129:25	makes 38:10 50:22	114:21 114:22
90:15 90:17 94:23	lobbyists 52:19	130:1 130:23	89:25	139:13 141:15
	local 17:5 26:4 26:7	131:19 133:8	making 43:11 111:15	
127:14 128:5	26:13 55:23 60:12	140:12 141:7 141:8		122:18 125:23
lined 80:15	144:12 144:16	141:12 146:21	man 91:21 94:11	130:9 131:25
lines 71:2 71:3 71:12		lots 133:23		130.9 131.23
71:24 72:19 77:14		low 68:16	96:4 112:1 146:6	me 12:16 12:17
	34:5 61:15		146:8 146:16	
77:14 89:17 90:15	located 5:19 145:22	lower 103:4 104:5	146:18	21:12 22:13 36:4
92:6 127:20	location 38:24 79:25	v	manager 19:4 19:5	36:22 39:19 39:20
link 26:20 26:21	locations 85:13	lula 5:15 143:25	19:8 65:10	42:16 43:9 48:16
95:23 111:25	85:13 114:6	145:15	managers 21:21	48:20 55:18 56:19
lion 85:16	locking 102:14	M	mandate 13:24 14:10	
list 38:20 39:13 67:1	11011.		manual 43:24 44:5	88:1 88:1 88:6
67:13 87:1 88:1	logistically 48:13	ma 20:16 21:19	44:8 44:10 44:12	89:18 95:5 95:14
88:3 88:17 125:15	long 21:15 23:16	23:12 34:8 34:22	45:16 45:24	98:3 101:1 101:5
125:17 125:18	33:15 129:24	35:13 41:15 51:22	manufacturer 43:24	
listed 70:6 76:18	longer 88:2 88:5	55:19 56:16 70:15	44:5 109:23 110:2	110:15 111:19
listening 130:1	longest 132:2	136:7 146:1	121:19	112:12 112:17
listing 59:23	look 14:3 15:6 21:16	machine 149:10	manufacturers	116:16 124:4
listings 90:2	22:12 26:17 28:15	made 6:9 54:6 78:19	105:14 128:15	127:15 129:10
literature 25:9	29:7 29:17 30:7	80:4 80:7 90:1	128:16 128:17	130:9 132:16
little 11:10 19:2 32:3		105:20 129:24	128:19	132:24 133:7 135:2
37:23 48:11 52:2	38:3 46:7 46:9 48:1	131:24 138:18	many 28:3 42:12	136:8 136:11
53:24 58:18 65:14	50:23 51:5 52:17	mail 48:20 86:23	42:13 42:14 59:16	138:13 138:18
66:6 66:22 73:16	56:12 57:2 57:5	87:1 87:3 87:20	60:3 72:9 73:5	140:12 146:23
74:1 76:25 88:10	58:15 58:24 67:1	87:25 88:4 88:11	77:10 77:14 77:14	meals 141:16
88:23 95:10 133:11		88:17	77:14 87:18 87:21	mean 23:14 23:20
142:18	75:10 90:11 90:25	mailing 86:1	114:7 114:7 114:9	25:23 49:19 59:24
live 48:13	91:3 95:4 95:18	mails 85:25 88:5	114:10	71:5 74:9 87:19
II 6:19 6:20 9:4 9:13	98:4 100:25 103:6	main 13:7 34:5 103:5	march 10:14 14:7	94:21 100:17 102:1
11:17 12:20 13:20	107:24 108:2	mainly 25:12 28:1	132:20 135:5 137:2	103:19 109:2
13:24 16:21 17:16	111:13 111:16	maintain 24:23 28:2		112:16 114:8
19:2 19:8 19:9	113:14 116:13	major 70:4	marvelous 143:12	122:10 126:7
19:10 19:14 22:25	122:1 129:7 142:16	•	mary 3:19 8:11	127:24
23:20 25:3 30:7	looked 26:16 68:18	96:12	massachusetts 90:1	means 24:25 30:13
30:22 36:18 41:10	81:18 107:16 115:6		124:25 125:15	31:3 33:4 76:19
50:25 52:11 57:15		29:20 30:20 30:22	massage 83:3	105:17 106:8
58:5 64:15 64:25	34:1 45:3 49:9 58:4		massage 83.3	112:18 130:22
70:8 70:10 71:10	67:1 67:11 73:24	46:6 48:8 50:12	massageu 81.17 massaging 86:10	139:16
73:18 74:22 74:22	74:14 94:11 94:14	60:20 60:22 63:19	matter 46:19 67:20	meant 88:12 140:12
83:20 84:1 84:14	94:15 120:6 143:4	64:15 64:16 67:13		measures 27:6
85:21 90:19 92:3	looks 26:18 37:9	74:8 74:11 81:18	87:24	meet 70:14 89:1
93:20 102:10	loophole 138:24	84:12 87:10 88:11	maximum 121:9	90:13 96:10 100:22
102:11 102:18	loose 111:11	94:9 98:3 106:20	may 14:14 19:21	103:23 105:4
102:11 102:18		118:5 121:6 121:9	20:20 21:15 22:15	105:11 105:12
114:24 123:21	lost 64:6 132:9		31:23 41:14 46:6	106:6 112:24 113:
117.44 143.41	132:14	121:10 125:23	49:8 49:25 57:10	113:12 115:15
				110.12 110.10

	<u> </u>		1	
121:1 121:4 122:12	110:13	84:22 86:22 87:5	45:10 45:14 46:14	101:22 101:25
127:21	minute 36:22 134:19		46:19 47:2 47:7	102:4 102:7 102:17
meeting 5:2 5:16	minutes 89:22	more 10:16 17:21	47:13 47:18 47:20	103:16 103:18
5:16 5:17 6:8 12:6		45:3 48:8 59:8 60:5		108:3 108:4 108:6
16:9 42:16 83:8	130:10 130:12	61:5 63:13 66:13	48:19 48:22 49:4	108:9 108:14
83:8 87:2 87:7	131:22 133:10	66:21 69:3 69:16	49:18 50:14 51:8	108:18 108:19
87:13 128:18	134:20 137:17	74:3 82:22 87:24	51:12 51:19 53:7	108:20 108:23
131:23 135:8	139:17 139:20	94:16 102:19	54:4 54:9 54:12	109:2 109:4 109:6
145:17 145:17	139:21	102:20 119:22	54:16 54:18 54:23	109:9 109:12
145:19 148:6	mirror 46:12	123:20 123:22	55:2 55:4 55:8	109:13 110:3 110:9
meetings 18:22	miscellaneous 28:16		55:14 59:16 59:22	110:17 110:21
meets 128:24	miss 9:8 54:4	126:18 127:15	60:1 60:20 61:16	110:24 111:2 111:5
member 7:4 7:5 7:7	missed 58:10	127:15 127:24	61:20 62:10 62:13	111:9 111:20 112:4
7:7 7:9 7:11 7:22	mistake 106:20	132:22 137:5	62:16 62:20 63:4	112:7 112:11
8:20 49:19 50:1	mistakes 135:12	138:11	63:8 63:11 64:21	112:16 112:19
65:5 65:7 104:9	135:13	morning 12:1 12:1	64:25 65:13 65:13	112:22 115:1 115:5
108:7 111:17 114:8		12:1 64:21 93:9	65:22 66:1 66:6	115:7 115:8 115:10
118:1 125:5 125:6	mitigate 137:9	130:2	66:10 67:22 68:1	115:12 115:17
125:8 125:17 131:6	modified 49:15 49:10	most 67:6 94:18	68:6 68:9 70:9	115:18 115:20
131:9	58:8	115:13 131:20	73:23 74:5 74:23	115:23 116:2 116:5
members 12:2 12:16		mother 6:14	75:3 75:5 75:8	116:8 116:10
104:10	moment 13:24	motion 84:12 84:15	75:13 75:19 76:1	116:15 116:16
memory 42:16	133:20	84:17 85:11 145:24		116:17 116:20
mention 125:7	momma 146:7	148:7	76:23 77:3 77:17	116:23 117:1 117:4
mentioned 122:3	money 6:12 10:17	move 12:13 64:8	77:21 77:24 78:11	117:7 117:24 118:7
125:7	18:5 18:6 18:10	65:20 74:19	80:21 80:22 81:3	118:11 118:14
merely 130:5	21:14 21:14 23:1	moved 148:8	81:6 81:20 82:2	118:18 118:25
message 87:21	23:5 23:5 23:6	moving 146:11	82:21 83:6 83:12	119:8 120:8 120:10
met 108:25 135:5	27:22 29:4 31:16	mr 7:1 7:5 7:6 7:8	84:12 84:14 84:19	120:12 120:17
metro 144:12	41:2 41:3 41:10	7:10 7:15 7:17 7:19	84:20 84:21 84:22	120:20 123:16
michigan 91:20 96:4		7:22 7:25 8:6 8:13	85:2 85:8 85:10	123:24 123:25
139:23	62:22 66:2 69:7	8:14 8:19 9:2 9:5	85:19 85:20 86:7	124:3 124:4 124:7
middle 42:14 54:13	75:14 82:17 88:10	9:6 9:7 9:9 9:11	86:13 86:16 86:19	124:9 124:12
80:2 80:21 96:3	114:23 138:19	9:15 9:17 9:20 10:2	86:21 86:22 87:5	124:16 126:7
99:15 99:17	139:3	10:11 10:12 11:21	87:9 87:15 88:19	126:12 126:14
midst 119:7	moneys 14:19 31:9	18:4 18:9 18:20	88:22 89:1 89:2	126:15 126:16
midway 55:5	69:10	18:24 21:5 23:1	89:4 89:6 89:8 89:12 90:22 92:9	126:17 126:23 127:5 127:6 127:9
might 37:1 37:8 52:6	month 10:15 144:3	24:10 33:10 33:12	92:10 92:13 92:19	127:3 127:0 127:9
98:9 104:21 120:14	months 10:13 11:19	33:15 33:20 33:23	92:10 92:13 92:19	127:10 127:18
130:10	15:18 15:22 15:22	34:21 34:22 34:25	93:5 93:22 93:24	127:19 128:23
mighty 131:21	16:5 106:23 106:23	35:3 36:11 36:18	93.3 93.22 93.24 93:25 94:4	129.2 129.3 129.8
mike 3:21 7:19 65:1	109:20 119:24	36:22 37:8 37:12	94:5 94:6 94:7 95:9	129:12 129:10
93:22 123:16	122:18 144:2	37:14 37:17 37:20	97:20 97:22 97:23	129:19 129:22
mileage 35:11	moore 3:6 7:10 7:10	37:24 39:6 39:9	98:1 98:2 98:3 98:7	130:14 130:16
millsaps 10:18	9:9 34:21 34:22	39:12 39:15 39:17	98:12 98:15 98:17	130:17 130:18
mind 40:14 41:1 51:4	l	39:25 40:5 40:13	98:18 99:4 99:7	130:17 130:18
54:5 83:9	59:22 60:1 60:20	40:17 40:21 42:15	99:10 99:13 99:15	130:25 131:1 131:2
minds 41:10	84:20 88:19 88:22	42:23 43:20 44:2	99:17 99:18 101:3	134:12 134:15
mine 140:21	97:20 97:23 98:2	44:7 44:11 44:13	101:8 101:12	134:16 134:17
minimum 102:14	moorer 3:4 7:6 7:6	44:16 44:22 44:24	101:14 101:17	134:21 134:25

135:3 135:7 135:16	44:1 44:4 44:9	84:18 85:1 85:9	133:13 133:13	needed 49:16 74:12
136:15 136:16	44:12 44:15 44:23	86:6 86:24 87:6	136:12 138:4	104:23
137:4 137:14	45:2 45:13 45:19	87:12 87:22 88:13	138:23 138:25	needs 45:24 49:15
137:16 137:20	46:18 46:20 47:4	88:16 90:21 99:16	139:22 140:2 140:7	
137:23 138:9	47:11 47:14 47:19	108:11 108:15	140:8 140:23 146:4	
138:15 138:23	47:21 47:24 48:4	108:22 115:25	146:7 149:9 149:13	
139:6 139:11	48:18 48:19 48:21	116:5 117:12	149:23	new 5:12 10:13 11:11
139:19 142:3	49:1 49:2 49:5 49:9	117:15 117:21	myself 11:17 25:4	11:12 13:12 30:24
142:19 142:22	49:11 49:13 50:2	118:22 118:24	44:21 84:2	46:14 48:16 56:22
143:10 143:12	50:11 50:20 50:24	119:1 119:3 120:2		58:13 58:15 59:12
143:19 143:21	51:11 51:14 51:20	120:9 120:11	N	62:5 65:11 69:17
143:22 144:1 144:6	51:23 52:3 52:7	120:13 120:18	naarso 19:25 20:4	71:23 73:25 75:5
144:11 144:21	52:10 52:24 53:10	129:4 137:3 137:19	20:22 20:23 21:24	81:11 81:24 90:16
144:24 145:2 145:5	53:12 53:15 53:20	137:22 137:24	32:5 32:19 65:7	92:4 93:25 104:25
145:8 145:12	54:1 54:3 54:8	138:14 142:7	92:15 108:13 125:7	
146:14 146:16	54:11 54:15 54:17	142:10 142:14	125:8 125:8 125:18	
146:17 146:18	54:21 54:25 55:3	146:2 147:10	nails 132:24 133:2	124:24 125:3
146:21 147:6	55:7 55:9 55:17	147:14 147:18	name 6:21 12:7	125:14 125:14
147:19 147:22	56:1 56:8 56:10	147:20	38:23 129:23 131:1	
147:25 148:8 148:9	56:14 56:17 56:21	much 8:25 16:15	139:22 147:21	143:24
ms 6:20 6:23 7:3	56:25 57:2 57:5	17:20 18:2 27:22	nashville 2:6 5:20	next 5:16 5:16 11:18
7:12 8:2 8:4 8:8	57:14 58:10 58:14	28:10 29:1 29:2	10:22 11:14 144:12	
8:11 8:22 11:24	58:20 58:23 58:25	29:4 30:8 31:5	145:23	17:22 22:14 24:20
11:25 12:20 18:6	59:1 59:3 59:4 59:5	34:14 39:1 39:14	national 95:16 96:15	
18:10 18:18 18:23	59:6 59:9 59:11	39:21 40:7 50:25	96:23 97:7 97:15	42:15 57:11 62:8
18:25 19:1 19:21	59:12 59:14 59:19	51:1 51:3 53:2 53:5	100:3 100:12	69:10 83:8 90:18
19:23 20:2 21:9	59:25 60:9 60:10	60:5 62:10 64:6	142:24 143:2	90:23 93:9 96:8
21:13 22:2 22:16	60:25 61:19 62:2	68:20 69:6 71:3	natural 10:5	100:7 100:25
23:3 23:13 23:18	62:11 62:14 62:18	72:20 72:22 74:24	nature 140:17	104:10 106:3
24:3 24:6 24:11	62:22 62:25 63:2	75:14 84:24 89:4	navitat 3:19 8:12	142:25 145:16
31:23 31:25 32:7	63:5 63:10 63:12	89:25 94:14 100:17	near 130:9 145:1	145:17 148:6
32:21 32:24 33:11	65:15 65:23 66:4	100:18 122:17	nec 144:16	nfpa 96:24 97:14
33:14 33:19 33:21	66:9 66:25 67:6	130:13 131:12	necessarily 20:12	100:10 102:22
33:25 34:3 34:12	67:24 68:5 68:8	137:13 141:25	25:23 76:21 99:22	102:24 107:25
34:17 34:20 34:23	68:11 70:10 70:13	mull 133:16	necessary 25:16	136:22
35:1 35:4 35:5 35:7	70:16 70:20 70:23	multiple 71:15 90:15	need 12:14 12:24	nice 125:24 147:14
35:8 35:10 35:13	70:25 71:1 71:7	126:8	13:3 13:11 13:17	nightmarish 95:25
35:14 35:16 35:18	71:11 71:17 71:18	multiply 31:20 40:9	13:18 14:13 14:18	nine 62:12 96:9
35:20 35:21 35:22	71:21 72:1 72:3	murfreesboro 10:19	17:3 17:17 23:25	97:17 106:23
35:23 35:25 36:2	72:6 72:18 72:23	my 6:14 9:24 12:7	31:4 31:5 31:16	143:23 145:3 145:5
36:3 36:8 36:10	72:24 73:1 73:7	22:14 39:20 40:14	34:6 35:21 38:5	145:9
36:15 36:20 36:23	73:8 73:10 73:11	42:16 43:7 43:24	40:11 44:19 45:15	non 17:23 24:25
36:24 36:25 37:2	73:12 73:13 74:4	45:14 54:5 64:23	46:9 64:17 66:13	25:10 26:14 26:21
37:3 37:4 37:6 37:9	74:13 75:2 75:4	74:7 85:21 88:22	74:19 85:4 85:23	30:13 30:16 31:1
37:11 37:13 37:15	75:9 75:16 75:20	89:7 89:18 94:14	86:4 86:10 102:23	32:11 32:13 33:3
37:18 37:22 37:25	76:2 76:4 76:11	104:7 104:11 108:6		
39:7 39:11 39:14	76:16 77:1 77:5	117:25 117:25	121:1 123:21 124:7	
39:16 39:18 40:3	77:19 77:22 77:25	119:3 119:5 120:2	128:3 129:23 130:2	
40:6 40:16 40:20	78:7 82:5 82:6 82:9		130:18 137:6 138:3	
40:25 41:16 41:20	82:23 83:1 83:7	129:23 130:23	138:6 142:22	121:5 121:5 141:8
41:24 42:21 43:2	83:13 83:17 84:1	131:20 132:6	130.0 142.22	121.3 121.3 171.0
		101.20 102.0		

noncompliance	100:14	67:2 67:3 67:8 67:8	operators 14:13	over 11:5 11:18 31:7
16:25 25:14	0	68:3 68:6 68:25	107:7 109:14	41:6 42:7 42:12
none 5:13		71:16 71:18 71:22	109:16 113:25	48:20 75:24 79:4
nor 149:15 149:17	object 79:3 134:4	74:25 77:22 79:24	118:3 125:23	82:14 99:24 101:1
normal 122:17	objection 142:21	79:25 79:25 81:3	opinion 115:10	113:6 121:22
normally 15:3 21:6	obtain 18:16 25:16	84:3 85:2 85:16	opportunity 117:3	122:21 126:20
101:6	39:2	89:15 89:25 90:18	134:20	132:14 133:10
northeast 125:14	obtaining 17:23	90:19 90:22 90:23	opposed 84:23	133:16 135:1 137:7
northern 139:23	obvious 86:11 96:1	91:2 91:6 91:6 91:7	opt 87:1 87:1	148:2
notary 149:8 149:22	obviously 52:23 74:5		order 5:2 12:24 17:3	
note 92:2	74:9 124:10 134:10		23:6 23:7 34:7	overly 93:12
nothing 107:3	occur 54:24 127:8	94:20 95:10 95:14	organization 133:22	
notice 53:18	occurred 28:7 42:20		organizations 134:6	oversee 19:7 43:7
notified 87:18	48:12 91:21	98:21 98:24 98:24	141:9	oversight 19:16 43:8
notion 132:1	occurring 135:14	99:5 99:13 99:15	originals 80:14	43:10
now 6.18 13.19 14.9	occurs 43:16 45:12	99:16 102:13	osha 91:17 91:18	overspent 136:9
15:6 16:6 19:3 19:4	off 9:4 12:15 12:17	102:18 103:10	91:19 91:19 91:22	owe 72:20 72:25
22:15 24:15 27:4	21:2 55:15 60:2	103:12 103:25	96:10 103:13	owes 66:3
28:15 29:15 41:7	88:1 100:14 105:21	106:8 106:12	104:19 140:11	own 18:2 44:7 44:9
42:7 46:6 46:14	119:24 121:20	106:14 106:15	others 84:5 125:18	93:17 123:11
46:21 46:22 48:7	146:4 146:9 146:11	106:16 106:25	ourselves 142:18	133:19 136:6
50:18 51:8 53:17	offer 93:18 94:6	107:10 107:13	out 21:22 23:21 25:9	
53:25 55:20 64:25	133:18 134:20	108:20 111:20	29:16 30:2 31:13	owner 3:6 7:11 8:15
68:23 68:24 71:8	office 3:16 8:3 15:3	114:15 115:2 115:2	31:13 32:6 38:7	8:19 48:14 72:18
71:9 75:15 82:19	26:5 26:6 45:21	118:18 119:11 120:4 121:9 124:22	42:11 42:17 42:25	72:21 131:2
85:21 92:17 93:14	52:13 57:15 78:16	120:4 121:9 124:22	43:11 45:11 47:9	owners 14:13 46:10
106:12 106:23	79:14 79:17 79:21	128:4 128:6 128:8	48:12 50:9 51:2	72:14 72:20
106:23 107:18	80:9 80:14 80:17	128:13 130:19	53:14 59:17 60:4	owns 66:7
108:10 114:24	81:8 147:12	131:7 131:23	60:22 61:5 67:14	P
114:25 121:4 122:4		131.7 131.23	68:2 72:13 78:14	
126:8 126:11	oklahoma 139:25	133:22 134:18	79:16 81:16 83:3	packet 86:25
128:14 130:17	old 5:10 64:19 75:7	135:15 135:18	85:22 89:17 90:2	packets 94:18
131:9 133:17 140:9		136:17 137:7 140:8	90:5 92:4 92:21	page 67:5 68:3 75:11
140:16 140:17	once 22:24 79:13	141:1 142:17	94:10 94:19 96:7	95:7 95:8 95:9 96:8
number 6:9 10:10	80:7 80:9 80:16	1/12:23	96:19 97:12 98:8	96:9 97:17 97:24
11:22 15:23 24:13	one 9:14 10:15 11:17	ones 46:5 60:6 60:7	106:15 106:25	100:7 100:25 106:3
24:21 28:4 28:4	12:17 13:8 13:20	60:10 60:11 60:22	112:1 120:24	106:3 106:5
28:5 28:6 28:6 29:8		60:23 71:12 103:1	120:25 121:19	paid 39:2 141:14
29:17 38:24 39:7	19:8 19:9 19:14	114:25 125:11	121:21 127:20	paperwork 17:7
39:19 58:1 58:4	20:18 20:23 22:5	132:5	130:4 136:4 136:15	
58:6 60:22 64:18	24:13 24:21 29:8	online 11:2 51:6 51:9	136:18 140:9	pardon 95:14
66:5 67:2 67:11	29:9 29:10 29:12	open 102:15 110:14	140.13 143.3	park 66:11 91:5
71:5 73:15 74:24	29:13 29:13 29:14	114:1 114:4	outcomes 141:9	parks 10:8 91:1 92:6
76:20 104:13 132:2		operate 27:18 40:11	141:10 141:20	parkway 1:24
132:25 143:24	32:3 34:25 38:9	operates 122:22	outcry 14:18	part 17:12 18:13
145:16	38:11 38:18 38:18	operating 106:10	outdoors 127:2	43:22 45:6 50:3
numbers 11:7 11:10		operation 27:13	outs 106:25	64:2 67:7 67:13
16:12 16:14 29:16	40:23 46:1 57:10	43:19 56:2 89:24	outset 22:7	72:13 86:5 91:13
30:2 38:6 38:7	57:20 58:19 61:22	operations 3:17 8:10	outside 111:22	91:14 110:3 123:4
74:11 74:16 86:14	66:2 66:7 66:23	operator 107:15	outstanding 146:6	133:13
		operator 107.13		

participate 87:14	134:1 135:11	phonetic 8:8	42:5 46:3 82:18	18:2 28:10 40:7
particular 71:25	135:11 135:22	photos 98:10 106:4	85:22	53:2 53:5 64:5 67:9
98:23 101:11	135:22 140:3 140:7	106:8	positions 22:24	68:20 108:4 124:2
101:20 120:5	142:1	physical 46:22 46:24	possible 82:20 87:19	148:2
126:10 139:9	per 43:23 69:15 71:6	47:17 55:13 56:3	87:21 106:21	prevent 135:11
parties 149:15	71:8 71:12 104:23	physically 56:23	106:22 114:18	135:13
party 19:16 20:19	percent 38:8 38:10	pick 125:16	115:25 137:10	prevented 97:24
22:6 25:18 42:2	38:12 38:13 38:17	picture 95:18	post 26:14	previous 16:2 16:2
43:12 45:4 45:11	38:17 38:18 38:18	pictures 95:10 98:6	postage 88:9	18:22 39:20 139:25
45:23 45:23 46:1	67:15 67:17 67:19	99:8	posted 79:16	139:25
72:7 73:4 77:8	percentage 58:5	piece 49:3 49:6	pound 104:15 105:7	previously 14:17
77:11 77:16 120:21	percentages 58:5	105:15 105:16	105:9 119:9 128:20	61:1 69:9
136:10 138:10	perfectly 57:13	107:22	pounds 100:11	prices 86:18
pass 70:8 78:5	perform 25:11 26:23	place 10:6 14:22	100:16 100:18	pricing 58:12
passed 11:2 14:6	26:24 27:19	17:17 41:8 49:14	100:20 101:11	primary 13:6 27:15
passenger 28:19	performance 126:25	84:13 108:2 121:23	103:7 103:8 104:11	63:24 118:9
28:20	performed 42:2	placed 19:14	104:12 104:23	printing 98:8
passes 113:22	period 93:16 120:1	places 85:23 133:24	104:24 105:4 105:9	prior 46:21 81:8 84:5
passing 121:7	137:23	plan 27:11 43:15	105:19 112:24	pro 4:2 8:20 131:3
passionate 133:11	permanent 33:5	43:16 43:18 45:9	113:11 128:16	131:4
past 16:10 20:9	permissible 95:22	plates 69:17	powerpoint 58:24	probably 11:18 42:9
46:18	95:23 95:25 102:8	platform 73:7 73:10	94:21 94:23 94:25	61:7 64:6 71:8
pathway 90:20	permission 83:19	73:11 73:14 73:14	95:3 98:9	71:10 77:8 116:10
patrons 104:5 113:2	_	98:23 138:25	powers 3:19 8:11	problem 21:17 88:20
paul 147:22	permit 14:24 39:2	playing 24:13	8:11	88:23 103:22
pay 71:14 71:18	40:24 66:21 69:16	please 6:21 9:21	prayers 6:18	104:17 107:6
paying 59:24 66:10	86:25 89:18	11:24 19:22 31:24	prca 21:24 64:20	112:12 112:13
66:11 66:24 67:3	permits 11:15 15:7	88:1 94:8 129:3	65:3 92:22 93:5	113:5 118:20
74:1 74:2	15:9 15:24 16:1	132:16	93:7 93:18 97:6	121:16 121:18
payments 17:13	16:2 16:11 25:17	pleasure 65:25 84:10	100:8 102:21	133:20
27:20	27:2 27:25 28:5	pledge 5:4 8:25 9:22	10-0-1101	procedures 45:16
pbm 131:9 134:2	28:6 38:8 38:14	plus 61:22 69:14	110:11 114:17	proceed 57:9
pending 53:22 84:5	38:16 38:21 40:4	76:25 76:25 88:5	116:8 121:2 124:10	proceeding 83:20
people 16:18 17:18	59:21 90:4 90:8	93:16 128:14	125:6 125:17	proceedings 1:9 2:7
19:15 19:18 20:4	perry 146:2 146:3	pmb 1:24	126:25 128:23	148:11 149:11
20:14 24:16 24:19	146:3	point 16:1 32:8 73:20	135:4 136:24 140:6	process 13:17 14:21
31:19 31:22 42:3	person 16:20 25:21	73:21 74:18 76:17	predicament 56:23	15:14 15:16 15:16
50:21 52:15 61:9	31:19 31:20 40:7	95:3 119:21 119:25	premise 21:20 47:5	15:25 22:15 23:16
67:21 67:25 68:3	40:8 64:12 66:7	130:3 131:11	premises 47:3 54:7	23:22 24:5 24:7
74:6 74:7 75:14	104:23 109:24	133:13 133:16	prepare 17:15 86:9	25:2 25:19 27:14
79:3 85:6 86:14	131:7 147:21	141:2	prepared 16:8 29:23	27:18 27:20 27:21
86:22 87:21 88:15	personal 105:1 105:2	pointed 68:2	prepares 17:6 28:1	27:25 41:8 43:22
93:25 104:2 106:12		polish 15:13	preparing 30:1	60:14 60:16 78:10
106:20 115:14	personnel 10:5 19:10	polished 15:16	presentation 58:24	78:20 78:25 79:5
118:5 118:13	21:21	poll 50:9	106:1 109:6	79:13 85:3 85:11
119:11 119:14	perspective 45:9	polled 48:16	presented 43:9 65:25	
119:16 119:23	139:14 140:22	polston 3:24 8:13	president 7:20 65:2	processes 17:13
120:9 123:18	146:5	8:13 140:8	135:4	17:16 17:17 27:23
129:24 131:13	phillips 13:1	portion 38:4	pressed 22:1	138:13 141:24
133:19 133:23	phones 30:18	position 23:7 23:8	pretty 16:15 17:20	processing 27:19

	r			
nroduce 105:16	nnovido 12:24 10:15	63:13 112:13	29.16 50.2 72.12	110.10 111.12
produce 105:16	provide 12:24 19:15		38:16 59:2 73:12	110:19 111:13
128:16 135:10	24:22 25:15 46:5	129:10 135:9	84:6 88:9 120:13	111:15 113:5
136:11	72:7 74:14 74:21	145:15	ratio 128:4	113:13 113:22
produced 137:5	88:16	quick 118:22 131:18		113:23 113:25
production 91:18	provided 38:20	quickly 89:13 92:3	11:9 11:13 11:14	114:3 114:19
profession 140:4	72:11	142:23	12:5 12:5 12:6	115:14 119:7
professional 3:21	provides 45:5	quite 134:3 136:3	12:13 13:7 13:8	119:19 120:16
3:22 4:1 4:2 7:20	providing 45:21	146:9	13:25 14:2 14:4	120:21 121:7
7:23 8:17 8:21 65:2		quorum 6:9	15:17 17:2 18:1	121:23 122:2
	public 3:4 3:7 7:4	R	18:2 20:6 20:9	123:23 123:25
131:6 132:18	12:4 13:16 14:10		22:10 22:16 22:19	124:1 125:1 128:14
professor 139:23	14:18 17:18 25:10	rader 7:8 7:8 9:6	22:23 23:2 23:14	128:14 128:18
profits 141:8	78:23 79:8 109:14	9:11 18:4 18:9	23:20 25:9 26:10	129:11 130:6
program 12:25 14:3	137:9 149:8 149:22		26:11 29:5 29:7	130:14 130:15
14:5 15:5 15:7 19:6	published 79:4	39:6 39:9 39:12	29:8 29:18 29:20	130:21 130:22
26:1 31:5 31:16	pull 29:15 29:18 38:1		30:2 30:14 30:21	131:21 132:1 132:2
33:6 40:11 63:20	pulling 30:2	40:5 40:13 40:17	31:17 32:24 34:14	133:2 133:3 135:23
64:9 68:24 82:10	purpose 28:11	40:21 42:15 42:23	34:14 39:4 39:5	135:23 136:2 136:5
82:18 93:7 93:10	purposes 24:12	43:20 44:2 44:7	40:12 40:18 42:7	137:6 138:5 138:6
93:13 93:15 140:1	41:12 69:18 71:22	44:11 44:13 44:16	42:7 42:9 43:3	141:18 143:3 143:4
programs 19:6 65:8	put 27:10 29:21	44:22 44:24 45:10	43:14 45:2 45:21	143:13 143:14
65:9	31:21 36:17 36:25	45:14 46:14 46:19	45:25 45:25 46:1	144:1 144:2 144:14
projecting 37:10	42:5 43:16 77:15	47:2 47:7 47:13	46:2 46:5 46:16	read 56:16 115:22
promote 137:7	85:22 90:2 90:5	47:18 47:20 47:23	46:20 46:25 49:18	129:15 131:21
proof 105:5 105:5	121:19 142:18	48:3 48:10 48:19	50:17 51:8 51:21	133:14 143:11
105:12 105:15	puts 56:22 97:12	48:22 49:4 49:18	51:24 52:8 52:15	143:13 143:13
proper 13:2 13:2	putting 111:14	50:14 51:8 51:12	55:14 55:21 57:7	143:17
17:16 96:8 96:10	121:11 138:11	51:19 53:7 61:16	57:17 57:19 58:3	reading 116:14
properly 12:25 25:22		61:20 62:10 62:13	59:9 59:18 59:24	116:22
proposal 49:8 58:8	Q	62:16 62:20 63:4	60:14 60:21 61:3	readopt 145:9
65:15 66:2 89:10	qei 10:25 33:12	63:8 63:11 70:9	61:4 61:6 61:6 61:7	ready 24:10 105:22
117:19	33:13	73:23 74:5 76:12	61:7 61:23 62:7	107:15 113:6
propose 30:9 44:20	qualified 43:25 44:14	76:23 77:3 80:21	66:1 67:20 67:24	123:24 124:1
proposed 5:8 24:12	132:7	82:21 84:14 84:19	68:7 68:21 68:24	129:11 131:22
48:23 48:24 51:4	quality 133:5	86:13 86:19 98:12	68:25 69:1 69:2	real 91:4 92:3 95:6
51:6 51:10 52:18	question 13:10 19:22	98:17 99:15 99:17	69:2 69:3 69:4 69:5	104:1 104:1
53:1 75:10 78:21	22:14 31:24 41:14	108:3 108:6 108:14		realistically 100:18
79:1 81:24 81:25	43:24 45:14 55:18	108:19 109:2 109:6		reality 135:11
82:12 82:15 86:3	61:17 65:17 70:23	109:12 116:16	76:6 76:18 77:15	realize 67:22
proposing 29:6 29:7		123:25 124:3	78:24 79:10 82:18	reason 14:9 29:5
39:4 39:5 57:19	85:2 92:9 101:4	130:14 130:25	82:19 82:21 84:3	48:10 103:5 104:17
58:3 109:7 109:9	101:6 101:7 110:5	134:15 137:16	85:5 86:2 86:5 89:4	105:20
protect 14:10	118:23 119:2 119:5	137:20 137:23	89:14 89:18 89:20	reasonable 67:9
protect 14.10 protection 96:24	120:3 123:19 124:5	120.11.147.2	89:22 89:23 90:8	69:24
97:3 100:11 102:20	104404040	142:19 146:16	90:20 90:25 91:2	reasons 22:5
	126:13 126:15	146:18 148:9	91:3 91:5 93:17	receipt 25:24 26:9
103:3 103:11		raising 66:23	93:22 93:25 98:3	receive 25:20 31:9
103:12 104:6 104:6	questioning 49:19	range 67:12 67:17	100:6 100:22 104:3	62:3 62:7 64:14
105:1 119:15	questions 44:19	ranges 58:7	104:3 104:4 104:9	64:16 69:8 69:11
128:12	44:20 48:11 59:15	rate 65:16 65:17	105:3 106:1 106:16	72:10
proven 132:8	77.20 70.11 33.13	rather 16:10 23:4	107:3 107:22	/ 2310

received 13:23 24:24	referring 60:17	reports 138:3	restroom 130:19	103:9 107:8 107:8
33:3 87:21 88:12	93:22	represent 42:19 77:2	I	108:1 108:10
88:19 94:18	reflect 137:17	representative 3:4	revenue 40:2 40:22	108:23 110:10
receiving 69:7	regard 71:1 71:24	3:5 3:6 3:7 7:9 7:11	I	111:14 111:14
recently 18:21 26:16		117:17 117:18	91:8 91:9	111:16 112:4
46:13 108:25	regardless 67:25	131:24 147:23	review 20:20 21:23	112:25 113:22
recited 9:22	region 3:20 7:18	representatives 12:3		114:24 119:11
recognize 11:24 12:2		23:19 29:24 48:25	83:2 83:11 135:8	120:22 121:4
16:17 108:16 132:6		representing 7:4	142:24 142:25	121:12 121:18
133:15 137:18	1080	represents 67:14	reviewed 132:25	121:21 122:7
138:16 138:18	regularly 5:16	request 12:10	reviewing 17:11	122:24 123:5 127:1
138:21	145:17	request 12.10	reviews 17:12 49:14	127:2 127:5 127:5
recognized 97:9	regulated 124:17		revise 13:11	127:18 128:7 132:7
108:21 132:5	124:23 140:19	require 32:22 54:14		132:23 140:15
132:16 132:18	regulation 7:13	54:20 100:10	revised 26:16 48:2	140:17 142:4 142:7
136:13	103:13 104:20	100:13 126:9	rhodes 3:12 8:22	145:11 148:5
1	104:20 141:22	required 13:25 20:13	I	risk 65:10 66:15
recognizes 137:21	regulations 12:8 19:	1	rid 71:23	66.17 100.2 101.11
137:25	96:11 138:11	66:18 90:13 100:21	ride 32:6 42:18 42:2:	road 79:1 79:10
recognizing 108:7	rehired 133:6	103:4 110:23	47:2 54:24 55:5	robbie 1:13 3:3
130:7 130:7	reimbursement	136:10	55:5 55:21 55:25	87:10 114:21
recommend 79:9	35:11	requirement 85:17	59:5 121:24	1 104 15 104 10
recommendation 5:9	1	105:4 140:12	rides 20:18 50:8 59:7	rocks 42:7
64:20	118:1 144:15	requirements 25:11	68:25 69:1 69:17	l
recommendations	relates 51:12 51:15	27:4 27:5 45:17	69:20 70:2 70:3	rodney 3:20 7:17
50:13 74:15 74:16	relative 149:16	46:10 46:12 90:14	70:4 121:25 122:1	roll 96:7 106:15
record 98:5 130:25	relatively 68:15	96:9 96:10 97:19	rigged 109:23	106:25 106:25
recorded 27:8 149:9	69:24	103:24 109:1	right 10:10 10:12	112:1
records 28:2	release 102:15	112:24 113:10	11:25 13:19 18:11	roller 66:16 66:20
recreation 134:9	rely 72:7 77:8	113:13 115:15	19:1 19:4 23:13	70:5 70:5
140:24 140:25	relying 45:25	119:15 119:18	28:15 33:14 34:3	room 2:5 5:18 6:21
141:1 141:2	remedial 27:5 27:11	121:1 123:6 128:12	02 00., 00.10	145:20
recurring 17:23	43:15 43:22 45:8	128:18 128:21	37:12 40:5 40:25	rope 95:23
24:25 30:13 30:16	remitted 39:1	128:24	41:1 43:2 46:25	ropes 3:21 3:22 4:1
31:1 33:3	repeating 33:2	requires 46:13 46:15		4:2 7:20 7:23 8:17
red 26:25 78:13	replace 119:19	55:16 104:10	51:11 52:10 52:24	8:21 65:2 103:21
80:15	report 5:6 5:7 10:11	requiring 20:5	53:20 54:1 54:3	114:12 124:13
refer 17:5 26:2	11:23 25:20 25:25	research 8:9 25:12	54:21 54:25 55:2	131:6 132:18
101:19 101:20	28:8 45:6 46:17	124:24	57:17 59:6 60:25	rosa 10:8
101:22 102:1	46:21 46:22 46:23	resources 13:3 22:17		rotating 146:4 146:9
reference 102:4	46:25 48:6 54:9	22:22 23:4 23:9	63:18 66:4 67:22	roughly 93:15 93:16
102:18 102:19	54:16 54:20 56:5	83:18	68:24 73:1 74:4	round 100:14 147:2
102:21 102:23	72:8 72:10 73:3	respects 140:10	74:13 75:13 77:3	147:2
103:2	77:9	respond 80:6 87:23	77:19 77:21 83:19	route 85:4
referenced 106:19	reportable 55:11	responded 87:18	84:10 88:25 89:3	rug 96:17
references 103:9	55:23	responders 46:16	89:16 89:19 90:21	rule 5:15 78:14 78:24
106:6	reported 28:7	response 124:5	92:7 92:17 92:19	79:1 80:17 127:13
referencing 102:2	reported 28.7	responsibility 51:9	93:20 95:17 95:20	127:17 143:25
111:16 111:22	reporting 1:23 27:4	responsible 17:10	96:16 98:20 100:1	144:20
111:23	27:5 27:9 46:10	rest 146:12	100:6 100:7 102:7	rulemaking 78:10
referred 60:11	46:11 57:7 57:8	restaurant 54:19	102:14 102:16	78:20 78:21 78:22
	10.11 37.7 37.0			

78:22 78:25 79:5	123:1 123:2 135:17		121:22	84:2 85:11
79:12 79:15 79:19	149:12		seem 66:11 100:17	seven 11:23 64:18
79:22 79:23 79:24	salaries 30:3 30:8	123:5 128:8 130:21	seen 42:10 81:24	seventh 3:17 8:9
80:5	same 40:2 68:21 69:4		94:20 120:19	several 46:7 77:20
rules 12:12 13:11	71:10 82:18 87:9	scan 142:12	self 13:25 25:2 25:6	123:14 128:19
78:8 78:9 138:11	90:20 96:6 102:22	scans 17:14	32:14 34:7 41:9	132:9 133:25 146:5
ruling 21:23	102:25 103:20	scenario 99:23	69:6 74:17	shake 136:7
run 9:4	104:13 116:25	scenarios 95:25	sell 105:16	shape 83:4
running 55:5 90:18	117:19 119:11	schedule 39:10 39:13		share 85:16 124:5
S	save 88:10	57:18 58:12 73:25	send 25:9 25:14 48:7	sheets 94:19
	saw 36:14	74:24 88:23	53:22 53:23 55:25	sheriffs 26:8
safe 10:6 17:19 27:10		scheduled 5:16	80:12 85:24 85:25	shine 37:1
27:17 63:21 113:15		145:17	86:1 87:3 87:19	short 11:17
113:18 113:19	47:7 50:25 60:18	school 90:7 132:23	98:10 117:11	shorthand 149:10
118:5 143:7	61:6 63:14 63:16	schooled 44:25	120:24 129:3	shouldn 29:1 90:13
safer 94:10	73:9 73:23 76:18	schools 134:6	133:24 135:8	94:13 104:11
safest 118:18	81:16 83:24 84:6	scott 4:1 8:16 139:22		show 94:5 94:7
safety 1:11 2:4 5:17	85:14 88:1 88:14	scout 9:25	142:15	128:23
6:4 7:13 10:4 13:6	89:13 91:2 94:24	scouts 3:20 7:18	sending 60:17	showing 94:23
19:11 19:13 19:24	101:9 101:16	89:23 141:8	sends 16:25	shows 58:6 78:14
21:10 23:7 26:24	101:18 102:10	screen 29:21 36:25	sense 38:10 58:21	95:10 96:6 97:17
27:15 44:7 44:9	106:10 109:10	37:5 37:17	66:12 82:11 82:16	sic 62:5
45:16 61:13 65:5	109:14 110:6	screwed 115:16	82:19 89:25	sick 55:21 56:7 56:24
83:16 91:15 93:23	111:12 113:7	screwing 128:15	sent 48:19 53:17	side 10:8 60:3 100:7
93:24 94:2 95:16	113:14 113:17	seamlessly 136:24	137:2	102:9 102:14 143:7
96:21 96:22 96:24	113:21 113:22	second 9:3 9:14	separate 77:23 77:24	143:14 143:14
97:2 97:2 97:4 97:7	1	55:24 58:19 84:14	110:13 141:9	sign 84:24
97:7 97:10 97:15	117:16 119:22	84:16 99:16 105:22	141:10	signed 80:13 88:2
97:19 98:21 98:23	120:15 121:14	110:18	september 5:18	149:19
99:19 99:20 100:8	122:5 123:2 123:13 125:4 125:5 125:10	i serannen i axig	70:18 70:21 81:2	similar 21:4 141:6
100:9 100:13	1	I Secretary 3:11 6:74 1	81:17 83:3 83:15	simple 13:13 94:13
102:12 102:13	125:15 128:2 128:4	79:17 80:14 80:17	83:24 84:13 133:17	95:23 111:24
103:3 105:3 109:15 110:11 110:11	131:17 133:6 133:12 135:9	81:7	142:17 143:5	simplify 13:17
115:13 117:25	135:25 142:22	section 47:22	145:20 148:6	simplistic 28:23
118:2 119:6 119:10		security 10:5	serious 46:22 46:23	since 69:25 122:21
	saying 22:10 51:3	see 11:14 12:14	46:23 47:16 47:17	single 90:6 90:17
127:21 127:22	51:8 51:21 51:24	12:18 16:12 34:18	52:15 55:12 55:12	102:18 106:14
128:4 137:8 140:11	1	34:23 35:15 37:6	56:3 56:3 64:16	106:16
140:14 145:18	72:19 84:17 90:10	37:18 37:24 39:20	105:23 106:24	sir 9:13 89:2 89:11
said 13:22 25:12	91:5 97:11 107:9	41:8 51:5 51:9	seriously 26:9 96:2 107:17	99:4 101:4 108:22
34:13 38:6 40:7	110:19 112:23	52:17 58:5 67:3		118:6 123:21
42:1 52:25 53:5	113:1 113:19 114:4	68:18 68:19 69:15	serve 43:4	134:25 137:14
54:5 55:10 57:12	121:1 126:8 133:15	/5:9 /5:21 /5:21	served 146:5	139:12 139:13
59:23 61:1 64:12	133:16 134:1	76:5 94:15 95:11	serves 42:16 125:13	139:16 142:2 142:3
66:22 73:2 78:4	says 10:4 45:24	96:8 106:2 106:4	service 19:17 20:13	143:18
79:19 82:13 85:12	56:10 56:18 79:2	107:19 115:1	20:14 147:12	sit 32:18 33:12
85:14 87:10 87:17	97:12 97:14 98:21	120:20 120:24	services 23:4	114:22 119:22
90:3 97:23 112:5	101:17 102:14	127:11 143:1 146:9	serving 19:5	121:14 123:12
114:8 117:25	103:7 104:21	147:9	session 51:17	123:18
121:20 122:11	104:23 105:7	seeing 34:19 59:9	set 31:13 64:11 79:14	site 26:23 26:24

55:15	138:2 138:4 138:8	stand 8:25 16:21	97:4 97:8 100:13	126:3 136:13 140:1
situation 57:10 72:17	I	17:10 73:6 123:22	101:18 102:5 102:9	
91:22 91:24 108:24		standard 64:20	102:13 103:2	144:20 145:21
situations 24:15	sometime 80:24	94:20 95:16 95:21	103:10 103:12	148:2 149:3 149:22
110:13	sometimes 27:12	95:22 96:12 96:13	103:15 103:12	statefully 90:1
six 10:10 29:10 38:13	I	97:7 97:8 97:10	103:19 103:20	statement 54:6 81:19
38:17 57:21 62:14	somewhat 50:7 91:22	l	103:22 103:23	81:21 84:2 90:1
69:1	116:25	97:18 99:22 100:4	105:7 107:10	126:17
size 126:21 126:24	soon 82:20 83:19	100:5 100:8 100:9	107:14 107:19	statements 135:21
127:7 127:11 128:3		100:13 100:0 100:5	107:20 107:21	states 56:1 56:4
ski 127:23	sooner 137:10	100:24 100:24	107:24 107:25	68:14 68:16 69:23
skill 149:10	sorry 15:20 18:11	102:2 102:21	109:8 109:10 111:4	
sleep 143:13 143:14	34:21 38:1 49:4	102:25 103:6 104:1		12011 . 12
sleeping 143:15	58:10 63:9 73:13	104:14 104:18	111:17 111:24	126:7 126:10
slide 12:13 13:8 14:2		106:6 106:7 106:11	112:20 114:12	136:21
17:22 59:2	sort 23:15 101:5	106:12 106:17	114:14 115:6	stating 104:3 132:17
slides 39:20	124:18 132:3	107:4 107:4 107:7	115:22 116:1 116:8	statistics 28:2
sliding 29:6 66:15	sound 132:3	107:12 108:8	116:11 117:22	statue 76:19
slip 47:9	sounds 104:16	108:11 108:16	122:4 122:5 123:5	statute 54:22 55:11
slips 54:18	104:16	108:21 109:17	123:13 124:21	79:2
slow 9:14 32:3	southern 3:20 7:17	109:18 109:20	125:11 125:21	statutory 25:11
small 131:20 131:21	speak 92:17 132:23	109:25 110:1 110:2	125:22 126:8 127:4	stayed 40:1
133:2	speaker 12:19	110:7 110:10	127:11 127:12	stays 141:16
smart 37:11	speaking 89:14	110:11 110:19	132:19 135:8	steal 12:20
smart 37.11 smoke 97:12	special 97:4	111:10 111:12	135:13 135:19	stealing 90:8
	specific 56:15 100:4	111:15 111:23	136:1 136:10	stepping 127:16
snap 111:25	111:11 126:9	113:1 113:3 113:7	136:23 136:25	steps 71:13
society 65:5 97:2	specifically 77:10	113:8 113:14	138:17 140:11	steve 3:25 8:14 10:18
software 30:18	135:17	113:17 113:25	142:6	134:22 135:3
somebody 33:17	specificity 102:21	114:5 114:7 114:9	standing 118:19	still 64:6 64:8 69:24
44:24 47:9 52:13	specify 138:4 138:6	114:11 114:11	start 6:20 32:9 41:6	74:17 83:3 86:10
53:17 54:13 54:18	specifying 138:5	114:17 115:2	79:1 79:4 80:23	90:19 105:17
55:25 56:6 66:15 66:17 66:23 71:13	specifying 138.3 specs 109:23 110:2	116:19 117:10	90:17 122:16	105:18 111:15
85:6 115:16	spectacular 28:17	118:4 118:15 119:4		121:7 121:23
someone 20:19 23:7	spectatorship 141:1	119:9 119:17	started 31:8 49:25	123:10 134:7 136:2
55:21 56:8 56:10	141:3	120:14 121:2 121:4		stone 1:23
56:11 56:23 79:8	spend 107:23 138:19	123:2 123:3 123:7	starting 74:18	stones 42:12
83:25 89:16 93:10	spent 6:12 6:17	123.12 120.20	starts 81:11 106:2	straightforward
93:11 109:21	spot 94:11	126:25 128:23	state 1:1 3:12 5:18	110:5
121:11 147:16	spot 94.11 spotted 99:14	133:14 135:10	19:14 19:17 19:19	strand 121:18
something 6:16	spotted 99.14 stack 34:23	135:17 135:23	20:13 20:15 26:8	streamline 13:18
31:14 35:19 45:6	stack 34:23 stacks 35:2	135:24 136:6	42:5 42:19 46:4	13:20
45:15 45:24 48:7	staff 24:23 104:9	136:17 136:19	46:12 68:12 68:14	street 21:3
49:15 49:16 49:21	104:10	137:2 137:8 137:18	00.11 00.25 75.17	strength 107:13
52:17 52:21 54:5	staffed 10:14	138:5 139:2 140:6 142:24 143:3	80:14 80:17 81:7	strengths 100:11
77:9 79:6 89:13	stainless 127:3	144:14 148:7	84:4 84:4 88:10	104:5
89:21 91:15 94:22	stamess 127.5 stamp 128:8	standards 96:15	91:19 93:14 93:18	strong 113:12
112:17 115:15	stamp 128.8 stamped 122:7 122:8	l	93:20 118:16	struck 78:14
124:5 130:4 132:17	123:7 123:7 123:8	96:16 96:19 96:20 96:21 96:22	120:22 124:25	structure 5:9 12:12
133:5 133:24 138:1	stamping 128:22	96:25 97:2 97:3	125:3 125:12	10 04 10 4 10 10
	Stamping 120.22	70.43 71.4 71.3	125:12 126:2 126:3	12.2 : 13. : 13.12

13:13 13:21 28:12	23:22 27:6 30:20	tasks 124:22	143:19 143:20	130:11 135:12
31:14 33:1 39:11	30:22 31:25 34:4	taught 147:4	143:22	136:23 140:3
39:23 58:9 58:13	36:11 41:16 43:3	tbdin 103:15	their 6:21 11:2 33:12	
58:15 59:13 71:5	43:11 57:16 61:4	teach 140:13	34:5 43:22 44:7	142:13 142:13
71:23 75:11 75:18	63:19 64:16 69:6	technical 45:3 45:5	44:9 45:6 45:16	143:4 143:15
75:19 78:5 84:11	70:25 73:18 74:8		45:16 47:10 48:8	theory 83:4
86:3 86:11	74:11 81:21 87:10	45:21 45:22 45:22		therefore 66:18
structured 72:17	88:11 88:17 116:24	66:19 130:5 131:12	68:9 68:21 68:24	they 11:3 14:14
structures 133:8	117:17 129:14	teelinology > 15 05 17	69:17 72:8 72:17	
	134:5	telephone 25:8	78:16 80:9 80:11	14:24 14:25 15:1
141:11 stuck 64:7	surprised 51:17	tell 44:2 44:6 44:14	86:15 86:18 86:25	15:3 17:3 17:6 18:
	_	45:15 46:6 46:8	90:2 90:5 93:17	20:6 20:9 20:12
students 140:8	survey 61:14	51:25 52:14 56:19		20:24 21:4 21:5
140:13	susceptible 106:15	73:4 85:21 85:22	93:23 95:20 111:20	
stuff 93:11 96:25	system 17:14 30:19	87:20 113:20	117:22 128:18	22:2 22:3 22:24
104:2 105:24	30:19 30:24 95:24	132:24 134:23	128:22 132:8	26:6 26:9 26:10
106:19 107:1	99:1 99:25 105:3	telling 48:15 50:22	133:19 136:6	26:12 27:12 27:12
111:24 114:20	120:22 121:15	122:19	138:11 138:17	27:17 28:8 29:3
118:1 118:2 121:3	systemic 119:7	tells 27:22 107:7	140:1	29:8 30:14 30:14
122:24 128:10	systems 105:2 136:14		them 10:7 10:15 17:1	32:8 32:8 32:9 32:
128:16 128:20	T	57:21 67:17 78:2	20:5 20:8 20:11	32:17 32:20 33:8
128:23 141:17		106:23	20:17 21:2 21:11	33:9 33:10 33:12
styled 2:2	table 65:19	tends 9:15	21:16 21:17 22:11	33:15 33:20 34:11
submit 61:25 78:15	tagging 26:25	tennessee 1:1 1:25	22:11 22:12 22:18	34:14 36:6 36:9
80:8 80:13	tail 140:20	2:5 2:6 3:5 3:12	22:19 22:20 22:23	39:24 41:18 41:24
submitted 22:18	take 9:4 10:6 15:6	5:19 5:20 6:24	23:21 24:7 27:9	42:18 42:22 43:4
substantially 74:3	15:11 23:17 26:17	10:20 17:19 19:20	27:14 27:22 29:2	43:6 43:12 43:14
subtract 31:1	28:18 28:21 29:7	42:13 42:13 42:14	31:2 31:13 32:22	45:2 45:5 45:7 45:
successful 17:23 20:9		47:21 54:13 54:20	33:1 33:7 33:9	45:11 45:15 45:25
63:20	30:25 33:10 35:8	68:15 79:18 85:15	33:17 34:4 38:7	46:1 46:2 46:5 46:
sudden 48:15 132:20		91:22 118:17 119:5	43:5 43:10 43:18	46:16 46:20 46:23
suddenly 90:8	46:9 48:1 51:5	136:8 136:12	44:2 44:6 44:14	46:24 47:10 47:10
120:22	56:11 57:9 58:15	145:21 145:23	45:15 45:25 46:7	47:16 48:6 48:7
sufficient 13:25	58:23 63:24 63:25	148:3 149:3	46:8 46:22 48:5	53:19 55:4 55:14
19:18 20:7 25:2	73:17 75:10 81:14	term 124:9 124:20	48:9 50:9 51:7 57:8	
25:6 32:14 34:7	86:19 88:1 93:8	territory 42:10 61:14	60:15 60:16 60:17	59:24 61:6 61:6
41:9 42:4 69:6	107:17 110:4	test 11:2 32:18 93:9	60:20 72:15 76:23	61:7 61:7 68:24
74:17 83:15	123:17 123:22	tested 105:19	77:10 77:11 83:21	68:25 69:1 69:2
suite 1:24	125:21 139:21	testing 104:20 105:5	85:11 85:25 86:1	69:2 69:3 69:4 69:
super 69:20	taken 26:8	105:6 105:13	86:1 86:2 86:4	69:7 69:16 69:18
superintendent	takes 28:13 28:14	105:15 113:13	86:24 87:2 87:3	69:19 69:21 70:1
148:1	28:22 80:10	128:20	87:12 87:23 87:25	71:13 71:14 71:14
supersedes 144:17	taking 67:1 73:22	thank 6:18 8:24 9:24	88:4 88:11 91:10	71:18 71:19 72:10
supervisor 7:2	talk 13:8 13:24 23:21	36:2 37:13 37:14	91:23 95:13 95:14	72:11 72:12 72:20
supplies 30:4 36:6	76:17 77:10 89:22	38:1 48:3 59:13	100:12 101:23	72:22 72:24 72:24
support 12:10 19:9	talked 23:18 82:12	64:17 70:9 70:12	104:10 107:8	73:5 73:6 73:25
21:21 34:10 121:5	82:15	73:21 83:13 84:24	114:14 114:15	76:6 76:18 76:19
127:1	talking 56:7 64:4	85:1 89:3 130:13	119:17 119:19	78:17 80:10 80:11
supposed 43:13	71:4 82:14 95:12	134:16 137:12	120:24 121:8	80:11 80:12 81:25
43:14 46:17 46:20	99:8 99:10 102:1	137:14 137:15	121:24 122:10	83:17 84:5 86:2
sure 19:23 20:7	tall 28:19 28:20	139:6 142:2 142:3	122:13 125:24	86:5 86:25 87:10
Suit 17.23 20.1			129:15 129:18	87:14 88:2 88:2

88:11 89:23 89:24	64:8 90:11 90:12	122:18 128:6 128:8	tomorrow 80:19	trouble 100:22
90:2 90:5 90:18	95:1 95:6 95:20	130:3 130:10	80:23 107:16	105:21
90:19 90:20 91:9	98:20 103:25 106:3	130:11 133:10	took 29:16 114:11	true 33:3 37:2 37:4
92:14 92:16 93:17	106:13 112:18	134:20 139:17	114:11 114:13	55:7 55:9 83:9
93:21 93:25 94:22	114:16 119:18	139:19 139:21	tools 13:2	136:3 149:12
95:15 100:14	119:20 121:13	145:9	top 40:18 58:6 60:2	truly 82:4 147:9
101:10 101:10	121:17 121:22	through 11:7 11:14	95:11 95:18	trust 56:19
102:18 102:22	122:2 126:18	15:21 16:9 18:16	topic 87:13 87:24	try 21:11 135:12
102:23 103:23	126:19 128:5	23:24 25:8 26:10	88:25 139:10	136:16
104:3 104:3 104:4	129:25 134:9 147:2		tosha 5:18 145:19	trying 14:4 15:17
104:5 104:9 105:3	147:3	74:8 76:4 76:8	total 11:16 39:6 39:7	• •
105:15 105:16	think 20:16 20:21	91:13 92:1 93:9	39:9 39:13 39:24	40:13 42:7 42:8
105:20 106:16	36:4 37:3 38:1	94:25 95:4 105:20	67:15 107:21	58:20 60:4 60:14
107:3 110:7 110:9	39:20 42:15 43:4	106:1 106:2 135:12		63:15 64:7 88:7
111:7 111:9 111:13		138:18 141:15	totals 61:23	88:10 104:25 109:3
111:15 111:21	57:17 58:17 61:1	143:4	tough 132:24 133:2	112:9
117:18 117:20	63:22 64:5 65:14	throughout 15:18	tough 132.24 133.2 tours 71:15	tuesday 5:18 145:20
117:21 119:19	65:19 66:13 69:24	19:14 19:19 26:8		turn 12:15 12:17
120:14 121:20	75:11 78:22 79:2	thumb 92:2	tower 73:12 98:23	42:11 86:25
121:23 122:1 122:1	81:16 81:20 86:4	till 10:15 50:18	track 17:7 51:6	turning 42:7
122:2 122:2 122:13		time 6:7 14:22 15:8	tracking 69:18	turning 42.7 twenty 29:12 29:12
124:20 125:4 125:5	103:9 105:2 116:2	20:3 24:19 25:8	trained 11:2	twenty 29.12 29.12 two 13:19 13:21
125:7 125:15	124:21 125:19	25:9 25:19 28:21	trainer 65:4	14:18 16:18 18:1
125:15 125:16	130:4 130:8 131:7	30:14 31:11 46:15	training 10:25 11:1	
125:18 125:22	131:21 134:10	52:16 64:23 64:24	11:5 30:5 36:5 36:8	r I
126:9 127:25	138:6 140:13 144:2		36:12 36:13 36:15	20:23 25:1 27:7 31:7 32:25 33:2
128:18 128:22	145:2 147:4 147:7	82:11 83:15 85:12	65:9 65:9 93:6	40:21 40:23 41:13
132:8 132:10	147:21 147:25	94:17 98:19 115:16	93:19 98:22 99:19	69:1 78:1 78:19
132:11 132:15	thinking 35:19 35:24		99:20 100:2 100:3	79:25 80:1 82:22
133:23 135:23	third 19:16 22:6	131:8 131:8 138:24	113:3	82:23 90:18 92:15
135:23 136:5 136:5		139:7 143:19	trainings 155.9	96:13 102:14
138:4 138:5 138:5	45:4 45:11 45:23	143:22 145:1 148:7	trampoline 77:17	103:12 106:24
138:6 138:6 141:10		timeframe 32:16	trampolines 76:13	107:23 110:13
141:10 141:18	64:24 64:25 72:7	32:19 34:1	76:15 76:17 76:21	112:20 115:3 115:6
144:17 144:21	73:4 77:8 77:11	times 13:16 31:20	76:24 77:15 77:20	121:6 121:7 132:19
144:25 145:2 145:3		40:9 73:5 73:15	tramways 127:23	125.10 126.10
145:5 145:8 145:9	136:10 138:10	132:25	transcript 1:9 149:1	142:6 143:14 144:2
145:13 145:13	thirty 29:12 29:13	tired 94:10	149:12 149:13	L 4 25.1 20.15 20.2
thing 6:6 20:18 52:5	thought 49:20 52:22		transportation 93:17	45:20 46:6 72:15
53:21 57:6 63:15	85:3 108:21 131:15		transported 55:15	107:1 117:19
63:18 70:20 71:19	thousand 62:12	78:5 92:17 117:18	55:22	144:20
78:24 80:11 81:3	three 16:18 18:21	129:25 130:24	trauma 89:8	types 96:13 142:1
91:11 91:24 93:5	19:10 19:18 20:14	133:7 138:25	travel 34:19 35:10	typically 80:17 87:23
94:20 94:21 96:11	20:24 28:13 30:12	todd 3:20 7:17 7:17	36:5	typicany 60.17 67.23
99:21 105:22 107:8		together 27:10 40:22	treasurer 8:17	$oxed{\mathbf{U}}$
107:9 109:15	32:1 35:2 40:10	43:16 76:24 114:14	treat 76:12	ultimately 21:7
113:23 115:9	42:3 42:17 42:24	136:24	treatment 55:15	umbrella 140:24
115:12 118:8	61:8 73:24 74:2	told 52:6 52:23	tremendous 146:22	unbiased 20:19
142:23	80:1 80:1 80:13	tom 4:2 8:19 129:23	tried 18:14 18:15	unclipping 98:24
things 23:15 44:17	85:13 92:16 93:19	131:2 134:13	triggered 131:14	98:25 99:24
44:19 53:1 54:24	94:2 95:10 99:6	131.4 134.13	tripled 16:12 16:14	70.43 77.4 4
	2 1 = 2 2 2 2 2 2 3		_	

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	100 110101		117 17 110 22
uncooperative 26:3	29:18 31:14 37:1	129:6 134:24	vendors 125:1	117:15 119:23
under 39:10 39:12	38:7 52:19 53:18	138:12 142:13	verbatim 117:10	123:17 126:4 126:5
64:1 74:24 75:3	67:13 71:13 75:24	142:16 142:16	117:16	126:5 126:6 130:19
75:17 75:19 75:20	76:5 82:13 82:17	144:17 147:4 147:8		131:11 132:4 134:5
75:23 76:19 76:20	84:2 84:3 85:7 86:4		verify 25:18	146:12 147:19
136:2 140:24 141:6	1		version 78:13 80:15	wanted 6:15 38:3
142:24 142:25	93:20 94:5 94:7	61:20 88:9 102:23	132:19	43:8 63:14 93:2
144:14 144:22	94:24 95:10 95:18	104:5 105:18	versus 11:11 44:24	100:25 124:5
underneath 95:11	100:25 103:21	106:11 107:8 107:9	22.12 00.20	124:17 137:11
understand 6:11	104:7 104:8 104:18		vi 5:7	wants 94:24 112:12
13:14 14:4 14:5	115:16 120:23	107:20 111:9	vii 5:10	wasn 15:12 35:19
22:1 22:9 23:11	123:5 123:22	113:20 115:14	viii 5:12	112:14 123:1
23:16 24:2 24:18	126:14 127:16	119:9 132:10	visit 41:18	132:15 133:12
34:9 38:5 44:22	130:14 130:15	141:13	visitation 41:19	water 70:3 132:12
50:5 51:2 51:21	130:20 130:21	used 94:12 94:13	visitors 17:19 63:21	way 10:9 10:9 32:4
51:23 52:24 53:3	130:22 134:19	111:8 124:9 124:20	visits 26:24	34:13 43:5 43:9
54:1 61:18 64:1	135:16 138:11 139:12 142:23	127:13 141:25		48:7 52:12 53:2
72:15 74:19 83:9	145:10 147:3	146:8	W	62:2 66:13 71:8
87:10 88:24 93:6	update 5:15 143:25	user 26:18 48:5 48:9	wassing 110.17	71:9 75:21 75:22
109:3 112:10	145:3 145:6	141:14	wahoo 95:19 109:21	77:11 85:5 86:9
117:24 122:2	updated 26:18	users 141:5	111:25 114:1	87:11 89:19 90:16
129:18 135:25 141:22	upheaval 119:14	using 22:5 22:6	135:16	95:21 96:3 102:8
	119:16	41:11 95:20 106:10	wait 5.5 22.10 21.7	102:8 107:11
understanding 43:7 81:23 83:2 92:11	upon 25:24 26:9 42:9	120:21 121:14	74:19 74:22 145:9	125:24 127:12
108:6 119:3	83:22 110:19 129:9		waited 81:2 81:2	127:19 132:3 133:21 134:3
understood 21:2	138:11	0.11	81:16	142:17 145:10
unequivocally	upset 67:21 67:25	utilized 111:25	waiting 22:16 22:20	ways 18:14 51:5 51:6
135:10	upstairs 144:8	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	22:23 23:2 144:1	132:21
unfortunately 13:4	urgency 82:11 82:16	valid 27:2 88:5	walk 113:8	weaker 136:20
unfunded 13:23	82:19	valuable 52:5 130:4	walkway 55:8	website 25:23 26:15
14:16 14:17	us 10:14 13:2 13:15	value 141:2 141:4	wall 37:1 76:25	26.16 47.22 47.25
unhooked 98:22	16:19 17:16 18:21	varied 76:6	walls 70:3 76:14 77:2	47:25 59:22 60:11
unidentified 12:19	21:15 22:23 24:7	various 23:25 106:3	77:6	wools 22.20 142.25
unit 5:6 5:7 10:11	24:19 26:9 26:11	ve 6:14 11:14 13:22	want 6:6 13:12 13:19	week 23.20 142.23 weekend 47:8
11:23 16:18 16:19	26:25 27:9 27:12	15:17 16:16 23:14	24:13 24:22 24:22	weeks 11:6 80:11
19:12 25:6 28:2	27:24 28:8 28:24	26:12 31:8 38:21	24:23 25:5 25:6	weight 97:16
30:6 33:7 77:12	1		27:13 28:11 28:23	
	1 30:24 31:11 31:15	40.15 48.16 51.25	1 20 0 20 2 24 2 27 4	
	30:24 31:11 31:15 31:21 32:10 32:12	40:15 48:16 51:25 59:20 60:11 64:22	32:2 32:3 34:3 37:5	1 140 7
united 136:21 148:1		59:20 60:11 64:22	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9	welcome 148:5
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23	31:21 32:10 32:12	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19 53:14 55:25 81:2	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23 53:17 56:23 57:8 61:9 61:14 63:18 72:8 73:4 74:17	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11 132:1 132:12 133:7	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14 88:3 89:12 89:12	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1 130:8 134:6
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19 53:14 55:25 81:2 81:12 81:17 83:21	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23 53:17 56:23 57:8 61:9 61:14 63:18 72:8 73:4 74:17 77:12 78:18 84:6	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11 132:1 132:12 133:7 136:23 143:5	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14 88:3 89:12 89:12 90:10 92:3 92:25	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1 130:8 134:6 when 2:6 9:6 9:7 9:8
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19 53:14 55:25 81:2 81:12 81:17 83:21 100:19 100:20	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23 53:17 56:23 57:8 61:9 61:14 63:18 72:8 73:4 74:17 77:12 78:18 84:6 86:2 87:17 109:7	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11 132:1 132:12 133:7 136:23 143:5 143:15 146:5	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14 88:3 89:12 89:12 90:10 92:3 92:25 93:21 94:15 98:10	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1 130:8 134:6 when 2:6 9:6 9:7 9:8 20:4 20:6 21:22
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19 53:14 55:25 81:2 81:12 81:17 83:21 100:19 100:20 132:15 143:5	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23 53:17 56:23 57:8 61:9 61:14 63:18 72:8 73:4 74:17 77:12 78:18 84:6 86:2 87:17 109:7 116:4 116:12	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11 132:1 132:12 133:7 136:23 143:5 143:15 146:5 vehicles 46:15	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14 88:3 89:12 89:12 90:10 92:3 92:25 93:21 94:15 98:10 100:14 107:17	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1 130:8 134:6 when 2:6 9:6 9:7 9:8 20:4 20:6 21:22 22:3 32:9 32:19
united 136:21 148:1 university 139:23 140:1 unless 138:7 unsafe 27:1 121:20 unsuccessful 15:5 until 22:21 48:19 53:14 55:25 81:2 81:12 81:17 83:21 100:19 100:20	31:21 32:10 32:12 32:16 32:19 34:5 34:7 43:14 45:7 46:5 50:22 50:22 50:23 52:6 52:13 52:14 52:18 52:23 53:17 56:23 57:8 61:9 61:14 63:18 72:8 73:4 74:17 77:12 78:18 84:6 86:2 87:17 109:7	59:20 60:11 64:22 65:10 65:11 74:9 76:17 79:19 82:12 83:17 94:14 95:1 121:14 121:20 123:14 128:11 132:1 132:12 133:7 136:23 143:5 143:15 146:5 vehicles 46:15 vendor 125:5 125:6	42:5 43:3 48:6 50:9 54:4 55:19 55:20 57:13 63:15 63:17 63:19 63:20 63:23 64:2 64:13 74:11 74:16 74:20 76:18 77:13 80:1 85:14 88:3 89:12 89:12 90:10 92:3 92:25 93:21 94:15 98:10	welcome 148:5 went 90:6 93:9 147:2 147:3 weren 42:24 west 42:13 80:2 whatever 21:25 55:22 105:2 113:1 130:8 134:6 when 2:6 9:6 9:7 9:8 20:4 20:6 21:22

45:11 45:11 45:14 48:12 51:1 51:4	133:14 whose 44:11 126:5	41:5 41:24 81:18 82:4 82:6 88:20	54:2 63:11 67:7 75:23 76:7 77:4	young 140:3 140:7 yourselves 136:3
53:19 56:19 67:7	why 13:5 13:10	103:2	77:6 85:8 86:16	
67:7 67:9 67:19	13:11 18:15 22:5	wonder 49:24	86:16 86:22 87:7	Z
70:14 71:3 72:9	24:19 42:6 57:12	wonderful 133:8	92:10 94:5 98:2	z259 103:11
78:5 79:3 79:20	66:21 71:24 74:14	wooden 70:5	98:17 99:18 110:17	z359 97:3 100:9
86:25 87:2 87:6	104:11 104:12	word 72:13 113:20	111:5 111:6 112:7	101:18 102:19
87:19 89:23 90:11	122:23 123:12	wording 99:11	112:11 115:20	102:24 103:10
92:12 95:18 98:22	124:17 132:6 133:5	words 18:1 60:4	115:23 116:2 116:5	l l
101:6 103:6 113:2	133:17 133:18	work 33:8 37:7 52:10		zealand 114:13
114:10 122:3 122:4	= '	78:8 112:18 113:25	116:20 116:23	zip 71:1 71:3 71:11
122:16 132:17	wide 144:13	118:5 122:24	117:1 117:4 117:7	71:13 71:24 72:19
133:3 137:2 143:3	will 5:17 6:3 9:1 10:6	124:25 125:13	117:7 118:11	72:21 76:25 77:14
143:13 146:21	17:10 19:4 19:13	132:8 136:23	118:11 119:20	89:14 89:17 89:25
147:1	19:15 19:16 19:24	worked 139:24	120:10 123:25	90:15 90:15 90:17
whenever 120:6	21:6 21:10 21:10	worker 1:2	124:3 126:16 129:2	/2.0 /8.2 122.8
where 2:6 10:16 14:4		workforce 1:1 3:13	129:8 129:12	122:20 127:14
16:15 17:20 18:4	26:25 27:3 28:25	3:15 5:19 6:25 8:23	129:13 129:16	127:20 128:5
21:7 22:14 23:21	31:9 31:11 32:8	145:22	129:19 130:16	ziplines 3:24 3:25
24:15 27:1 28:10	32:8 32:9 32:11	working 15:13 80:23	140:16 143:10	95:19 135:16
32:16 42:8 47:18	32:12 34:5 37:6	83:23 140:3 146:19	year 11:3 11:9 11:11	0
47:20 48:13 49:7	41:18 41:25 42:2	workings 146:24	11:12 11:13 15:8	
63:8 64:10 71:12	43:4 52:12 60:7	workplace 7:13 12:8	15:9 15:18 16:6 16:10 16:13 16:13	00 5:18 145:20 148:6
71:17 71:18 71:21 74:12 81:10 85:15	61:13 63:24 70:21	19:7	17:25 30:12 30:15	000 29:12 29:12
87:6 87:13 91:1	72:17 72:20 75:6 80:18 82:4 86:14	works 9:6 9:7 62:3	31:4 31:8 31:9	29:13 29:14 29:14 39:21 40:10 57:21
91:4 94:11 98:21	86:15 86:23 87:17	140:9	32:18 33:8 33:9	57:22 57:23 57:25
99:14 106:5 108:24		world 85:22	33:13 33:18 39:3	58:3 59:10 61:23
109:22 119:21	102:21 111:12	worse 107:11 123:4	39:22 58:13 61:11	66:11 69:21 93:15
121:13	116:24 119:18	worth 105:9 122:15	62:4 62:5 62:6 62:8	
whereas 89:20	128:4 129:1 134:19	122:19	62:8 69:10 69:10	101:17 101:18
128:13	137:9 140:10	wouldn 20:12 42:4	69:22 81:11 82:15	103:7 103:8 104:10
whether 28:16 49:14		53:16 76:21 85:24	83:5 91:21 94:11	104:12 104:19
55:14 55:15 65:18	william 10:19	109:20 109:21	121:9 121:11	104:23 107:23
93:20 112:25 139:1		109:25 125:10	yearly 69:13 69:14	112:24 113:11
146:10	willing 94:8	135:20	69:16	119:9 128:20
who 6:7 6:22 16:19	windfall 120:23	wow 51:19	years 6:15 18:1 20:8	01 5:11 64:19
20:4 26:19 26:20	wise 109:15 115:13	wrapped 95:24	20:10 25:1 31:7	070 104:15
34:13 38:15 40:8	wish 125:20	writing 46:5	32:25 33:2 40:23	
43:24 44:13 45:16	wishes 93:14	written 102:9	41:4 41:13 82:22	1
45:17 45:17 48:22	wit 2:7	wrong 107:3 107:5	82:23 91:19 114:8	10 79:2 140:6 143:24
48:23 48:24 52:15	withdrawn 143:2	115:16 129:10	114:10 121:6 121:7	
66:23 88:17 88:19	143:6	X	121:15 121:19	100 69:1 69:21
93:11 94:24 103:21	within 17:14 33:18	xi 5:21	131:5 132:9 132:19	
107:2 112:12	45:15 58:7 84:4		136:18 139:25	1089 1:25
124:11 127:24	91:6 91:17 96:23	Y	140:6 143:16 145:3	1 00110 071 07119
132:7 132:7 133:2	103:25 121:10	yeah 9:7 9:11 33:10	145:5 145:9 145:9	68:3 136:9 145:16
134:1 134:24	126:9 127:13	33:23 35:23 36:14	146:5 147:12	12 11:8 11:9
138:10 140:8	won 17:6 20:5 20:9	36:18 39:17 49:13	yesterday 11:8	121 47:24
whole 21:20 69:15	22:2 25:3 30:14	49:15 49:23 53:11	york 124:24 125:3	122 31:2
71:19 96:22 115:2			125:14 142:25	

12275 106:7	22 100:15 100:15	430 69:17	816 30:12	
125 70:4	100:15 101:17	44 67:16	83 16:3	
12th 149:19	101:18 103:8	45 6:14 79:17	896 31:2	
13 5:18 106:3	220 2:5 5:20 145:22	450 16:11 39:22	·	
130 69:14	221 1:25 59:5 59:7	40:18 58:11 61:20	9	
13th 70:18 70:21	223 59:8	46 100:18 140:16	90 80:18 81:8 144:1	
81:17 83:15 83:25	234 1:24 11:11	489 17:24 31:10	900 1:24	
84:13 142:17 143:5	242 11:11	40:15 82:22	920 31:4 31:21	
145:21 148:6	25 69:2	4899 63:3	954 100:16	
14 67:19	250 16:11 38:10	49 113:11		
140 67:12 67:13	38:12 38:22 39:23	4th 47:8		
67:14 69:20 76:6	40:4 58:11 59:3			
15 38:12	59:7 59:8 59:19	5		
150 39:18 70:4 70:6	67:14 67:14 88:5	50 38:18 58:1 59:10		
152 16:11 39:21	88:22	70:1 94:7 120:23		
39:22 40:18 58:11	259 102:24	122:21		
61:20 62:16	26 1:12 6:5 69:2	500 29:10 57:19 61:2		
16 5:11 10:14 38:13	265 11:13	61:2 61:3 66:10		
64:19 69:1 139:25	26th 2:3 144:4	66:24 67:9 69:14		
17 17:25 83:5	27 11:16	75:13 89:18 136:9		
175 66:24 67:3 76:7	27th 14:7 144:5	51 38:19 58:2		
18 17:25 67:17 83:5	28 6:17	525 15:10 16:3		
188 39:24	3	532 30:12		
19 35:17		534 11:9 54 15:7 15:8 16:2		
1st 2:5 11:1 14:8	30 38:17 47:8 57:23 121:15	56 38:8 38:10 67:15		
31:8 62:5	300 69:2 70:6 105:18			
2	127:14			
20 38:16 57:22 67:18	30th 15:21 16:5 16:7	6		
119:22	16:10 62:6 63:2	60 79:16 79:20		
200 58:17 58:17	l	600 16:3 17:24 31:10		
60:22 69:1 70:5	329 11:8	40:15 61:3 61:5		
2000 15:19	334 11:12	69:3 105:7 105:9		
2002 122:21	35 69:2 141:15	105:19		
2008 14:7	36 67:18 69:3	61 75:13		
2009 14:8	37069 1:25	615 1:25		
201 59:3	375 104:15 104:24	641 61:23		
2012 144:10 144:12	105:4 105:9 105:18	65 69:21		
144:22 144:23	128:16	68 47:22		
2014 15:20 132:20	3rd 16:8 16:9 27:7	686 1:23 149:7		
2015 15:11 15:20	42:20 43:21	149:22		
15:21 16:4 16:5		7		
62:5 144:25	4			
2016 1:12 2:3 5:18	40 38:18 57:24 66:11	70 69:20		
6:5 31:8 149:19	400 40:10 69:3	75 70:3		
2017 80:21 80:24	107:21 122:15	750 29:11 57:20		
81:8 81:14	127:15	8		
2018 149:23	409 31:4 31:21	80 91:1 91:5 91:21		
2020 1:24	41 38:18 58:1	94:11		
21 38:17 57:23	42 28:20 28:20	7 4 .11		
	l		I	